Original

Nazaret Cobos-Triqueros¹ Yuliya Zboromyrska² Laura Morata¹ Izaskun Alejo² Cristina De La Calle¹ Andrea Vergara² Celia Cardozo1 Maria P. Arcas¹ Alex Soriano¹ Francesc Marco² Josep Mensa¹ Manel Almela² Jose A. Martinez¹

Time-to-positivity, type of culture media and oxidase test performed on positive blood culture vials to predict Pseudomonas aeruginosa in patients with Gram-negative bacilli bacteraemia

¹Department of Infectious Diseases, Hospital Clínic, IDIBAPS, Barcelona University, Barcelona, Spain, ²Department of Clinical Microbiology, Hospital Clínic, Barcelona University, Barcelona, Spain.

ABSTRACT

Introduction. The aim of this study was to determine the usefulness of oxidase test and time-to-positivity (TTP) in aerobic and anaerobic blood culture vials to detect the presence of Pseudomonas aeruginosa in patients with Gram-negative bacilli (GNB) bacteraemia.

Material and methods. TTP was recorded for each aerobic and anaerobic blood culture vial of monomicrobial bacteraemia due to GNB. Oxidase test was performed in a pellet of the centrifuged content of the positive blood culture. An algorithm was developed in order to perform the oxidase test efficiently taking into account TTP and type of vial.

Results. A total of 341 episodes of GNB bacteraemia were analysed. Sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value and negative predictive value of the oxidase test performed on positive vials with GNB to predict P. aeruginosa were 95%, 99%, 91%, and 99%, respectively. When growth was first or exclusively detected in anaerobic vials, P. aeruginosa was never identified hence the performance of the oxidase test could be avoided. When growth was only or first detected in aerobic vials, a TTP≥8h predicted P. aeruginosa in 37% or cases (63 of 169), therefore oxidase test is highly recommended.

Conclusions. Oxidase test performed onto positive blood culture vials previously selected by TTP and type of vials is an easy and inexpensive way to predict P. aeruginosa. In most cases, this can lead to optimization of treatment in less than 24 hours.

Keywords: Time to blood culture positivity, culture media, bloodstream infection, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, oxidase test.

Correspondence: Nazaret Cobos-Trigueros.

E-mail: fncobos@clinic.ub.es

Tiempo de positividad, tipo de medio de cultivo y prueba de oxidasa realizada en viales de hemocultivo positivos para predecir Pseudomonas aeruginosa en pacientes con bacteriemia por bacilos gramnegativos

RESUMEN

Introducción. El objetivo del estudio fue determinar la utilidad de la prueba de oxidasa y del tiempo de positividad del hemocultivo (TPH) para detectar la presencia de Pseudomonas aeruginosa en pacientes con bacteriemia por bacilos gramnegativos (BGN).

Material y métodos. Se registró el TPH de cada vial aerobio y anaerobio en todos los episodios de bacteriemia monomicrobiana por BGN. La prueba de oxidasa se realizó sobre el contenido centrifugado del hemocultivo positivo. Se diseñó un algoritmo para optimizar la realización de la prueba de oxidasa según el TPH y el tipo de vial.

Resultados. Se analizaron 341 episodios de bacteriemia por BGN. La sensibilidad, especificidad, valor predictivo positivo y valor predictivo negativo de la prueba de oxidasa para predecir *P. aeruginosa* fueron del 95%, 99%, 91% y 99%, respectivamente. Cuando el crecimiento se detectó primero o exclusivamente en viales anaerobios, nunca se identificó P. aeruginosa pudiendo evitar la realización de la prueba de oxidasa. Cuando el crecimiento se detectó antes o exclusivamente en viales aerobios un TPH ≥8h predijo la presencia de P. aeruginosa en el 37% de los casos (63 de 169), por lo que es recomendable la realización de la prueba de oxidasa.

Conclusiones. La prueba de oxidasa realizada a viales de hemocultivos positivos previamente seleccionados por el TPH y el tipo de medio es una forma fácil y económica de predecir P. aeruginosa. En la mayoría de los casos, esto puede contribuir a la optimización del tratamiento antibiótico en menos de 24h.

Palabras clave: Tiempo de positividad del hemocultivo, medio de cultivo, bacteriemia, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, prueba de oxidasa.

Department of Infectious Diseases, Hospital Clínic. Villarroel 170, 08036, Barcelona, Spain. Tel: +34-932275430. Fax: +34-934514438.

N. Cobos-Trigueros, et al.

Time-to-positivity, type of culture media and oxidase test performed on positive blood culture vials to predict *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in patients with Gram-negative bacilli bacteraemia

INTRODUCTION

Pseudomonas aeruginosa is the most prevalent Gram-negative oxidase-positive bacilli involved in hospital acquired infections, it requires specific management due to drug resistance and in bacteremic patients has a higher mortality when compared with other Gram-negative bacilli (GNB)¹. Prognosis can still be improved in patients with severe sepsis due to GNB who receive inappropriate empirical treatment if therapy is switched to an appropriate one within 48 h of having their cultures drawn². Therefore, the immediate identification of the microorganism in a positive blood culture vial may be of vital importance³.

