Vol. 12-1 (2012)

# INVESTMENT, POVERTY AND DEVELOPMENT IN ASIA-PACIFIC COUNTRIES: ECONOMETRIC MODELS AND EVOLUTION, 2000-2010 GUISAN, Maria-Carmen<sup>\*</sup> EXPOSITO, Pilar

#### Abstract

To achieve the United Nations MDGs (Millennium Development Goals) in less developed Asian countries it is required to increase international cooperation to reach higher educational level of population and investment per head. In this article we analyze the evolution of economic development and poverty count in 30 countries of Asia and Pacific, and estimated the positive effect of education and investment on development. Poverty count is very high in several Asian countries and we recommend international cooperation to eradicate poverty and increase investment and development. International cooperation to development should have into account that trade deficits should be sustainable and economic policies should avoid financial crisis, both in developing and developed countries, derived from strong and unsustainable trade balances.

JEL codes: I2, E2, O1, O5, O53, O56

Keywords: Poverty eradication, Asian countries, Asia-Pacific, Econometric models of International Development, Investment and Savings, Education and Development, Millennium Development Goals.

#### 1. Introduction

There has been little evolution in several Asian countries during the period 2000-2010 in order to eradicate poverty and to achieve the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) of the United Nations. Here we analyze the main causes of this evolution in several Asian countries, related with low educational level of population and with low levels of investment per head, and present the estimation of some econometric models.

Section 2 analyses the evolution of economic development and poverty in 30 countries of Asia and Pacific.

Section 3 presents the evolution of investment and savings per capita in those countries and analyze the positive relation of education, investment per capita and economic development. Presents a summary of positive effects of education and natural resources on trade, investment and development.

Section 4 presents the estimation of some econometric models which measure the positive effect of investment.

Finally section 5 presents the main conclusions with emphasis in fostering international cooperation for education and investment, provided that this cooperation avoids financial crisis and strong unbalances in foreign trade.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*</sup> Maria-Carmen Guisan, Professor of Econometrics mcarmen.guisan@usc.es, and Pilar Exposito, Associate Professor of Econometrics pilar.exposito@usc.es, University of Santiago de Compostela (Spain).

# 2. Economic Development and poverty in Asia-Pacific, 2000-2010

Table 1 presents the evolution of real Gross Domestic Product (GDP) per head in 30 Asia-Pacific countries for the period 2000-2010.

Nb	Country	2000	2005	2010	Increase	% Rate
					2000-2010	of growth
					(\$2005)	2000-2010
6	Australia	28926	31702	34411	5485	1.74
9	Bangladesh	901	1068	1488	587	5.02
19	Cambodia	1009	1443	1968	959	6.68
25	China	2664	4076	6816	4152	9.39
26	H-K China	29785	35678	41713	11928	3.37
53	India	1718	2234	3073	1355	5.81
54	Indonesia	2714	3197	3880	1166	3.57
55	Iran	7667	9314	10526	2859	3.17
57	Israel	22991	23207	26023	3032	1.24
60	Japan	28613	30310	30573	1960	0.66
61	Jordan	3632	4342	5157	1525	3.51
64	Korea R	17489	21342	27027	9538	4.35
65	Kuwait	33603	43560	49934	16331	3.96
67	Lao PDR	1452	1814	2288	836	4.55
69	Lebanon	8328	9561	12621	4293	4.16
75	Malaysia	10271	11746	13214	2943	2.52
80	Mongolia	2029	2609	3620	1591	5.79
83	Myanmar	582	854	1749	1167	11.01
85	Nepal	905	960	1075	170	1.73
87	New Zealand	21975	24718	24649	2674	1.15
92	Pakistan	1931	2184	2411	480	2.22
94	Papua-New Guinea	1963	1883	2217	254	1.22
97	Philippines	2637	2959	3560	923	3.00
103	Saudi Arabia	19716	21220	20374	658	0.33
106	Singapore	37304	43334	51966	14662	3.31
111	Sri Lanka	3068	3546	4555	1487	3.95
114	Syrian AR	3725	4002	4741	1016	2.41
117	Thailand	5729	7069	7673	1944	2.92
129	Vietnam	1597	2143	2875	1278	5.88
130	Yemen	2064	2189	2380	316	1.42

