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ABSTRACT. We describe a combinatorial algorithm for constructing all orientable 3 -manifolds with a given standard bidimensional spine by making use of the idea of bijoin ([BG]. [Gr]) over a suitable pseudosimplicial triangulation of the spine.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, all spaces and maps are piecewise-linear (pl) in the sense of [GI] or [RS]; all 3-manifolds are supposed to be compact, connected and orientable.

If $M$ is a 3 -manifold with non-empty boundary, then a bidimensional polyhedron $K$ such that $M$ collapses to $K$ is said to be a spine of $M$; if $M$ is closed, a spine of $M$ is a spine of $M-B, B$ being an open 3-ball in $M$.

Given a group presentation $\Phi=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{g} 1 r_{1}, \ldots, r_{s}\right\}$, denote by $K_{\phi}$ the bidimensional complex constructed as follows:
-- $K_{\Phi}$ has only one O-cell (vertex);
-- the 1-cells (resp. the 2 -cells) of $K_{\phi}$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the generators (resp. the relators) of $\Phi$; denote them by $\alpha_{j}$ (resp. $\beta_{i}$ );
each 2-cell $\beta_{i}$ is attached to the 1-skeleton by the formula given by the corresponding relator $r_{j}$.
$K_{\phi}$ is said to be the standard complex associated to $\Phi$; of course, the factor group of $\Phi$ is $\Pi_{1}\left(\left|K_{\Phi}\right|\right)$. We will not distinguish between a relator $r_{j}$ and any

[^0]cyclic conjugate of it or its inverse, since the associated complexes are the same. The above construction may be obviously reversed and each standard complex $K$ induces a group presentation $\Phi_{K}$ of the fundamental group $\Pi_{1}(|K|)$.

It is well known that every 3 -manifold $M$ has a standard spine $K_{\Phi}$, for some group presentation $\Phi$, and the factor group of $\Phi$ is clearly $\mathrm{II}_{1}(M)$; nevertheless, not every standard complex $K_{\mathrm{f}}$ is a spine of a 3-manifold. Every group presentation $\Phi$ such that $K_{\Phi}$ is a spine of a 3-manifold (resp. of a closed 3-manifold) is said to be geometric (resp. strongly geometric).

In [N] Neuwirth gives an algorithm for testing if a balanced group presentation (same number of generators and relators) is strongly geometric. The same algorithm is restated by Osborne and Stevens ([ $\left.O \mathrm{~S}_{1}\right]$, [ S$]$ ) by making use of a graph-theoretical tool, the presentation-graph or $P$-graph $P_{\Phi}$, which can be associated, by a one-to-one correspondence, to the standard complex $K_{\Phi}$ of a group presentation $\Phi$. Namely, $P_{\Phi}$ is essentially the boundary of a regular neighbourhood of the unique vertex of $K_{\Phi}$ and it is easy to prove that $\Phi$ is strongly-geometric if and only if a planar imbedding condition on $P_{\Phi}$ holds. Moreover, as pointed out by Montesinos in [M], a Heegaard diagram of a 3-manifold $M$ gives rise to such a planar imbedding of the $P_{-}$ graph $P_{\Phi}$ associated to a suitable group presentation $\Phi$ of $\Pi_{1}(M)$; in fact, this is nothing else than the Whitehead graph of the group presentation $\Phi$ of $\Pi_{1}(M)$ coming from the given Heegaard diagram of $M$. Thus, a group presentation $\Phi$ is geometric (resp. strongly-geometric) if and only if there exists a Heegaard diagram of a 3-manifold (resp. closed 3-manifold) $M$ whose associated presentation for $\Pi_{1}(M)$ is $\Phi$.

In [M] Montesinos describes an algorithm for checking if a given group presentation is geometric; such an algorithm seems to be completely different from Neuwirth's one, since it makes use of branched covering techniques.

In the present paper, we give a combinatorial algorithm for obtaining all 3 -manifolds with a given standard spine $K_{\mathrm{q}}$ by making use of the bijoin construction ([BG], [Gr]) applied to a graph-theoretical structure representing a pseudosimplicial triangulation of $K_{\phi}$. This construction allows us to unify, in a common geometric description, both Neuwirth algorithm and Montesinos one; namely, the necessary and sufficient conditions for the geometricity (or the strong-geometricity) of a group presentation obtained in [N], [OS $\mathrm{S}_{1}$, $\left[\mathrm{OS}_{2}\right],[\mathrm{S}],[\mathrm{M}]$ can be all derived from the bijoin construction.

## 2. EDGE-COLOURED GRAPHS AND ASSOCIATED COMPLEXES

The term pseudograph includes loops and multiple edges, while a multigraph (or simply a graph) allows multiple edges only.

A (generalized) coloration on a pseudograph $\Gamma=(V(\Gamma), E(\Gamma))$ is a map $\gamma: E(\Gamma) \rightarrow \Delta_{n}=\{0,1, \ldots, n\}$; if $\Gamma$ is a graph, $\gamma$ is said to be proper if $\gamma(e) \neq \gamma(f)$, for each pair $e, f$ of adjacent edges. For each $\not \subset \subset \Delta_{n}$, set $\Gamma_{,}=\left(V(\Gamma), \gamma^{-1}(S)\right)$; each connected component of $\Gamma$, is often called an . residue. For each $i \in \Delta_{n}$, set $\hat{i}=\Delta_{n}-\{i\}$.