Kovac's oxidase test has been used for decades to identify

GNB that produce the enzyme cytochrome oxidase. The procedure consists of rubbing a colony of the organism on a filter paper saturated with oxidase reagent (tetramethyl-p-phenylenediamine dihydrochloride) which in 10 seconds becomes dark blue if the production of the enzyme is present⁴. On the other hand, GNB time to positivity (TTP), as provided by automatic blood culture processing machines, has been reported to be useful to disclose the kind of microorganism involved⁵⁻⁷, the presence of resistance⁸, endovascular origen^{5,9-11} and prognosis of bacteraemia^{5,6,12-17}. Furthermore, Defrance et al., described an algorithm to differentiate Enterobacteriaceae, strict anaerobes and P. aeruginosa in positive blood cultures integrating information of type of vials and TTP⁷.

The aim of the present study was to assess the diagnostic accuracy of the oxidase test performed on GNB positive blood cultures and, in order to avoid laboratory overload, to propose an algorithm of application of the test depending on TTP and type of vial where growth is first detected in patients with GNB monomicrobial bacteraemia.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

From January to December 2011 the Microbiology Laboratory recorded TTP and type of vial and performed the oxidase test in all blood cultures vials positive for GNB obtained at a 700-bed university hospital in Barcelona, Spain. Blood cultures were processed by the BACTEC 9240 system (Becton-Dickinson, MD, USA). Vials with or without resins were used (BACTEC Plus Aerobic/F and BACTEC Plus Anaerobic/F or BACTEC Standard 10 Aerobic/F and BACTEC Lytic /10 Anaerobic/F). Polymicrobial blood cultures were excluded. TTP was defined as the length of time from the beginning of culture incubation to the detection of bacterial growth by the automatic system. For the purpose of the study, the shortest time registered in any positive vial was considered. If a patient had persistent bacteraemia,

only the first positive sets of blood cultures were taken into account. To perform the oxidase test 10 mL of blood-broth mixture from the first positive vial of each patient were centrifuged at 1500 rpm for 5 minutes. Then 2 mL of the supernatant were centrifuged at 13000 rpm for 1 minute and the oxidase test was performed on a pellet using Oxidase Reagent test (Biomérieux, France). In order to rule out non-specific reactions, the oxidase test was also performed in forty negative blood culture vials. The GNB were subcultured onto Mac-Conkey and blood agar plates and identified by the Phoenix System (Becton-Dickinson MD, USA) and matrix assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MAL-DI-TOF MS, Bruker Daltonics, Bremen, Germany).

Medians and interquartile ranges (IQ) were calculated

Table 1	Results of t positive blo	he oxidase test od cultures ^a .	performed onto	
Specie	Specie		Number of positive oxidase tests	
Oxidase-positive	species			
Pseudomonas	aeruginosa	66	63	
Pseudomonas	nitroreducens	2	2	
Brevundimond	<i>is</i> spp.	1	1	
Achromobacte	er spp.	5	3	
Aeromonas sobria		2	0	
Vibrio alginoly	rticus	1	1	
Oxidase-negative	e species			
Escherichia co	Escherichia coli		0	
Klebsiella pne	umoniae	40	0	
Klebsiella oxy	Klebsiella oxytoca		0	
Enterobacter o	cloacae	12	0	
Enterobacter o	Enterobacter aerogenes		0	
Pantoea aglor	Pantoea aglomerans		0	
Proteus mirab	ilis	9	0	
Serratia marce	escens	9	0	
Serratia liquet	faciens	1	0	
Citrobacter freundii Citrobacter koseri Morganella morganii Salmonella typhimurium		6	0	
		2	0	
		3	0	
		2	0	
Stenotrophom	onas maltophilia	5	0	
Acinetobacter	spp.	5	0	
Bacteroides fr	agilis	2	0	
Fusobacteriun	n nucleatum	1	0	

^aPerformance characteristics of the oxidase test for oxidase producers GNB: Se 90%, E 100%, PPV 100%, NPV 97%. Performance characteristics of the oxidase test for *P. aeruginosa*: Se 95% E 99%, PPV 91 %, NPV 99%.

for TTP and pairwise comparisons between GNB groups (glucose-fermenters, non-glucose-fermenters and strict anaerobes) were performed with non-parametric Mann-Whitney test. Proportions were compared by the chi-square or Fisher's exact test. Sensitivity (Se), specificity (Sp), positive predictive value (PPV) and negative predictive value (NPV) were calculated for the oxidase test to predict oxidase-positive species as well as *P. aeruginosa*. Statistical analysis was done using the SPSS statistical package for Windows (version 20.0; Chicago, IL, USA).

RESULTS

A total of 341 episodes of Gram-negative monomicrobial bacteraemia were analysed.

Results of the oxidase test performed onto positive blood cultures are shown in table 1. All oxidase-negative species as well as all the negative blood cultures used as controls were negative in the direct oxidase test. Among oxidase producers, 3 of 66 (4.5%) *P. aeruginosa*, 2 of 5 (40%) *Achromobacter* spp. and 2 of 2 *Aeromonas sobria* yielded a negative oxidase test, so the performance characteristics were: 90% Se, 100% Sp, 100% PPV and 97% NPV. On the other hand, the performance characteristics of the test to predict *P. aeruginosa* from a blood culture positive for GNB were: 95% Se, 99% E, 91% VPP and 99% VPN.

The prevalence and TTP of the different species are shown in table 2. The median (IQR) TTP for all isolates was 10.7 (8.5-14.7) h. Median (IQR) TTP of glucose-fermenters [9.9 (8.1-12.3) h] was significantly shorter than that of non-glucose-fermenters and strict anaerobes [15.4 (12.4-19.4) h, p<0.001].