Table 1. GDP per capita in 30 Asia-Pacific countries: years 2000, 2005, 2010. Dollars per head at 2005 prices and Purchasing Power Parities (PPPs)

Source: Elaborated from WB(2012). Notes: Nb is the number of each country in the alphabetical list of 132 World countries and the number that appears in the graphs. In the case of China data are presented for mainland China and Hong-Kong China. Column 4 is the difference between years

Guisan, M.C.; Exposito, P. Investment, Poverty and Development in Asia Pacific, 2000-2010

2010 and 2000, in \$ at 2005 prices and PPPs. Column 5 is the annual exponential rate of growth of Gdp per capita (PH) for the period 2000-2010 in percentage (%): (ln(PH10)-ln(PH00)\*100/10

A first group, with the highest levels of Gdp per capita (PH) in year 2010, over 20000 \$ at 2005 prices and PPPs include: Australia, Hong-Kong, Israel, Japan, Korea Republic (South), Kuwait, New Zealand, Saudi Arabia and Singapore.

A second group correspond to countries with PH in year 2010 within 10000 and 20000: Iran, Lebanon, Malaysia.

A third group includes countries with PH in year 2010 between 5000 and 10000 \$ at 2005 prices and PPPs: China, Jordan and Thailand

A fourth group includes countries with PH in year 2010 between 2500 and 5000: India, Indonesia, Mongolia, Philippines, Sri Lanka, Syrian AR and Vietnam.

A fifth group, with the lowest levels of GDP per capita (PH) in year 2010, below 2500 Dollars at 2005 prices and PPPs, includes: Bangladesh, Cambodia, Lao PDR, Myanmar, Nepal, Pakistan, Papua-New Guinea and Yemen.

The highest increases for the period 2000-2010, over 4000 \$ at 2005 prices and PPPs, correspond, in this order, to: Kuwait, Singapore, Hong-Kong, Korea R (South), Australia, Lebanon and China.

This set of 30 countries had a population of 3913 million people in year 2010, many of them living in low and very low income countries, and thus affected by high percentages of poverty count. Table 2 shows the percentage of poverty among population of Asia-Pacific countries, accordingly to World Development Indicators (WDI). More information in the Annex

Percentage of poverty	Countries
>80	Bangladesh, India
60-80	Cambodia, Lao PDR, Nepal, Pakistan
40-60	Indonesia, Mongolia, Philippines, Sri-Lanka, Yemen
20-40	China, Thailand
10-20	-
0-10	Australia, Hong-Kong (China), Israel, Japan, Jordan, Korea R
	(South), Malaysia, New Zealand, Singapore
Not available,	Iran, Kuwait, Lebanon, Saudi Arabia
With Ph10>10000	
Not available, with	Myanmar, Papua-New Guinea, Syrian AR, Vietnam
Ph10<10000	

Table 2. Poverty count in 30 Asia-Pacific countries

Nota: Guisan and Exposito(2010), elaborated from WB(2010). The set of 30 countries does not include countries with less than 1 million inhabitants nor without available data.

We notice very low levels of production per head in several Asian countries, clearly below World average accordingly to the international comparisons in the Annex. This implies that hundreds of million people suffer severe poverty in those countries, and that international cooperation should contribute to foster development and eradicate poverty in the next years. As it has shown in Guisan, Aguayo and Exposito(2001), Guisan and Neira(2006), Guisan(2009) and other studies, it is of uppermost importance to foster education and investment, among other factors, in low income countries in order to eradicate poverty and improve socio-economic wellbeing of population. In the next section we analyze the evolution of Investment per head for 2000-2010.