The pair ( $\Gamma, \gamma$ ), $\Gamma$ being a graph and $\gamma: E(\Gamma) \rightarrow \Delta_{n}$ a (generalized) coloration, is said to be an $n$-dimensional crystallized structure ([G]) if, for each $i \epsilon \Delta_{n}$, the $\{i\}$ - residues are cliques (complete graphs). If all these cliques are of order two, i.e. if $\gamma$ is proper and $\Gamma$ is regular of degree $n+1,(\Gamma, \gamma)$ is simply called an ( $n+1$ ) - coloured graph ([F]).

An $n$-dimensional pseudocomplex $K$ is an $n$-dimensional ball complex in which every $h$-ball, considered with all its faces, is isomorphic with the complex underlying an $h$-simplex; for this reason, each $h$-ball of $K$ is called $h$-simplex. The disjoined star $\operatorname{Std}(s, K)$ of a simplex $s$ in $K$ is defined to be the disjoint union of the $n$-simplexes of $K$ containing $s$, with reidentification of the ( $n-1$ )-faces containing s and of their faces; the subcomplex Lkd $(s, K)=$ $\{\tau \in \operatorname{Std}(s, K) \mid \tau \cap s=\phi\}$ is called the disjoined link of $s$ in $K$.

As shown in [G] and $[F]$, every $n$-dimensional crystallized structure ( $\Gamma, \gamma$ ) represents a homogeneous $n$-dimensional pseudocomplex $K(\Gamma)$ constructed by the following rules:

- take an $n$-simplex $\sigma(v)$ for each $v \in V(\Gamma)$ and label its vertices by $\Delta_{n}$;
-- if $v, w \in V(\Gamma)$ are joined by an i-coloured edge, identify the ( $n-1$ ) faces of $\sigma(v)$ and $\sigma(w)$ opposite to the vertices labelled by $i$, so that equally labelled vertices are identified together.

Every $h$-simplex $s$ of $K(\Gamma)$, whose vertices are labelled by the distinct colours $c_{0}, \ldots, c_{h} \in \Delta_{n}$, corresponds to a unique $\left(\Delta_{n}-\left\{c_{o}, \ldots, c_{h}\right\}\right)$ - residue $\mathfrak{R}$ of $(\Gamma, \gamma)$ and viceversa; its associated pseudocomplex $K(\nRightarrow)$ is L.kd $(s, K(\Gamma))$.

Moreover, $(\Gamma, \gamma)$ is an $(n+1)$-coloured graph if and only if $|K(\Gamma)|$ is a closed pseudomanifold ([ST]), which is orientable if and only if $\Gamma$ is bipartite ([CGP]).

The construction of $K(\Gamma)$ gives a coloration on the vertex set $S_{o}(K)$ of $K(\Gamma)$ by means of $n+1$ colours (i.e. a map $\xi: S_{o}(K) \rightarrow \Delta_{n}$ which is injective on each simplex of $K(\Gamma)$ ). Given a homogeneous n-dimensional pseudocomplex $K$ with such a coloration on its vertex set $S_{o}(K)$, the construction can be easily reversed yielding an $n$-dimensional crystallized structure, denoted by $\Gamma(K)$.

It is easy to see that $\Gamma(K(\Gamma))=(\Gamma, \gamma)$; moreover (see [G]), $K(\Gamma(K))=K$ if and only if $K$ satisfies the following property:
$\left(^{*}\right)$ the disjoined star $\operatorname{std}(s, K)$ of every simplex $s$ of $K$ is stronglyconnected.

A homogeneous $n$-dimensional pseudocomplex satisfying (*) and admitting a coloration on its vertex set by means of $n+l$ colours is said to be a representable $n$-pseudocomplex, since it is uniquely represented by an $n$ dimensional crystallized structure.

An $n$-dimensional crystallized structure ( $\Gamma, \gamma$ ) (or its associated pseudocomplex $K(I)$ ) is said to be contracted if $\Gamma_{\dot{c}}$ is connected, for each $c \in \Delta_{n}$ (i.e. if $K(\Gamma)$ has exactly $n+1$ vertices). A contracted ( $n+1$ )-coloured (bipartite) graph ( $\Gamma, \gamma$ ) is said to be a crystallization of a closed (orientable) $n$-manifold $M$ if $|K(\Gamma)|=M$. Every closed $n$-manifold admits a crystallization ([P]).

For a general survey on manifold representation theory by means of edgecoloured graphs, see [FGG], [BM], [V].

## 3. THE BIJOIN CONSTRUCTION

If $\Gamma$ is an oriented pseudograph and $\gamma: E(\Gamma) \rightarrow \Delta_{n}$ is a (generalized) coloration, the pair ( $\vec{\Gamma}, \bar{\gamma}$ ) is called an $n$-dimensional oriented structure ([BG]) if, for every $i \in \Delta_{n}$, the $\{i\}$-residues are elementary oriented cycles, possibly of length one or two.

By deleting all loops in $E(\bar{\Gamma})$ and by replacing, for every $i \epsilon \Delta_{n}$, each elementary oriented $i$-coloured cycle in $\bar{\Gamma}_{[i]}$ with a clique on the same vertex set, it is easy to associate an $n$-dimensional crystallized structure $(\Gamma, \gamma)$ to every $n$-dimensional oriented structure ( $\bar{\Gamma}, \bar{\gamma}$ ). Of course, there are, in general, many oriented structures associated to a fixed crystallized structure; they can be easily obtained by reversing the above construction. If $(\vec{\Gamma}, \vec{\gamma})$ is an oriented structure associated to the crystallized structure $(\Gamma, \gamma)$, we set $K(\vec{\Gamma})=K(\Gamma)$.