Growth detection according to the type of vials for each group is shown in table 3. All non-fermenters were detected earlier or exclusively in aerobic vials, but only 113 (44%) of glucose-fermenters met this criterion (p<0.0001).

When the GNB was detected exclusively in anaerobic vials, a TTP lower than 19 h ruled out the presence of strict anaerobes. When growth was only or first detected in aerobic vials, a TTP≥8h predicted *P. aeruginosa* in 37% of cases (63 of 169), while a TTP lower than 8 h had a predictive value of 11% (3/28).

DISCUSSION

The main finding of the present study is that the oxidase test performed onto a positive blood culture has a 90% Se, 100% Sp, 100% PPV and 97% to predict an oxidase producer GNB. In order to obtain these results, two centrifugations, as described in methods section, were needed to separate the bacteria from the other components of the blood culture and avoid false positive cases that may occur when the test is performed on the unprocessed content of the vial. The whole procedure takes around ten minutes. The present study confirms what Sepúlveda et al. reported in 1990 about that a positive oxidase test plus ß-glucuronidase, ß-xylosidase and indole negative tests performed onto the filtrated content of positive

Microorganism N (%) Median in hours (IQR) Glucose-fermenters 254 (74) 9.9 (8.1-12.3) Escherichia coli 154 9.9 (8.3-12.2) Klebsiella axytoca 10 8.5 (7.2-11.3) Klebsiella pneumoniae 40 9.6 (6.6-11.3) Citrobacter freundii 6 10.2 (7.6-18.5) Citrobacter koseri 2 11.9 (9.2-11.9) Enterobacter aerogenes 1 6.1 Enterobacter cloacae 12 10.9 (9.5-14) Morganella morganii 3 9 (6.1-9) Proteus mirabilis 9 10.5 (8.1-13.2) Pantoea aglomerans 2 4.9 (4.7-4-9) Serratia marscecens 9 14.2 (11.9-29) Salmonella typhimurium 2 12 (11.2-12) Aeromona sobria 2 6.2 (6-6.2) Vibrio alginolyticus 1 6.3 Non-glucose-fermenters 84 (25) 15.3 (12.3-18.9) Achromobacter xylosoxidans 5 23.6 (20.8-31.2) Acinetobacter spp. 5 16 (12.9-20.3)		Table 2	Prevalence of each spe negative ba	and time t cie and gro cilli.	o positivity oup of Gram-	
Glucose-fermenters 254 (74) 9.9 (8.1-12.3) Escherichia coli 154 9.9 (8.3-12.2) Klebsiella oxytoca 10 8.5 (7.2-11.3) Klebsiella pneumoniae 40 9.6 (6.6-11.3) Citrobacter freundii 6 10.2 (7.6-18.5) Citrobacter koseri 2 11.9 (9.2-11.9) Enterobacter aeragenes 1 6.1 Enterobacter cloacae 12 10.9 (9.5-14) Morganella morganii 3 9 (6.1-9) Proteus mirabilis 9 10.5 (8.1-13.2) Pantoea aglomerans 2 4.9 (4.7-4-9) Serratia liquefaciens 1 20 Serratia marscecens 9 14.2 (11.9-29) Salmonella typhimurium 2 12 (11.2-12) Aeromona sobria 2 6.2 (6-6.2) Vibrio alginolyticus 1 6.3 Non-glucose-fermenters 84 (25) 15.3 (12.3-18.9) Achromobacter xylosoxidans 5 23.6 (20.8-31.2) Acinetobacter spp. 5 16 (12.9-20.3) Br	Microorganism			N (%)	Median in hours (IQR)	
Escherichia coli 154 9.9 (8.3-12.2) Klebsiella axytoca 10 8.5 (7.2-11.3) Klebsiella pneumoniae 40 9.6 (6.6-11.3) Citrobacter freundii 6 10.2 (7.6-18.5) Citrobacter koseri 2 11.9 (9.2-11.9) Enterobacter aeragenes 1 6.1 Enterobacter cloacae 12 10.9 (9.5-14) Morganella morganii 3 9 (6.1-9) Proteus mirabilis 9 10.5 (8.1-13.2) Pantoea aglomerans 2 4.9 (4.7-4-9) Serratia liquefaciens 1 20 Serratia marscecens 9 14.2 (11.9-29) Salmonella typhimurium 2 12 (11.2-12) Aeromona sobria 2 6.2 (6-6.2) Vibrio alginolyticus 1 6.3 Non-glucose-fermenters 84 (25) 15.3 (12.3-18.9) Achromobacter xylosoxidans 5 23.6 (20.8-31.2) Acinetobacter spp. 5 16 (12.9-20.3) Brevundimonas pp. 1 58.1 Pseudomonas aerugino		Glucose-ferment	ers	254 (74)	9.9 (8.1-12.3)	
Klebsiella oxytoca 10 8.5 (7.2-11.3) Klebsiella pneumoniae 40 9.6 (6.6-11.3) Citrobacter freundii 6 10.2 (7.6-18.5) Citrobacter koseri 2 11.9 (9.2-11.9) Enterobacter aerogenes 1 6.1 Enterobacter cloacae 12 10.9 (9.5-14) Morganella morganii 3 9 (6.1-9) Proteus mirabilis 9 10.5 (8.1-13.2) Pantoea aglomerans 2 4.9 (4.7-4-9) Serratia ilquefaciens 1 20 Serratia marscecens 9 14.2 (11.9-29) Salmonella typhimurium 2 12 (11.2-12) Aeromona sobria 2 6.2 (6-6.2) Vibrio alginolyticus 1 6.3 Non-glucose-fermenters 84 (25) 15.3 (12.3-18.9) Achromobacter xylosoxidans 5 23.6 (20.8-31.2) Acinetobacter spp. 5 16 (12.9-20.3) Brevundimonas spp. 1 58.1 Pseudomonas nitroreducens 2 14.7 (5.3-14.7) Pseudomonas aeruginosa 66 14.7 (12.2-16.6) Stenotroph		Escherichia co	li	154	9.9 (8.3-12.2)	