### 3. Investment, Savinds and development in Asia Pacific, 2000-2010 3.1. Investment and Savings per head

Table 3 present values of real investment and real savings, per head, in 28 Asia-Pacific countries in year 2000, 2005 and 2010. Graphs 1 to 4 present the values of real investment per head in year 2010.

	Ir	vestmei	nt		Savings		Savings less Investment
Country	2000	2005	2010	2000	2005	2010	2010
Australia	6942	8243	9638	5785	6023	8361	-1277
Bangladesh	207	267	363	243	331	572	209
Cambodia	182	260	342	141	202	247	-95
China	932	1793	3257	986	2079	3608	351
H-K China	8042	7492	8580	9531	11417	12514	3934
India	412	760	1068	430	760	1036	-33
Indonesia	597	799	1260	679	831	1240	-21
Iran	2530	3074	NA	2990	NA	NA	NA
Israel	4828	4409	4052	3908	5106	4757	704
Japan	7153	7274	6183	8012	8184	7263	1080
Jordan	799	1476	791	835	738	471	-319
Korea R	5422	6403	7879	5771	6829	8554	675
Kuwait	3696	6970	NA	16802	24829	NA	NA
Lao PDR	407	617	596	247	290	448	-148
Lebanon	1666	2103	4125	NA	478	1511	-2614
Malaysia	2773	2349	2830	3698	4111	4349	1519
Mongolia	588	965	1477	467	1070	982	-495
Myanmar	70	NA	396	NA	NA	671	275
Nepal	217	250	373	199	298	368	-5
New Zealand	4835	6180	4912	3736	3955	NA	NA
Pakistan	328	415	370	386	568	525	154
Papua-New Guinea	432	377	395	628	527	450	55
Philippines	554	444	731	976	651	968	237
Saudi Arabia	3746	3820	4483	5718	10186	5327	844
Singapore	12310	8667	12386	17533	16900	23848	11462
Sri Lanka	859	922	1266	675	816	1128	-138
Syrian AR	633	720	892	820	760	797	-95
Thailand	1318	2191	1990	1719	1909	2370	380
Vietnam	479	771	1118	495	771	916	-202
Yemen	392	NA	276	702	NA	216	-61

Table 3. Invesment and Savings per capita in Asia-Pacific: 2000, 2005, 2010 (Dollars (USD) per inhabitant at 2005 prices and PPPs)

Note: Not available: NA. Source: Elaborated from WB(2012).

400 300 200

à

19

61

67

There are 11 countries with very low investment (below 1000 USD in year 2010), mostly affected, with the only exception of Jordan, by high percentages of poverty count.

Graphs 1 to 4 show four groups of countries accordingly to investment per head.

There are many other explanatory variables of economic development, from demand and supply side, as seen in Guisan(2009b), but most of them are related with the levels of education, investment and savings per head, as seen in the figure 1 in section 3.2.



Graph 1. Countries with Investment per head < 1000 USD in 2010 (Dollars per capita at 2005 prices and PPPs)



85

92

94

97

114

130

83

Graph 2. Countries with Investment per head between 1000 and 2000 USD in 2010 (Dollars per capita at 2005 prices and PPPs)



Note: Country number: 53 India, 54 Indonesia, 80 Mongolia, 111 Sri Lanka, 117 Thailand, 129 Vietnam. Source: Elaborated from WDI, see table 4.





Note: Country number: 25 China, 57 Israel, 69 Lebanon, 75 Malaysia, 87 New Zealand, 103 Saudi Arabia. Source: Elaborated from WDI, see table 4.

Graph 4. Countries with Investment per head > 6000 USD in year 2010 (Dollars per capita at 2005 prices and PPPs)



Note: Country number: 6 Australia, 26 Hong-Kong China, 60 Japan, 64 Korea Republic (South), 106 Singapore. Source: Elaborated from WDI, see table 4.