The following construction, given in [BG], allows to obtain an $(n+1)$ coloured bipartite. graph $(B(\bar{\Gamma}), \beta)$ from an $(n-1)$-dimensional oriented structure ( $\vec{\Gamma}, \vec{\gamma}$ ):
$--V(B(\vec{\Gamma}))=V(\vec{\Gamma}) \times\{0,1\} ;$

- for every vertex $v \in V(\vec{\Gamma})$, join $(v, 0)$ with $(v, 1)$ by an edge $e$ of $B(\vec{\Gamma})$ and set $\beta(e)=n$;
- if $\vec{e} \in E(\vec{\Gamma})$ and $\bar{e}(0)=v, \vec{e}(1)=w$, then join ( $v, 0)$ with ( $w, 1$ ) by an edge $e^{\prime}$ of $B\left(\bar{\Gamma}^{\prime}\right)$ and set $\beta\left(e^{\prime}\right)=\gamma(\bar{e})$.

Note that the choice of the opposite oriented structure, obtained by reversing the orientation of each $\{i\}$-residue, for every $i \in \Delta_{n-1}$, gives rise to the same graph. The construction in an adapting to the edge-coloured graphs of a standard method for associating a bipartite graph to an arbitrarily given oriented graph ([BHM]). The ( $n+1$ )-coloured graph $(B(\bar{\Gamma}), \beta)$ (and its
associated pseudocomplex) is said to be the $h$-bijoin over ( $\vec{\Gamma}, \vec{\gamma}$ ), $h$ being the number of the $\hat{n}$-residues in ( $B(\vec{\Gamma}), \beta$ ); if $h=1,(B(\bar{\Gamma}), \beta)$ is simply called bijoin.

Given an ( $n+1$ )-coloured bipartite graph ( $\Gamma, \gamma$ ), it is easy to (uniquely) construct, for each it $\Delta_{n}$, an ( $n-1$ )-dimensional oriented structure ( $\overline{\mathrm{T}}, \bar{\gamma}$ ) such that $(B(\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\Gamma}), \beta)=(\Gamma, \gamma)([B G]) ;$ thus, every closed $n$-manifold can be obtained as a bijoin over a suitable ( $n-1$ )-dimensional oriented structure. A refinement of this result, in dimension three, obtained by making use of «normal crystallizations" ([BDG]), is contained in [Gr].

Extending [M], a closed orientable $n$-dimensional pseudomanifold $N$ (triangulated by a pseudocomplex $K$ ) is said to be a singular $n$-manifold if the disjoined link of each $k$-simplex, $k>0$, is a sphere and the disjoined link of each vertex is a (closed) connected ( $n-1$ )-manifold. A vertex of $K$ such that its disjoined link is (resp. is not) an ( $n-1$ )-sphere is said to be regular (resp. singular).

Every singular $n$-manifold can be obtained by capping off each boundary component of an $n$-manifold by a cone. In the other sense, if $K$ is a pseudocomplex triangulating a singular $n$-manifold $N$ and $W \subset S_{o}(K)$, let $M(K, W)$ denote the space obtained by removing from the barycentric subdivision $K^{\prime}$ of $K$ the open stars in $K^{\prime}$ of the vertices belonging to $W$; then $W$ contains all singular vertices of $K$ if and only if $M(K, W)$ is an $n$-manifold whose boundary components are $\operatorname{Lk}\left(v, K^{\prime}\right)$, with $v \in W$.

Note that, in dimension three, the pseudocomplex $K(\Gamma)$ associated to an arbitrary (bipartite) 4 -coloured graph ( $\Gamma, \gamma$ ) always triangulates a singular 3 -manifold.

Proposition 1. Let $\left(\mathrm{T}^{\prime}, \gamma\right)$ be a 4 -coloured bipartite graph such that all c labelled vertices of $\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{T})$ are regular, for every $\mathrm{c} \epsilon \Delta_{2}$. If W denotes the set of all 3-labelled vertices of $\mathrm{K}(\Gamma)$ and $\left({ }^{3} \vec{\Gamma},{ }^{3} \vec{\gamma}\right)$ is the 2-dimensional oriented structure such that $\left(\mathrm{B}\left({ }^{( } \bar{\Gamma}\right), \beta\right)=(\Gamma, \gamma)$, then $\mathrm{K}\left({ }^{( } \vec{\Gamma}\right)$ is a spine of the 3 -manifold $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{K}(\Gamma), \mathrm{W})$.

Proof. It directly follows from the bijoin construction that $K(\vec{\Gamma})$ is the subcomplex of $K(\Gamma)$ consisting of all simplexes of $K(\Gamma)$ whose vertices are labelled by colours different from 3. Thus, for a sufficiently small $\epsilon>0$, the $\epsilon$-neighbourhood $\pi_{\epsilon}$ of $K\left({ }^{3} \bar{\Gamma}\right)$ in $K(\Gamma)$ is an $\epsilon$-neighbourhood of $K\left({ }^{3} \bar{\Gamma}\right)$ in $M(K(\Gamma), W)$ too. For the collapsing criterion for regular neighbourhoods ([RS], corollary 3.30), the polyhedron $\left|\boldsymbol{\eta}_{t}\right|=M(K(\Gamma), W)$ collapses on $\left|\mathrm{K}\left({ }^{3} \bar{\Gamma}\right)\right|$.

Corollary 2. If $(\mathrm{T}, \gamma)$ is a 4 -coloured bipartite graph representing a (closed, orientable) 3-manifold $M$ such that $K(\Gamma)$ has a unique 3-coloured vertex (in particular, if $(\Gamma, \gamma)$ is a crystallization of $M$ ), then $\left|K\left({ }^{( } \vec{\Gamma}\right)\right|$ is a spine of $M$.