Klebsiella pneumoniae 40 9.6 (6.6-11.3) Citrobacter freundii 6 10.2 (7.6-18.5) Citrobacter koseri 2 11.9 (9.2-11.9) Enterobacter aerogenes 1 6.1 Enterobacter cloacae 12 10.9 (9.5-14) Morganella morganii 3 9 (6.1-9) Proteus mirabilis 9 10.5 (8.1-13.2) Pantoea aglomerans 2 4.9 (4.7-4-9) Serratia liquefaciens 1 20 Serratia marscecens 9 14.2 (11.9-29) Salmonella typhimurium 2 12 (11.2-12) Aeromona sobria 2 6.2 (6-6.2) Vibrio alginolyticus 1 6.3 Non-glucose-fermenters 84 (25) 15.3 (12.3-18.9) Achromobacter xylosoxidans 5 23.6 (20.8-31.2) Acinetobacter spp. 5 16 (12.9-20.3) Brevundimonas spp. 1 58.1 Pseudomonas nitroreducens 2 14.7 (5.3-14.7) Pseudomonas aeruginosa 66 14.7 (12.2-16.6) Stenotrophomonas maltophilia 5 21.1 (10.8-21.1) <td< td=""><td></td><td>Klebsiella oxy</td><td>toca</td><td>10</td><td>8.5 (7.2-11.3)</td><td></td></td<>		Klebsiella oxy	toca	10	8.5 (7.2-11.3)	
Citrobacter freundii 6 10.2 (7.6-18.5) Citrobacter koseri 2 11.9 (9.2-11.9) Enterobacter aerogenes 1 6.1 Enterobacter cloacae 12 10.9 (9.5-14) Morganella morganii 3 9 (6.1-9) Proteus mirabilis 9 10.5 (8.1-13.2) Pantoea aglomerans 2 4.9 (4.7-4-9) Serratia liquefaciens 1 20 Serratia marscecens 9 14.2 (11.9-29) Salmonella typhimurium 2 12 (11.2-12) Aeromona sobria 2 6.2 (6-6.2) Vibrio alginolyticus 1 6.3 Non-glucose-fermenters 84 (25) 15.3 (12.3-18.9) Achromobacter xylosoxidans 5 23.6 (20.8-31.2) Acinetobacter spp. 5 16 (12.9-20.3) Brevundimonas spp. 1 58.1 Pseudomonas nitroreducens 2 14.7 (5.3-14.7) Pseudomonas aeruginosa 66 14.7 (12.2-16.6) Stenotrophomonas maltophilia 5 21.1 (10.8-21.1) <		Klebsiella pne	umoniae	40	9.6 (6.6-11.3)	
Citrobacter koseri 2 11.9 (9.2-11.9) Enterobacter aerogenes 1 6.1 Enterobacter cloacae 12 10.9 (9.5-14) Morganella morganii 3 9 (6.1-9) Proteus mirabilis 9 10.5 (8.1-13.2) Pantoea aglomerans 2 4.9 (4.7-4-9) Serratia liquefaciens 1 20 Serratia marscecens 9 14.2 (11.9-29) Salmonella typhimurium 2 12 (11.2-12) Aeromona sobria 2 6.2 (6-6.2) Vibrio alginolyticus 1 6.3 Non-glucose-fermenters 84 (25) 15.3 (12.3-18.9) Acinetobacter xylosoxidans 5 23.6 (20.8-31.2) Acinetobacter spp. 5 16 (12.9-20.3) Brevundimonas spp. 1 58.1 Pseudomonas nitroreducens 2 14.7 (5.3-14.7) Pseudomonas aeruginosa 66 14.7 (12.2-16.6) Stenotrophomonas maltophilia 5 21.1 (10.8-21.1) Bacteroides fragilis 2 20 (19-20) Fu		Citrobacter fre	eundii	6	10.2 (7.6-18.5)	
Enterobacter aerogenes 1 6.1 Enterobacter cloacae 12 10.9 (9.5-14) Morganella morganii 3 9 (6.1-9) Proteus mirabilis 9 10.5 (8.1-13.2) Pantoea aglomerans 2 4.9 (4.7-4-9) Serratia liquefaciens 1 20 Serratia marscecens 9 14.2 (11.9-29) Salmonella typhimurium 2 12 (11.2-12) Aeromona sobria 2 6.2 (6-6.2) Vibrio alginolyticus 1 6.3 Non-glucose-fermenters 84 (25) 15.3 (12.3-18.9) Achromobacter xylosoxidans 5 23.6 (20.8-31.2) Acinetobacter spp. 5 16 (12.9-20.3) Brevundimonas spp. 1 58.1 Pseudomonas nitroreducens 2 14.7 (5.3-14.7) Pseudomonas aeruginosa 66 14.7 (12.2-16.6) Stenotrophomonas maltophilia 5 21.1 (10.8-21.1) Bacteroides fragilis 2 20 (19-20) Fusobacterium nucleatum 1 29.6 Total 341 10.7 (8.5-14.7)		Citrobacter ka	seri	2	11.9 (9.2-11.9)	
Enterobacter cloacae 12 10.9 (9.5-14) Morganella morganii 3 9 (6.1-9) Proteus mirabilis 9 10.5 (8.1-13.2) Pantoea aglomerans 2 4.9 (4.7-4-9) Serratia liquefaciens 1 20 Serratia marscecens 9 14.2 (11.9-29) Salmonella typhimurium 2 12 (11.2-12) Aeromona sobria 2 6.2 (6-6.2) Vibrio alginolyticus 1 6.3 Non-glucose-fermenters 84 (25) 15.3 (12.3-18.9) Achromobacter xylosoxidans 5 23.6 (20.8-31.2) Acinetobacter spp. 5 16 (12.9-20.3) Brevundimonas spp. 1 58.1 Pseudomonas nitroreducens 2 14.7 (5.3-14.7) Pseudomonas aeruginosa 66 14.7 (12.2-16.6) Stenotrophomonas maltophilia 5 21.1 (10.8-21.1) Bacteroides fragilis 2 20 (19-20) Fusobacterium nucleatum 1 29.6 Total 341 10.7 (8.5-14.7)		Enterobacter of	rerogenes	1	6.1	