Countries may finance investment per capita with their own savings or attracting foreign investment. Countries with high levels of savings per head often invest part of their savings abroad and get income from their foreign investments. International flows may have positive impacts on economic development in many cases, provided that there is not a high degree of risk of instability in the financial support from abroad and other conditions. Economic development is usually positively related with education, given the positive direct and indirect effects of education in investment and production per head, as seen in Guisan and Neira(2006) and other studies.

# 3.2. Education, Investment and other factors of economic development



+Natural resources	+Human Capital (HC ↓	()					
(Positive effect on QI	, +Social Capital (SC) -	+ Physical Capital (KH)					
QNI or Exports)							
↓		↓					
+ Industrial Production (QI) $\rightarrow$ + Non Industrial Production (QNI)							
(Natural resources and capital (HC, SC and KH) have a positive effect on							
QI and QNI per capit	a. QI has positive direct and	d indirect effects on QNI					
$\downarrow$	$\uparrow$	$\downarrow$					
+ Exports $\rightarrow$	+ Imports	+Real Income					
(QI and QNI	(imports of intermediate	(QI and QNI per capita					
increases Exports of	inputs usually have a	increase real income,					
goods and services	positive impact	per capita and have a					
and capacity to	development, provided	positive effect on HC,					
import without	that they do not imply	SC and KH					
deficit)	strong trade deficits)						

Source: Short version of figure elaborated by Guisan(2009b). Human capital (HC) includes educational and research indicators.

The following graph shows the positive relationship between the educational level of population and economic development in the 30 countries of Asia and Pacific.

Graph 5. GDP per capita in 2010 (PH10) and educational level of population in Asia-Pacific



Source: Elaborated by Guisan and Exposito. Relationship between Try08f (estimation of average total years of schooling in year 2008 based on Barro and Lee and other sources) and GDP per capita in year 2010 (expressed in USD at 2005 prices and PPPs)

Economic development is positively related with education, given the positive direct and indirect effects of education as seen in Guisan and Neira(2006) and other studies. Guisan, Aguayo and Exposito(2001) show that education usually moderates excessively high average Fertility Rates and contributes to increase IH ,and KH, and it also contributes to improve SC, through their effects on quality of Government.

## 4. Econometric Models: impact of education, savings and foreign investment

Equation 1 shows the positive impact on investment per head, in 30 Asia-Pacific countries, in year 2010 (IH10), of the following explanatory variables:

IH00: Lagged value of investment per head in year 2000.

EDU1: Educational Level of Population given by estimated average years of schooling of adult population during the period 2000-2010. See Annex.

SH10-SH00: Increase of Savings per head during the period 2000-2010.

Dependent Variable: IH10. Method: Least Squares. Included observations: 25							
Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.			
Initial Investment: IH00	0.785281	0.079778	9.843297	0.0000			
Educational level: EDU1	110.2524	39.65246	2.780469	0.0109			
Increase in Savings: SH10-SH00	0.451655	0.141284 3.196783		0.0042			
R-squared	0.959041	Mean dependent var		2903.840			
Adjusted R-squared	0.955318	S.D. dependent var		3411.651			
S.E. of regression	721.1591	Akaike info criterion		16.11176			
Sum squared resid	11441549	Schwarz criterion		16.25803			
Log likelihood	-198.3970	Hannan-Quinn criter.		16.15233			
Durbin-Watson stat	2.176573						

Equation 1: Impact of education and savings on Investment per head in Asia-Pacific

Equation 2 shows the relationship between Production per head in year 2010 (PH10), its lagged value in year 2005 (PH05), average savings and average investment not financed by savings in the period 2005-2010.