## 4. NEUWIRTH ALGORITHM VIA BIJOINS

Set $N_{k}=\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$.
If $\Phi=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{g} \mid r_{1}, \ldots, r_{s}\right\}$ is a group presentation, denote by $\lambda\left(x_{i}\right), i \in N_{g}$, the number of occurrences of the generator $x_{i}$ in the relators of $\Phi$ and by $\lambda\left(r_{j}\right), j \in N_{s}$, the length of each relator $r_{j}$, the length $\lambda$ of $\Phi$ is defined by $\lambda=$ $\sum_{j \in N_{s}} \lambda\left(r_{j}\right)=\sum_{i \in A_{g}^{\prime}} \lambda\left(x_{i}\right)$. For each relator $r_{j}$, take a 2 -cell $\beta_{j}$ and triangulate its boundary by "reading" the relator $r_{j}$. Thus, we obtain a complex $H_{j}$ triangulating $\partial \beta_{j}$ with $\lambda\left(r_{j}\right)$ edges, each of which is labelled by a generator and has a suitable orientation. Label each vertex of $H_{j}$ by the colour 0 , take the barycentric subdivision $H_{j}^{\prime}$ of $H_{j}$ and label all the barycenters by the colour 1 . Note that each oriented $x_{i}$-labelled edge $\alpha$ of $H_{j}$ splits into an ordered pair ( $\alpha^{-}, \alpha^{+}$) of oriented $x_{i}$-labelled edges in $H_{j}^{\prime}$ : more precisely, if $b_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}, v_{\alpha}$ respectively denote the barycenter, the first and the second endpoint of $\alpha$, the ordered pair ( $u_{\alpha}, b_{\alpha}$ ) (resp. ( $b_{\alpha}, v_{\alpha}$ )) represents the endpoints of the oriented edge $\alpha^{-}$(resp. $\alpha^{+}$). By starring $\beta_{j}$ from an inner point $C_{j}$ (labelled by the colour 2) over $H_{j}^{\prime}$, we obtain a pseudocomplex $K_{j}$ triangulating $\beta_{j}$ with a coloration on its vertex set by the colours $0,1,2$ (fig. 1). Now, take the disjoint union $\coprod_{j \in N_{j}} K_{j}$ and identify the oriented edges $\alpha^{-}$(resp. $\alpha^{+}$) of its boundary labelled by the same generator so that identified vertices have the same colour. Let $\widetilde{K}_{\Phi}$ be the resulting representable 2-pseudocomplex and let ( $\Gamma, \gamma$ ) be its associated crystallized structure.

The 0 -adjacence (resp. 1 -adjacence) in ( $\Gamma, \gamma$ ) induces a fixed-point-free involution $B$ (resp. $A$ ) on the set $V(\Gamma)$ and the set of the vertices belonging to the same $\{2\}$-residue of $(\Gamma, \gamma)$ can be thought of as an orbit of a suitable permutation $C$ on $V(\Gamma)$. These permutations are the homonimous ones associated to $\Phi$ in [ N$]$. The assignment of such a permutation $C$ gives rise to a particular 2-dimensional oriented structure ( $\bar{\Gamma}_{C}, \bar{\gamma}_{C}$ ) associated to the crystallized structure ( $\Gamma, \gamma$ ); in fact, $C$ induces a cyclic ordering in the vertices of each $\{2\}$-residue of $(\Gamma, \gamma)$ which are the only $\{c\}$-residues of order possibly greater than two. Thus, the geometrical meaning of the choice of a particular $C$ is to give an ordering to the 2 -simplexes of $\widetilde{K}_{\Phi}=K(\Gamma)$ containing the same 1-simplex.

We always assume this ordering system with the property that the two cyclic orderings on the $\lambda\left(x_{i}\right)$ 2-simplexes containing the two distinct
$x_{i}$ - -abelled edges of $\tilde{K}_{\Phi}$ are opposite; this is equivalent to require the property $B C=C^{-1} B$ for the permutation $C$. Let $\Omega(\Phi)$ denote the set of all permutations $C$ on $V(\Gamma)$ whose orbits are the sets of vertices belonging to the same $\{2\}$-residue of $(\Gamma, \gamma)$ and such that $B C=C^{-1} B$.

From now on, the symbol $\left|P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n}\right|$ will denote the orbit number of the group generated by the permutations $P_{h}, h \in N_{n}$, acting on the same set.

Note that, for every $C, C^{\prime} \in \Omega(\Phi),|A, C|=\left|A, C^{\prime}\right|$; in fact, the number $|A, C|$ only depends upon $A$ and the orbits of $C$.

The cellular structure of the pseudocomplex $\tilde{K}_{\phi}$ immediately shows that $\left|K_{\Phi}\right|$ is the quotient of $\left|\widetilde{K}_{\Phi}\right|$ obtained by identifying the 0 -labelled vertices of $\widetilde{K}_{\Phi}$. Moreover, the number of these vertices, i.e. the number of the $\{1,2\}-$ residues in $(\Gamma, \lambda)$, is $|A, C|$. As a consequence, we have:

Proposition 3. The pseudocomplex $\tilde{K}_{\Phi}$ is a (pseudosimplicial) triangulation of the standard complex $K_{\Phi}$ if and only if $|A, C|=1$.