Morganella morganii 3 9 (6.1-9) Proteus mirabilis 9 10.5 (8.1-13.2) Pantoea aglomerans 2 4.9 (4.7-4-9) Serratia liquefaciens 1 20 Serratia marscecens 9 14.2 (11.9-29) Salmonella typhimurium 2 12 (11.2-12) Aeromona sobria 2 6.2 (6-6.2) Vibrio alginolyticus 1 6.3 Non-glucose-fermenters 84 (25) 15.3 (12.3-18.9) Achromobacter xylosoxidans 5 23.6 (20.8-31.2) Acinetobacter spp. 5 16 (12.9-20.3) Brevundimonas spp. 1 58.1 Pseudomonas nitroreducens 2 14.7 (5.3-14.7) Pseudomonas aeruginosa 66 14.7 (12.2-16.6) Stenotrophomonas maltophilia 5 21.1 (10.8-21.1) Strict anaerobes 3 (1) 21 (19.1-21) Bacteroides fragilis 2 20 (19-20) Fusobacterium nucleatum 1 29.6 Total 341 10.7 (8.5-14.7)		Enterobacter of	cloacae	12	10.9 (9.5-14)	
Proteus mirabilis 9 10.5 (8.1-13.2) Pantoea aglomerans 2 4.9 (4.7-4-9) Serratia liquefaciens 1 20 Serratia marscecens 9 14.2 (11.9-29) Salmonella typhimurium 2 12 (11.2-12) Aeromona sobria 2 6.2 (6-6.2) Vibrio alginolyticus 1 6.3 Non-glucose-fermenters 84 (25) 15.3 (12.3-18.9) Achromobacter xylosoxidans 5 23.6 (20.8-31.2) Acinetobacter spp. 5 16 (12.9-20.3) Brevundimonas spp. 1 58.1 Pseudomonas nitroreducens 2 14.7 (5.3-14.7) Pseudomonas aeruginosa 66 14.7 (12.2-16.6) Stenotrophomonas maltophilia 5 21.1 (10.8-21.1) Strict anaerobes 3 (1) 21 (19.1-21) Bacteroides fragilis 2 20 (19-20) Fusobacterium nucleatum 1 29.6 Total 341 10.7 (8.5-14.7)		Morganella m	organii	3	9 (6.1-9)	
Pantoea aglomerans 2 4.9 (4.7-4-9) Serratia liquefaciens 1 20 Serratia marscecens 9 14.2 (11.9-29) Salmonella typhimurium 2 12 (11.2-12) Aeromona sobria 2 6.2 (6-6.2) Vibrio alginolyticus 1 6.3 Non-glucose-fermenters 84 (25) 15.3 (12.3-18.9) Achromobacter xylosoxidans 5 23.6 (20.8-31.2) Acinetobacter spp. 5 16 (12.9-20.3) Brevundimonas spp. 1 58.1 Pseudomonas nitroreducens 2 14.7 (5.3-14.7) Pseudomonas aeruginosa 66 14.7 (12.2-16.6) Stenotrophomonas maltophilia 5 21.1 (10.8-21.1) Strict anaerobes 3 (1) 21 (19.1-21) Bacteroides fragilis 2 20 (19-20) Fusobacterium nucleatum 1 29.6 Total 341 10.7 (8.5-14.7)		Proteus mirab	ilis	9	10.5 (8.1-13.2)	
Serratia liquefaciens 1 20 Serratia marscecens 9 14.2 (11.9-29) Salmonella typhimurium 2 12 (11.2-12) Aeromona sobria 2 6.2 (6-6.2) Vibrio alginolyticus 1 6.3 Non-glucose-fermenters 84 (25) 15.3 (12.3-18.9) Achromobacter xylosoxidans 5 23.6 (20.8-31.2) Acinetobacter spp. 5 16 (12.9-20.3) Brevundimonas spp. 1 58.1 Pseudomonas nitroreducens 2 14.7 (5.3-14.7) Pseudomonas aeruginosa 66 14.7 (12.2-16.6) Stenotrophomonas maltophilia 5 21.1 (10.8-21.1) Strict anaerobes 3 (1) 21 (19.1-21) Bacteroides fragilis 2 20 (19-20) Fusobacterium nucleatum 1 29.6 Total 341 10.7 (8.5-14.7)		Pantoea aglor	nerans	2	4.9 (4.7-4-9)	
Serratia marscecens 9 14.2 (11.9-29) Salmonella typhimurium 2 12 (11.2-12) Aeromona sobria 2 6.2 (6-6.2) Vibrio alginolyticus 1 6.3 Non-glucose-fermenters 84 (25) 15.3 (12.3-18.9) Achromobacter xylosoxidans 5 23.6 (20.8-31.2) Acinetobacter spp. 5 16 (12.9-20.3) Brevundimonas spp. 1 58.1 Pseudomonas nitroreducens 2 14.7 (5.3-14.7) Pseudomonas aeruginosa 66 14.7 (12.2-16.6) Stenotrophomonas maltophilia 5 21.1 (10.8-21.1) Strict anaerobes 3 (1) 21 (19.1-21) Bacteroides fragilis 2 20 (19-20) Fusobacterium nucleatum 1 29.6 Total 341 10.7 (8.5-14.7)		Serratia liquet	faciens	1	20	
Salmonella typhimurium 2 12 (11.2-12) Aeromona sobria 2 6.2 (6-6.2) Vibrio alginolyticus 1 6.3 Non-glucose-fermenters 84 (25) 15.3 (12.3-18.9) Achromobacter xylosoxidans 5 23.6 (20.8-31.2) Acinetobacter spp. 5 16 (12.9-20.3) Brevundimonas spp. 1 58.1 Pseudomonas nitroreducens 2 14.7 (5.3-14.7) Pseudomonas aeruginosa 66 14.7 (12.2-16.6) Stenotrophomonas maltophilia 5 21.1 (10.8-21.1) Strict anaerobes 3 (1) 21 (19.1-21) Bacteroides fragilis 2 20 (19-20) Fusobacterium nucleatum 1 29.6 Total 341 10.7 (8.5-14.7)	Serratia marscecens		9	14.2 (11.9-29)		