Equation 2. Impact of Investment and Savings on real GDP per head in Asia-Pacific

Dependent Variable: PH10. M	Method Least S	Squares. Incl	uded observ	ations: 25
Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
PH05	0.742286	0.089328	8.309711	0.0000
SH (average 2005-2010)	1.463542	0.340675	4.296013	0.0003
IH-SH (average 2005-2010)	1.064603	0.321051	3.315998	0.0031
R-squared	0.994026	Mean dep	12612.80	
Adjusted R-squared	0.993483	S.D. dep	endent var	14427.61
S.E. of regression	1164.693	Akaike info criterion		17.07047
Sum squared resid	29843232	Schwarz criterion		17.21673
Log likelihood	-210.3809	Hannan-Qu	inn criter.	17.11104
Durbin-Watson stat	2.261647			

These equations show the positive impact of savings and investment on production per head. The educational level of population has an important role due to its impact on investment per head and other positive effects as seen in the next section.

## 5. Conclusions

In this study we have shown little advancement in several Asian countries in order to eradicate poverty, mainly due to the low levels of education and capacity to invest.

It should be advisable to favour international investment in low income countries addressed to increase their levels of economic development, provided that investment is sustainable and not subject to strong unbalances in foreign trade.

It is important to develop trade policies addressed to avoid strong and unsustainable disequilibria in the balance of payments, both of developed and developing countries, in order to avoid financial crisis with negative consequences on development.

### **Bibliography:**

ADB (2008). Education and Skills: Strategies for Accelerated Development in Asia and the Pacific, Asian Development Bank.

Barro, R., Lee, J.-W.(2012). Educational Attainment Database, http://www.barrolee.com/

Basu, A.M. (2002). "Why Does Education Lead to Lower Fertility? A Critical Review of Some of The Possibilities". Harvard Center for Population and Development Studies, Working paper, Vol.12-5.

Denison, E. (1967). *Why growth rates differ (postwar experience in nine western countries)*. With collaboration from J-P Poullier. The Brookings Institution, Washington.

Guisan, M.C., (2004). "Human Capital, Trade and Development in India, China, Japan and other Asian Countries, 1960-2002: Econometric Models and Causality Tests," *Applied Econometrics and International Development*, Vol. 4(3), pages 123-139.<sup>1</sup>

Guisan, M.C. (2006)."Industry, Foreign Trade and Development: Econometric Models of Europe and North America, 1965-2003" *International Journal of Applied Econometrics and International Development*, Vol.3-1, on line.<sup>2</sup>

Guisan, M.C. (2007)."Industry, Foreign Trade and Development: Econometric Models of Africa, Asia and Latin America, 1965-2003" *International Journal of Applied Econometrics and International Development*, Vol.4-1, pages 5-20, on line.<sup>2</sup>

Guisan, M.C. (2008). "Manufacturing and Economic Development: Intersectoral relationships in Europe, America, Africa and Asia-Pacific, 1999-2006," *Regional and Sectoral Economic Studies*, Vol. 8(2), pages 73-88.<sup>3</sup>

Guisan, M.C. (2009a). "Education, Health And Economic Development: A Survey of Quantitative Economic Studies, 2001-2009," *Regional and Sectoral Economic Studies*, vol. 9(1), pages 129-148.<sup>3</sup>

Guisan,M.C.(2009b)."Government Effectiveness, Education, Economic Development and Well-Being: Analysis of European Countries in Comparison with the United States and Canada, 2000-2007", *Applied Econometrics and International Development*, Vol. 9-1.<sup>1</sup>

Guisan, M.C. (2009c). "Indicators of Social Well-Being, Education and Genre Equality and World Development: Analysis of 132 Countries", *International Journal of Applied Econometrics and Quantitative Studies*, Vol. 6-2, pp.5-24.<sup>2</sup>

Guisan, M.C., Aguayo, E., Exposito, P. (2001a). "Economic Growth and Cycles: Crosscountry Models of education, Industry and Fertility and International Comparisons". *Applied Econometrics and International Development*. Vol. 1-1, pp. 9-38.<sup>1</sup>