Remark. Given a group presentation $\Phi$, the number of connected components in the associated $P$-graph $P_{\Phi}$ is $|A, C|([N])$; moreover, it is easy to verify that every 3 -manifold admits a standard spine $K_{\Phi}$ such that the associated $P$-graph $P_{\Phi}$ is connected.

Thus, there is no loss of generality in restricting our study to those group presentations for which $|A, C|=I$ and in supposing that $\widetilde{K}_{\Phi}$ triangulates $K_{\Phi}$.

With the above notations and assumptions, let $C$ be a given permutation in $\Omega(\Phi)$ and let $\left(\vec{\Gamma}_{C}, \vec{\gamma}_{C}\right)$ be the 2 -dimensional oriented structure associated to ( $\Gamma, \gamma$ ) and generated by $C$.

Proposition 4. Let $\left(\Gamma_{C}, \gamma_{C}\right)$ be the $h$-bijoin over $\left(\vec{\Gamma}_{C}, \vec{\gamma}_{C}\right)$ and let W be the set of all 3-labelled vertices in $K\left(\Gamma_{C}\right)$.
(a) $h=|A C, B C|$;
(b) the space $M\left(K\left(T_{C}\right), W\right)$ is a 3-manifold, having $K_{\Phi}$ as a standard spine, if and only if $|A C|=\lambda-2 g+2$;
(c) if (b) holds, the Euler characteristic of $K\left(\Gamma_{C}\right)$ is $g-s+h-1$;
(d) if $g=s$ (resp. $g=s+I$ ) and (b) holds, then $\left|K\left(\Gamma_{c}\right)\right|$ is a closed 3-manifold (resp. $M\left(K\left(\Gamma_{C}\right), W\right)$ is the exterior of a knot), having $K_{\Phi}$ as a standard spine, if and only if $|A C, B C|=h=1$.

Proof. If $\mathscr{G} \subset \Delta_{2}$ (resp. $\mathscr{F} \subset \Delta_{3}$ ), the symbol $g_{f}$ (resp. $g_{f}^{\prime}$ ) will denote the number of $\mathscr{S}^{-r e s i d u e s ~ i n ~(~} \Gamma, \gamma$ ) (resp. in $\left(\Gamma_{C}, \gamma_{C}\right)$ ).

Since the number of 2-simplexes in each $K_{j}$ is $2 \lambda\left(r_{j}\right)$ and $\sum_{j \in N_{s}} \lambda\left(r_{j}\right)=\lambda$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Card}\left(V\left(\Gamma_{C}\right)\right)=2 \cdot \operatorname{Card}(V(\Gamma))=4 \lambda \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Each $\{c\}$-residue $\left(c \in \Delta_{1}\right)$ in $(\Gamma, \gamma)$ is a complete graph of order two and $g_{\{0\}}=g_{(1 \mid}=\lambda$; in fact, in each $K_{j}$ there are exactly $\lambda\left(r_{j}\right)$ edges whose endpoints are labelled by the colours 2 and $c$ and they are faces of exactly two 2 simplexes.

Hence:

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{\{0,3\}}^{\prime}=g_{\{0\}}=|B|=\lambda  \tag{2}\\
& g_{\{1,3\}}^{\prime}=g_{\{1\}}=|A|=\lambda .
\end{align*}
$$

For every $i \in N_{g}$, there are exactly two $\{2\}$-residues in $(\Gamma, \gamma)$ which are complete graphs of order $\lambda\left(x_{i}\right)$. In fact, there are exactly two $x_{i}$-labelled edges in $\widetilde{K}_{\Phi}$ and the number of 2 -simplexes of which each $x_{i}$-labelled edge is a face is the number $\lambda\left(x_{i}\right)$ of occurrences of the generator $x_{i}$ in the relators of $\Phi$. Hence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\{2,3\}}^{\prime}=g_{\{2\}}=|C|=2 g . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that an alternating path in an oriented graph $\bar{\Gamma}$ is a path whose adjacent edges have opposite orientations. In an oriented structure $(\bar{\Gamma}, \bar{\gamma})$, for every pair $h, k$ of distinct colours, a weak $\{h, k\}$-cycle ( $[\mathrm{BG}]$ ) is an alternating cycle of $(\vec{\Gamma}, \vec{\gamma})$ whose edges are alternatively coloured by $h$ and $k$. If $\vec{g}_{h k}$ denotes the number of weak $\{h, k\}$-cycles of $\left(\bar{\Gamma}_{C}, \vec{\gamma}_{C}\right)$, we have $g\{h, k\}=\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{g}_{h k}$, for each $h, k \in \Delta_{2}$.

The number of the $\{\hat{3}\}$-residues in $\left(\Gamma_{C}, \gamma_{C}\right)$ is the number of the orbits in the permutation group generated by $A B, B C$ and $A C$. Since $A B=(A C)(B C)^{-1}$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=g_{3}^{\prime}=|A B, B C, A C|=|A C, B C| \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this proves (a).
If $P(c), c \in \Delta_{2}$, denotes the permutation on $V\left(\bar{\Gamma}_{C}\right)=V(\Gamma)$ induced by the $c$-adjacence, it is easy to see that $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{g}_{k k}=\left|P(h) P(k)^{-1}\right|=\left|P(h)^{-1} P(k)\right|=\vec{g}_{k h n}$, for each pair of distinct colours $h, k \in \Delta_{2}$. Thus, the following equalities hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{\{0,1\}}^{\prime}=\vec{g}_{0 \mid}=\left|A B^{-1}\right|=|A B|=2 s \\
& g_{\{0,2]}^{\prime}=\vec{g}_{02}=\left|B^{-1} C\right|=|B C|=\lambda  \tag{5}\\
& g_{\{1,2\}}^{\prime}=\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{g}_{12}=\left|A^{-1} C\right|=|A C|
\end{align*}
$$