Aeromona sobria 2 6.2 (6-6.2) Vibrio alginolyticus 1 6.3 Non-glucose-fermenters 84 (25) 15.3 (12.3-18.9) Achromobacter xylosoxidans 5 23.6 (20.8-31.2) Acinetobacter spp. 5 16 (12.9-20.3) Brevundimonas spp. 1 58.1 Pseudomonas nitroreducens 2 14.7 (5.3-14.7) Pseudomonas aeruginosa 66 14.7 (12.2-16.6) Stenotrophomonas maltophilia 5 21.1 (10.8-21.1) Strict anaerobes 3 (1) 21 (19.1-21) Bacteroides fragilis 2 20 (19-20) Fusobacterium nucleatum 1 29.6 Total 341 10.7 (8.5-14.7)	Salmonella typhimurium		2	12 (11.2-12)		
Vibrio alginolyticus 1 6.3 Non-glucose-fermenters 84 (25) 15.3 (12.3-18.9) Achromobacter xylosoxidans 5 23.6 (20.8-31.2) Acinetobacter spp. 5 16 (12.9-20.3) Brevundimonas spp. 1 58.1 Pseudomonas nitroreducens 2 14.7 (5.3-14.7) Pseudomonas aeruginosa 66 14.7 (12.2-16.6) Stenotrophomonas maltophilia 5 21.1 (10.8-21.1) Strict anaerobes 3 (1) 21 (19.1-21) Bacteroides fragilis 2 20 (19-20) Fusobacterium nucleatum 1 29.6 Total 341 10.7 (8.5-14.7)	Aeromona sobria		2	6.2 (6-6.2)		
Non-glucose-fermenters 84 (25) 15.3 (12.3-18.9) Achromobacter xylosoxidans 5 23.6 (20.8-31.2) Acinetobacter spp. 5 16 (12.9-20.3) Brevundimonas spp. 1 58.1 Pseudomonas nitroreducens 2 14.7 (5.3-14.7) Pseudomonas aeruginosa 66 14.7 (12.2-16.6) Stenotrophomonas maltophilia 5 21.1 (10.8-21.1) Strict anaerobes 3 (1) 21 (19.1-21) Bacteroides fragilis 2 20 (19-20) Fusobacterium nucleatum 1 29.6 Total 341 10.7 (8.5-14.7)	Vibrio alginolyticus		1	6.3		
Achromobacter xylosoxidans 5 23.6 (20.8-31.2) Acinetobacter spp. 5 16 (12.9-20.3) Brevundimonas spp. 1 58.1 Pseudomonas nitroreducens 2 14.7 (5.3-14.7) Pseudomonas aeruginosa 66 14.7 (12.2-16.6) Stenotrophomonas maltophilia 5 21.1 (10.8-21.1) Strict anaerobes 3 (1) 21 (19.1-21) Bacteroides fragilis 2 20 (19-20) Fusobacterium nucleatum 1 29.6 Total 341 10.7 (8.5-14.7)	Non-glucose-fermenters		84 (25)	15.3 (12.3-18.9)		
Acinetobacter spp. 5 16 (12.9-20.3) Brevundimonas spp. 1 58.1 Pseudomonas nitroreducens 2 14.7 (5.3-14.7) Pseudomonas aeruginosa 66 14.7 (12.2-16.6) Stenotrophomonas maltophilia 5 21.1 (10.8-21.1) Strict anaerobes 3 (1) 21 (19.1-21) Bacteroides fragilis 2 20 (19-20) Fusobacterium nucleatum 1 29.6 Total 341 10.7 (8.5-14.7)	Achromobacter xylosoxidans		5	23.6 (20.8-31.2)		
Brevundimonas spp. 1 58.1 Pseudomonas nitroreducens 2 14.7 (5.3-14.7) Pseudomonas aeruginosa 66 14.7 (12.2-16.6) Stenotrophomonas maltophilia 5 21.1 (10.8-21.1) Strict anaerobes 3 (1) 21 (19.1-21) Bacteroides fragilis 2 20 (19-20) Fusobacterium nucleatum 1 29.6 Total 341 10.7 (8.5-14.7)		Acinetobacter	spp.	5	16 (12.9-20.3)	
Pseudomonas nitroreducens 2 14.7 (5.3-14.7) Pseudomonas aeruginosa 66 14.7 (12.2-16.6) Stenotrophomonas maltophilia 5 21.1 (10.8-21.1) Strict anaerobes 3 (1) 21 (19.1-21) Bacteroides fragilis 2 20 (19-20) Fusobacterium nucleatum 1 29.6 Total 341 10.7 (8.5-14.7)		Brevundimond	rs spp.	1	58.1	
Pseudomonas aeruginosa 66 14.7 (12.2-16.6) Stenotrophomonas maltophilia 5 21.1 (10.8-21.1) Strict anaerobes 3 (1) 21 (19.1-21) Bacteroides fragilis 2 20 (19-20) Fusobacterium nucleatum 1 29.6 Total 341 10.7 (8.5-14.7)		Pseudomonas	nitroreducens	2	14.7 (5.3-14.7)	
Stenotrophomonas maltophilia 5 21.1 (10.8-21.1) Strict anaerobes 3 (1) 21 (19.1-21) Bacteroides fragilis 2 20 (19-20) Fusobacterium nucleatum 1 29.6 Total 341 10.7 (8.5-14.7)		Pseudomonas	aeruginosa	66	14.7 (12.2-16.6)	
Strict anaerobes 3 (1) 21 (19.1-21) Bacteroides fragilis 2 20 (19-20) Fusobacterium nucleatum 1 29.6 Total 341 10.7 (8.5-14.7)		Stenotrophom	onas maltophilia	5	21.1 (10.8-21.1)	
Bacteroides fragilis 2 20 (19-20) Fusobacterium nucleatum 1 29.6 Total 341 10.7 (8.5-14.7)		Strict anaerobes		3 (1)	21 (19.1-21)	
Fusobacterium nucleatum 1 29.6 Total 341 10.7 (8.5-14.7)		Bacteroides fr	Bacteroides fragilis 2 20 (19-20)		20 (19-20)	
Total 341 10.7 (8.5-14.7)	Fusobacterium nucleatum			1	29.6	
		Total	Total 341 10.7 (8.5-14.7)			