Guisan, M.C., Aguayo, E. and Exposito, P.(2001b). Education and World Development in 1900-1999. A General View and Challenges for the Near Future. *Applied Econometrics and International Development* Vol. 1-1, pp.101-110.<sup>1</sup>

Guisan, M.C. & Exposito, P. (2001). "Economic Development of African and Asia-Pacific Areas in 1951-99," *Applied Econometrics and International Development*, Vol. 1(2), pages 101-125.<sup>1</sup>

Guisan, M.C. & Exposito, P., (2002). "Economic Growth and Cycles in Asia and Africa in the 20th Century," *Review on Economic Cycles*, Vol. 5(1), December.

Guisan, M.C. & Exposito, P., (2003). "Education, Industry, Trade and Development of Asia-Pacific countries in 1980-99," *Applied Econometrics and International Development*, Vol. 3(2), 117-142.<sup>1</sup>

Guisan, M.C., Exposito, P., (2004). "Employment And Real Income of Agriculture in India, China and OECD Countries: Econometric Models And Evolution 1950-2000," The IUP Journal of Applied Economics, ICFAI Press, vol. 0(5), pages 7-17, September.

Guisan, M.C., Exposito, P., (2005). "Industry and Foreign Trade in India, China and OECD countries: an analysis of causality, 1960-2002," *International Journal of Applied Econometrics and Quantitative Studies*, Vol. 2(3), pages 5-16.<sup>2</sup>

Guisan, M.C., Exposito, P., 2006. "Production by sector in China, India and OECD Countries, 1985-2005," *Regional and Sectoral Economic Studies*, vol. 6(2).<sup>3</sup>

Guisan, M.C., Exposito, P., (2008). "An Econometric Model of Industry, Foreign Trade, and Economic Development In Philippines, 1990-2006," *Applied Econometrics and International Development*, Vol. 8(2), pages 193-208.<sup>1</sup>

Guisan, M.C., Exposito, P.(2010). "Health Expenditure, Education, Government Effectiveness and Quality of Life in Africa and Asia", *Regional and Sectoral Economic Studies*, Vol. 10-1.<sup>2</sup>

Guisan, M.C., Cancelo, M.T., Exposito, P., (2007). "Desarrollo Economico De China, India Y Paises Limitrofes, 1990-2005," *Estudios Economicos de Desarrollo Internacional*, Vol. 7(2), 5-24 (Spanish with English Summary).<sup>4</sup>

Guisan, M.C., Neira, I.(2006). "Direct and Indirect Effects of Human Capital on World Development, 1960-2004", *Applied Econometrics and International Development* Vol. 6-1, on line.<sup>1</sup>

Ravallion, M. and Shaohua, C. (1996). What can new survey data tell us about recent changes in distribution and poverty? *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper* 1694.

WB(2010). World Development Indicators. World Bank, on line.

<sup>1</sup> <u>http://www.usc.es/economet/aeid.htm</u> <sup>3</sup> <u>http://www.usc.es/economet/rses.htm</u> On line annex at the journal Website: http://www.usc.es/economet/aeid.htm Annex.

País	%	%	%	Population
	1995	2000	2005	2005
Australia	0	0	0	20.321
Bangladesh	79.30	84.00		141.822
Cambodia	77.71	77.71		14.071
China	53.34	45.49	34.89	1304.5
Hong Kong, China	0	0	0	6.944
India		80.36	80.36	1094.583
Indonesia		55.39	52.42	220.558
Iran, Islamic Rep				67.7
Israel	0	0	0	6.909
Japan	0	0	0	127.956
Jordan	7.38	7.16	6.95	5.411
Korea, Rep.		2.00		48.294
Kuwait				2.535
Lao PDR	73.19	73.66	74.13	5.924
Lebanon				3.577
Malaysia	13.97	9.25		25.347
Mongolia	49.96	119.49	44.58	2.554
Myanmar				50.519
Nepal	77.85	73.19	68.53	27.133
New Zealand	0	0	0	4.11
Pakistan	65.82	69.70		155.772
Papua New Guinea				5.887
Philippines	45.05	47.48	42.96	83.054
Saudi Arabia				24.573
Singapore	0	0	0	4.351
Sri Lanka	45.35	43.47	41.60	19.582
Syrian Arab R.				19.043
Thailand	28.25	32.47	25.15	64.233
Vietnam				82.966
Yemen, Rep.	45.24	45.24		20.975