Note that, $|B C|=\lambda$ since $B C=C^{-1} B$ and hence $(B C)^{2}=1$.
For each $j \in N_{s}$, there is one $\{0,1\}$-residue in $(\Gamma, \gamma)$ which is a bicoloured cycle of length $2 \lambda\left(r_{i}\right)$; in fact, the $\{0,1\}$-residues in ( $\Gamma, \gamma$ ) are in one-to-one correspondence with the inner vertices $C_{j}$ of $\beta_{j}$. Hence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\dot{2}}^{\prime}=g_{\hat{2}}=|A, B|=s \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each $i \in N_{g}$, there is one $\{0,2\}$-residue in $(\Gamma, \gamma)$ with $2 \lambda\left(x_{i}\right)$ vertices, in fact, the $\{0,2\}$-residues in $(\Gamma, \gamma)$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the barycenters in $\partial K_{i}$. Hence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{i}^{\prime}=g_{i}=|B, C|=g \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, since, as pointed out for the proof of Proposition 3, the 0-labelled vertices in $\tilde{K}_{\Phi}$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the $\{1,2\}$-residues in $(\Gamma, \gamma)$, the assumption $|A, C|=1$ gives:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\overline{0}}^{\prime}=g_{\overline{0}}=|A, C|=1 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us now compute the Euler characteristic $\chi\left(K_{d}\right)$ of the pseudocomplex $K_{\dot{d}}=K\left(\left(\Gamma_{c}\right), \bar{d}\right)$, for each $d \epsilon \Delta_{2}$, by making use of the equalities (1)-(8) and by recalling that the number of 2 -simplexes (resp. 1 -simplexes) in $K_{\dot{d}}$ is Card $\left(V\left(\Gamma_{C}\right)\right)=4 \lambda\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.3 \operatorname{Card}\left(V\left(\Gamma_{C}\right)\right) / 2=6 \lambda\right)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \chi\left(K_{0}\right)=4 \lambda-6 \lambda+\left(g_{\{1,2\}}^{\prime}+g_{\{1,3\}}^{\prime}+g_{\{2,3\}}^{\prime}\right)=-2 \lambda+(|A C|+\lambda+2 g)=|A C|+2 g-\lambda ; \\
& \chi\left(K_{i}\right)=4 \lambda-6 \lambda+\left(g_{\{0,2\}}^{\prime}+g_{\{0,3\}}^{\prime}+g_{\{2,3 \mid}^{\prime}\right)=-2 \lambda+(\lambda+\lambda+2 g)=2 g ; \\
& \chi\left(K_{\hat{2}}\right)=4 \lambda-6 \lambda+\left(g_{\{0,3\}}^{\prime}+g_{\{1,3\}}^{\prime}+g_{\{0,1\}}^{\prime}\right)=-2 \lambda+(\lambda+\lambda+2 s)=2 s .
\end{aligned}
$$

As pointed out in section 2, each $\{\hat{d}\}$-residue in the 4-coloured graph ( $\Gamma_{\mathrm{C}}, \gamma_{C}$ ) represents the disjoined link of the represented $d$-labelled vertex in $K\left(\Gamma_{\mathrm{C}}\right)$. Since the equality [6] (resp. [7]) ) states that the number of $\{2\}$-residues (resp. $\{\hat{1}\}$-residues) in $\left(\Gamma_{\mathrm{C}}, \gamma_{C}\right)$ is s (resp. g), the equality $\chi\left(K_{\dot{2}}\right)=2 s$ (resp. $\chi\left(K_{\mathrm{j}}\right)=2 g$ ) proves that the disjoined link of each 2-labelled (resp. 1-labelled) vertex in $K\left(\Gamma_{C}\right)$ is a 2 -sphere. Hence all 1-labelled and 2 -labelled vertices of $K\left(\Gamma_{C}\right)$ are regular. Moreover, the disjoined link $K_{0}$ of the unique 0 -labelled vertex of $K\left(\Gamma_{C}\right)$ is a 2-sphere if and only if $\chi\left(K_{\dot{0}}\right)=|A C|+2 g-\lambda=2$, that is if and only if $|A C|=\lambda-2 g+2$. This result, together with Proposition 1, proves (b).

The Euler characteristic computation of $K\left(\Gamma_{C}\right)$ gives:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \chi\left(K\left(\Gamma_{C}\right)\right)=\left(g_{0}^{\prime}+g_{1}^{\prime}+g_{2}^{\prime}+g_{3}^{\prime}\right)-\left(g_{\{0.1\}}^{\prime}+g_{\{0.2\}}^{\prime}+g_{\{0.3\}}^{\prime}+g_{\{1,2\}}^{\prime}+g_{\{1,3 \mid}^{\prime}+g_{\{2,3\}}^{\prime}\right)+ \\
& \quad+\operatorname{Card}\left(E\left(\Gamma_{C}\right)\right)-\operatorname{Card}\left(V\left(\Gamma_{C}\right)\right)= \\
& \quad=(1+g+s+h)-(2 s+\lambda+\lambda+|\mathrm{AC}|+\lambda+2 g)+8 \lambda-4 \lambda=\lambda+h+1-s-g-|A C|
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, if (b) holds, $\chi\left(K\left(\Gamma_{C}\right)\right)=g-s+h-1$.