blood culture vials were highly predictive of *P. aeruginosa*¹⁸.

Another finding in this study was that TTP of glucose-fermenters is shorter than that of non-glucose-fermenters and strict anaerobes, which is consistent with previous reports⁵⁻⁷. Since the system used was the same in the three studies (BAC-TEC 9240), the absolute values of median TTP for each group were practically the same. Furthermore, in Defrance et al report⁷, as in ours, all *P. aeruginosa* were detected earlier or only in aerobic flasks. However, when a higher number (n=693) of *P. aeruginosa* bacteraemia were analysed in our centre, one percent of these were detected only in anaerobic flasks and 7% were detected earlier in anaerobic than in aerobic vials (data not shown). A possible explanation may be that some air could have been accidentally introduced when filling the anaerobic blood culture vial changing the atmosphere to an aerobic one.

Table 3Performance of aerobic, anaerobic or both types of vials for the different groups of Gram-negative bacilli included in the study.							
Gram-negative b	acilli	N	Growth detection in anaerobic vial only	Growth detection in aerobic vial only	Growth detection in anaerobic and aerobic vials	Growth detection only or earlier in aerobic vials	
Glucose-ferment	ers	254	32 (12%)	35 (14%)	187 (74%)	113 (44%)	
Non-glucose-fer	menters	84	0	78 (93%)	6 (7%)	84 (100%)	
P. aeruginosa		66	0	60 (91%)	6 (9%)	66 (100%)	
Strict anaerobes		3	3 (100%)	0	0	0	
TOTAL		341	35 (10%)	113 (33%)	193 (57%)	197 (58%)	



could be reserved for high-risk patients (figure 1). In any case, the test is fast and inexpensive and can be a useful tool to guide and promptly adjust the treatment for GNB bacteraemia in settings with limited resources where polymerase chain reaction or MALDI-TOF techniques may not be available¹⁹.

The main limitation of this study is the relative low number of GNB bacteraemia included. A higher number of episodes should be analysed in order to determine more accurately the test performance for non-*P. aeruginosa* oxidase producers.

In conclusion, the oxidase test has an excellent sensitivity and specificity to identify *P. aeruginosa* when performed on positive blood culture vials. A positive test rules out the presence of a glucose-fermenter GNB while a negative test practically discards *P. aeruginosa*. In order to minimize laboratory overwork, TTP and type of flask may be useful to decide when to perform the oxidase test. However, the clinical impact of including this test in the lab routine should be evaluated in future studies

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported in part by Fundación Privada Máximo Soriano Jiménez.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare that

The fact that *P. aeruginosa* may grow earlier or exclusively in anaerobic vials should be taken into account before considering de-escalation of an empirical treatment in severely ill or patients with high risk of *P. aeruginosa* infection.