Tabla A1. Poverty country in Asia and Pacific, 1995-2005: percentage of population below 2\$ per day

Source: Elaborated from WB(2010).

(Dimon Domais at prices and I are	8 - 1			~) ** ] *** =	
Área	PIB	PIB	Tasa	% World	% World
	2000	2010	anual	Share	Share
				2000	2010
Africa Subsaharian	1050	1744	5.07	2.19	2.58
Asia South	2287	4603	7.00	4.77	6.80
China	3367	9114	9.95	7.02	13.47
Latin America and the Caribbean	4309	5959	3.24	8.98	8.81
Middle East and North Africa (MENA)	2307	3496	4.16	4.81	5.17
OECD	31613	37223	1.63	65.90	55.03
Rest of World (ROW)	3036	5509	5.96	6.33	8.14
World	47969	67646	3.44	100	100

Table A2. Real GDP and rateo f growth in World major aras: years 2000 y 2010 (Billion Dollars at prices and Purchasing Power Parities (PPPs) of year 2005

Source: Elaborated by M.C. Guisan from World Bank statistics.

Table A2 shows that World GDP has Brown at a rate of 3.44% per year during the decade 2000-2010, over to the average rateo f the second half of the 20th Century which was around 2.07%, as seen in Guisán, Aguayo y Expósito(2001 b).

Area	Population	Population	Annual	Annual			
	2000	2010	Rate	Increase			
Africa Subsahariana	667	854	2.47	19			
Asia South	1360	1580	1.50	22			
China	1263	1338	0.58	8			
Latin America and the Caribbean	520	589	1.24	7			
MENA	313	383	2.02	7			
OCDE	1153	1236	0.70	8			
Resto del Mundo	804	861	0.68	6			
Total Mundo	6080	6841	1.18	76			

Tabla A3 Population (million people), annual rate of growth and annual increase

Source: Elaborated by M.C. Guisan from World Bank statistics.

Tabla A4. PIB por habitante, tasa anual de crecimiento e incremento anual (Dólares per cápita a precios y paridades de compra del 2005)

Área	PH	PH	Tasa	Incremento		
	2000	2010	anual	Anual (\$ 2000)		
África Subsahariana	1575	2041	2.60	47		
América Latina y C.	8280	10117	2.00	184		
Asia Sur	1682	2914	5.50	123		
China	2667	6810	9.37	414		
MENA	7379	9132	2.13	175		
OCDE	27423	30112	0.93	269		
Resto del Mundo	3776	6401	5.28	262		
Total Mundo	7890	9889	2.26	200		

Source: Elaborated by M.C. Guisan from World Bank statistics.