Finally, if $g=s$ (resp. $g=s+1$ ) and (b) holds, $\chi\left(K\left(\Gamma_{C}\right)=h-1\right.$ (resp. $\chi\left(K\left(\Gamma_{C}\right)=h\right)$ and hence $\left|K\left(\Gamma_{C}\right)\right|$ is a closed 3-manifold (resp. $M\left(K\left(\Gamma_{C}\right), W\right)$ is the exterior of a knot) if and only if $h=1$; proposition I, corollary 2 and equality [4] complete the proof of (d).

Proposition 5. Let $M$ be a 3-manifold having $K_{\Phi}$ as a standard spine. There exists a permutation $C \in \Omega(\Phi)$ such that $M=M\left(K\left(\Gamma_{C}\right), W\right)$.

Proof. If $\alpha$ is a 1 -simplex of $\tilde{K}_{\Phi}$, the imbedding of its star st $\left(\alpha, \tilde{K}_{\Phi}\right)$ in the (arbitrarily oriented) 3-manifold $M$ induces a cyclic ordering of the 2simplexes of $\tilde{K}_{\Phi}$ containing $\alpha$. Thus, a permutation $C$ on $V(\Gamma)$ or, equivalently, an oriented structure $\left(\vec{\Gamma}_{C}, \vec{\gamma}_{C}\right)$ can be associated to the crystallized structure $(\Gamma, \gamma)$ representing $\widetilde{K}_{\phi}$.

Note that the imbedding of $\bar{K}_{\phi}$ in $M$ directly gives $B C=C^{-1} B$ and hence $C \in \Omega(\Phi)$. Let $\left(\Gamma_{C}, \gamma_{C}\right)$ be the $h$-bijoin over $\left(\vec{\Gamma}_{C}, \vec{\gamma}_{C}\right)$; note that the choice of the opposite orientation in $M$ gives rise to the opposite oriented structure but to the same graph ( $\Gamma_{C}, \gamma_{C}$ ), as pointed out in section 3 . If $\bar{M}$ denotes the singular 3 -manifold obtained by capping off each boundary component of $M$ by a cone, them $\hat{M}=\left|K\left(\Gamma_{C}\right)\right|$ and hence $M=M\left(K\left(\Gamma_{C}\right), W\right), W$ being the set of all 3-labelled vertices in $K\left(\Gamma_{C}\right)$.

If $\Omega^{\prime}(\Phi)$ denotes the subset of $\Omega(\Phi)$ consisting of all $C \in \Omega(\Phi)$ such that $|A C|=\lambda-2 g+2$, then proposition 4 and proposition 5 lead to the following result:

Corollary 6. The complex $K_{\Phi}$ is a standard spine of a 3-manifold $M$ if and only if there exists a permutation $C \in \Omega^{\prime}(\Phi)$ such that $M=M\left(K\left(T_{C}\right), W\right)$.

The above result directly produces an effective algorithm for testing the geometricity of a group presentation, extending Neuwirth's one to nonbalanced presentations.

Example. Let $\Phi=\left\langle x, y \mid x^{3} y^{2}\right\rangle$. In this case, $g=2, s=1$ and, with the notations of fig. I, the permutations $A, B$ can be written in the following way:

$$
A=(\overline{1} 2)(\overline{2} 3)(\overline{3} 4)(\overline{4} 5)(\overline{5} 1), B=(1 \overline{1})(2 \overline{2})(3 \overline{3})(4 \overline{4})(5 \overline{5}) .
$$

Moreover, the orbits of the permutation $C$ are $\{1,2,3\},\{\overline{1}, \overline{2}, \overline{3}\},\{4,5\}$, $\{\overline{4}, \overline{5}\}$.

The choice of $C=(123)(\overline{3} \overline{2} \overline{1})(45)(\overline{5} \overline{4}) \in \Omega^{\prime}(\Phi)$ produces the 4-coloured graph ( $\Gamma_{C}, \gamma_{C}$ ) drawn in fig. 1 and $M\left(K\left(\Gamma_{C}\right), W\right)$ is the exterior of the trefoil knot.
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## 5. MONTESINOS ALGORITHM VIA BIJOINS

We sketch Montesinos algorithm described in [M].
With the notations of the previous section, let $\Phi$ be a given group presentation whose associated $P$-graph $P_{\Phi}$ is connected; make $\Phi$ positive and call the new presentation $\Phi$ again.

Take the permutation $\tau=\left(1,2, \ldots, \lambda\left(r_{1}\right)\right) \cdot\left(\lambda\left(r_{1}\right)+1, \ldots, \lambda\left(r_{1}\right)+\lambda\left(r_{2}\right)\right) . s$. ( $\ldots, \lambda$ ) on $N_{\lambda}$ and the set of all permutations $\sigma$ on $N_{\lambda}$ whose orbits $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{g}$ are defined as follows: the number $j \in N_{\lambda}$ belongs to $d_{i}$ if and only if there is a relator $r_{k}$ whose $\left(j-\lambda\left(r_{k-1}\right)\right)-$ th letter is $x_{i}$. Let $\Sigma(\Phi)$ denote the subset of all such $\sigma$ satisfying $\left|\sigma, \tau \sigma \tau^{-1}\right|=1$ and $|[\sigma, \tau]|=\lambda-2 g+2$.