In order to avoid unnecessary overloading of the laboratory, we recommend not performing the oxidase test when growth detection happens solely or earlier in anaerobic vials. In this manner 42% of tests will be spared. On the other hand, when growth is detected earlier or only in aerobic vials, we suggest to do always the test when the TTP is higher or equal to 8 h since the probability of *P. aeruginosa* is almost 40%, while in less than 8 h, where prevalence is low (11%), the test

they have no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- Micek ST, Welch EC, Khan J, Pervez M, Doherty JA, Reichley RM, et al. Resistance to empiric antimicrobial treatment predicts outcome in severe sepsis associated with Gram-negative bacteremia. J Hosp Med 2011; 6: 405–10.
- Micek ST, Welch EC, Khan J, Pervez M, Doherty JA, Reichley RM, et al. Empiric combination antibiotic therapy is associated with improved outcome against sepsis due to gram-negative bacteria: A retrospective analysis. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2010; 54:

1742-8.

- 3. Barenfanger J, Graham DR, Kolluri L, Sangwan G, Lawhorn J, Drake CA, et al. Decreased mortality associated with prompt gram staining of blood cultures. Am J Clin Pathol 2008; 130: 870–6.
- Kovacs N. Identification of *Pseudomonas pyocyanea* by the oxidase reaction. Nature 1956; 178: 703.
- Martínez JA, Pozo L, Almela M, Marco F, Soriano A, López F, et al. Microbial and clinical determinants of time-to-positivity in patients with bacteraemia. Clin Microbiol Infect 2007; 13: 709–16.
- Palmer HR, Palavecino EL, Johnson JW, Ohl CA, Williamson JC. Clinical and microbiological implications of time-to-positivity of blood cultures in patients with Gram-negative bacilli bacteremia. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2013; 32: 955–9.
- Defrance G, Birgand G, Ruppé E, Billard M, Ruimy R, Bonnal C, et al. Time-to-positivity-based discrimination between *Enterobacteria-ceae*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and strictly anaerobic Gram-negative bacilli in aerobic and anaerobic blood culture vials. J Microbiol Methods 2013; 93: 77–9.
- Lai CC, Wang CY, Liu WL, Cheng A, Lee YC, Huang YT, et al. Time to blood culture positivity as a predictor of drug resistance in *Acinetobacter baumannii* complex bacteremia. J Infect 2011; 63: 96–8.
- 9. Bouza E, Eworo A, Fernández Cruz A, Reigadas E, Rodríguez-Créixems M, Muñoz P. Catheter-related bloodstream infections caused by Gram-negative bacteria. J Hosp Infect 2013; 85: 316–20.
- 10. Huang L, Sun L, Yan Y. Time to positivity of blood culture is predictive for nosocomial infection and infectious endocarditis instead of other clinical characteristics and prognosis in *Acinetobacter baumannii* bloodstream infection. J Infect 2014; 68: 198–200.
- 11. Lin HW, Hsu HS, Huang YT, Yang CJ, Hsu MS, Liao CH. Time to positivity in blood cultures of adults with nontyphoidal *Salmonella* bacteremia. J Microbiol Immunol Infect 2016; 49: 417-23.
- 12. Peralta G, Roiz MP, Sánchez MB, Garrido JC, Ceballos B, Rodríguez-Lera MJ, et al. Time-to-positivity in patients with *Escherichia coli* bacteraemia. Clin Microbiol Infect 2007; 13: 1077–82.
- Willmann M, Kuebart I, Vogel W, Flesch I, Markert U, Marschal M, et al. Time to positivity as prognostic tool in patients with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* bloodstream infection. J Infect 2013; 67: 416–23.
- Liao CH, Lai CC, Hsu MS, Huang YT, Chu FY, Hsu HS, et al. Correlation between time to positivity of blood cultures with clinical presentation and outcomes in patients with *Klebsiella pneumoniae* bacteraemia: prospective cohort study. Clin Microbiol Infect 2009; 15: 1119–25.
- 15. Martínez JA, Soto S, Fabrega A, Almela M, Mensa J, Soriano A, et al. Relationship of phylogenetic background, biofilm production, and time to detection of growth in blood culture vials with clinical variables and prognosis associated with *Escherichia coli* bacteremia. J Clin Microbiol 2006; 44: 1468–74.
- Bo SN, Bo J, Ning YZ, Zhao Y, Lu XL, Yang JY, et al. Relationship between time to positivity of blood culture with clinical characteristics and hospital mortality in patients with *Escherichia coli* bacteremia. Chin Med J (Engl) 2011; 124: 330–4.

- Álvarez R, Viñas-Castillo L, Lepe-Jiménez JA, García-Cabrera E, Cisneros-Herreros JM. Time to positivity of blood culture association with clinical presentation, prognosis and ESBL-production in Escherichia coli bacteremia. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2012; 31: 2191–5.
- Sepúlveda JL, Stager CE, Davis JR. Rapid presumptive identification of gram-negative rods directly from blood cultures by simple enzymatic tests. J Clin Microbiol 1990; 28: 177–81.
- Kazmi M, Khan A, Kazmi SU. Development of rapid phenotypic system for the identification of Gram-negative oxidase-positive bacilli in resource-limited settings. J Biosci 2013; 38: 329–37.