País	Edu1	Edu2	Voice of
	2004	2005	Citizens
Australia	9.62	11.94	7.68
Bangladesh	4.64	4.19	3.74
Cambodia	3.69	5.70	3.26
China	6.37	7.05	1.60
H-K China	10.18	9.41	6.18
India	5.12	3.98	5.76
Indonesia	5.77	5.12	4.66
Iran	5.73	6.14	1.96
Israel	8.08	11.89	6.56
Japan	9.85	11.13	6.86
Jordan	4.29	8.02	3.72
Korea R	8.39	11.14	6.32
Kuwait	9.39	5.98	4.08
Lao PDR	3.52	4.22	1.68
Lebanon	6.61	-	4.10
Malaysia	6.22	8.94	3.90
Mongolia	5.53	8.23	5.26
Myanmar	4.92	3.48	0.68
Nepal	3.91	2.74	3.22
New Zealand	8.61	12.26	7.98
Pakistan	3.54	4.51	2.90
Papua-New Guinea	4.21	3.87	5.24
Philippines	5.02	8.32	4.66
Saudi Arabia	5.32	7.24	1.82
Singapore	10.78	8.14	4.14
Sri Lanka	6.16	7.92	4.22
Syrian AR	4.66	4.83	1.46
Thailand	6.54	5.88	3.78
Vietnam	5.55	4.93	1.78
Yemen	2.14	1.78	2.88

Tabla A5. Education and Voice of Citizens in Asia and Pacific

Nota: Edu1 is an indicator of average years of total schooling, around year 2005, of adult population (+25 years old) estimated by Guisán(2009) and base don the trend show in Barro y Lee(2000) and other indicators. Edu2 is the indicator of average years of schooling in year 2005 accordingly to the update base of Barro and Lee(2010) published by WB(2010). Voice of citizens has been elaborated by conversion to a decimal base from the scale -2.5 to 2.5 of Kaufman et al(2008): 0 indicates low level of government listening to citizens and 10 is the maximum value.

ZPI00	ZPI05	ZPII0	ZPS00	ZPS05	ZPS10
24	26	28	20	19	24
23	25	24	27	31	38
18	18	17	14	14	13
35	44	48	37	51	53
27	21	21	32	32	30
24	34	35	25	34	34
22	25	32	25	26	32
33	33	NA	39	NA	NA
21	19	16	17	22	18
25	24	20	28	27	24
22	34	15	23	17	9
31	30	29	33	32	32
11	16	NA	50	57	NA
28	34	26	17	16	20
20	22	33	NA	5	12
27	20	21	36	35	33
29	37	41	23	41	27
12	NA	23	NA	NA	38
24	26	35	22	31	34
22	25	20	17	16	NA
17	19	15	20	26	22
22	20	18	32	28	20
21	15	21	37	22	27
19	18	22	29	48	26
33	20	24	47	39	46
28	26	28	22	23	25
17	18	19	22	19	17
23	31	26	30	27	31
30	36	39	31	36	32
19	NA	12	34	NA	9
	2P100 24 23 18 35 27 24 22 33 21 25 22 31 11 28 20 27 29 12 24 22 31 11 28 20 27 29 12 24 22 31 11 28 20 27 29 12 24 22 31 11 28 20 27 29 12 24 22 31 11 28 20 27 29 12 24 22 31 11 28 20 27 29 12 24 22 31 11 28 20 27 29 12 24 22 31 11 28 20 27 29 12 24 22 31 11 28 20 27 29 12 24 22 31 11 28 20 27 29 12 24 22 31 11 28 20 27 29 12 24 22 31 17 22 23 31 11 28 20 27 29 12 24 22 31 17 22 24 27 29 12 24 22 31 17 22 21 23 29 12 24 22 31 17 22 21 24 22 21 27 29 12 24 22 21 17 22 21 17 22 21 17 22 21 19 33 28 17 29 19 33 28 17 29 19 33 28 17 29 19 33 28 17 29 17 29 19 33 28 17 29 17 29 19 33 28 17 29 17 29 17 29 19 33 28 17 29 17 29 19 33 28 17 29 17 23 30 19 19 30 19	ZP100         ZP105           24         26           23         25           18         18           35         44           27         21           24         34           22         25           33         33           21         19           25         24           22         34           31         30           11         16           28         34           20         22           27         20           29         37           12         NA           24         26           22         25           17         19           22         20           21         15           19         18           33         20           28         26           17         18           23         31           30         36           19         NA	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

 Table A6. Percentage of Investment and Savings on GDP, in 2000, 2005, 2010

168