If $\Sigma(\Phi) \neq \varnothing$, then, for each $\sigma \in \Sigma(\Phi)$, construct the singular 3-manifold $N(\sigma, \tau)$ by taking $\lambda$ copies $\left\{t_{1}, \ldots, t_{\lambda}\right\}$ of the standard tetrahedron t whose bidimensional faces are denoted by $\mathcal{S}, \tilde{S}, T, \tilde{T}$. Label the faces $S, \tilde{S}, T, \tilde{T}$ in the copy $t_{i}$ as $S_{i \sigma(i)}, \tilde{S}_{i \sigma}{ }^{-1(i)}, T_{i \tau(i)}, \widetilde{T}_{i \tau}{ }^{-1}(i)$ respectively; identify $S_{i j}$ with $\tilde{S}_{j i}$ and $T_{i j}$ with $\tilde{T}_{j}$ by an orientation-reversing linear homeomorphism respecting the edges $S \cap \widetilde{S}$ and $T \cap \widetilde{T}$.

If $W$ denotes the set of all singular vertices of $N(\sigma, \tau)$, then $\{M(N(\sigma, \tau), W)$ $\mid \sigma \in \Sigma(\Phi)\}$ is the set of all 3-manifolds $M^{3}$ admitting a Heegaard diagram whose associated presentation for $\Pi_{1}\left(M^{3}\right)$ is $\Phi$.

Since $P_{\Phi}$ is connected, the representable 2-pseudocomplex $\tilde{K}_{\Phi}$ triangulates $K_{\phi}$; now, it is possible to label the vertices of the crystallized structure ( $\Gamma, \gamma$ ) associated to $\widetilde{K}_{\Phi}$ by the set $\bar{N}_{\lambda}=\{1,2, \ldots, \lambda, \overline{1}, \overline{2}, \ldots, \bar{\lambda}\}$ so that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
A= & \left.\left.\left.(\overline{1} 2) \cdot(\overline{2} 3) \ldots \overline{\left(\lambda\left(r_{1}\right)-1\right.} \lambda\left(r_{1}\right)\right) \cdot \overline{\left(\lambda\left(r_{1}\right)\right.} 1\right) \cdot \overline{\left(\lambda\left(r_{1}\right)+1\right.} \lambda\left(r_{1}\right)+2\right) \ldots \\
& \therefore\left(\overline{\lambda\left(r_{1}\right)+\overline{\lambda\left(r_{2}\right)}} \lambda\left(r_{1}\right)+1\right) \cdot \\
& \therefore\left(\bar{\lambda} \lambda\left(r_{1}\right)+\ldots+\lambda\left(r_{\lambda-1}\right)+1\right) \quad \text { and } \quad B=\operatorname{II}_{h \in N_{h}}(h \bar{h}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, if $C$ is a permutation on $\bar{N}_{\lambda}$ satisfying the following properties:
$j$ (resp. $\bar{j}$ ) belongs to the orbit $d_{i}$ (resp. $\bar{d}_{i}$ ) of $C$ if and only if there is a relator $r_{k}$ whose $\left(j-\lambda\left(r_{k-1}\right)\right)$ - th letter is $x_{i}$,
the ordering of the elements $j$ in $d_{i}$ is opposite to the ordering of the elements $\bar{j}$ in $\bar{d}_{i}$,
then $C \in \Omega(\Phi)$.
Thus, the choice of $\sigma$ induces an associated $C_{a}$ (and hence an oriented structure $\left.\left(\vec{\Gamma}_{\sigma}, \vec{\gamma}_{\sigma}\right)=\left(\vec{\Gamma}_{C_{\sigma}}, \vec{\gamma}_{C_{\sigma}}\right)\right)$ in a standard way and viceversa.

Proposition 7. The singular 3-manifold $N(\sigma, \tau)$ is pl-homeomorphic with $\left|K\left(\Gamma_{\sigma}\right)\right|,\left(\Gamma_{\sigma}, \gamma_{\sigma}\right)$ being the h-bijoin over $\left(\vec{\Gamma}_{\sigma}, \vec{\gamma}_{\sigma}\right)$.

Proof. If $t$ is the standard tetrahedron, subdivide it into four tetrahedra in the following way. If $V_{S}$ (resp. $V_{T}$ ) is the barycenter of $S \cap \widetilde{S}$ (resp. $T \cap \tilde{T}$ ), join $V_{S}$ with $V_{T}$ by an edge whose interior is contained in the interior of $t$ and subdivide $S, \tilde{S}$ (resp. $T, \tilde{T}$ ) by joining $V_{S}$ (resp. $V_{T}$ ) with the endpoints of $T \cap \tilde{T}$ (resp. $S \cap \tilde{S}$ ). Label $V_{S}$ by 1, $V_{T}$ by 2 and the endpoints of $S \cap \tilde{S}($ resp. $T \cap \tilde{T}$ ) by 0 (resp. 3) (fig. 2).


Figure 2

In this way, $N(\sigma, \tau)$ is triangulated by a representable 4-pseudocomplex $K^{\prime}$ in which each $t_{i}$ splits into four tetrahedra.

If ( $\Gamma^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}$ ) is the 4-coloured graph representing $K^{\prime \prime}$, it is straightforward that the oriented structure ( ${ }^{3} \vec{\Gamma}^{\prime}, \bar{\gamma}^{\prime}$ ) is isomorphic with $\left(\vec{\Gamma}_{\sigma}, \vec{\gamma}_{\sigma}\right)$ and hence $N(\sigma, \tau)=\left|K\left(\Gamma_{\sigma}\right)\right|$.

Since $\quad|[\sigma, \tau]|=g_{\{1,2\}}^{\prime}=|A C|, \quad|\sigma|=g, \quad|\tau|=s, \quad\left|\sigma, \quad \tau \sigma \tau^{-1}\right|=g_{\hat{0}}^{\prime}=$ $|A, C|=1,\left|\tau, \sigma \tau \sigma^{-1}\right|=g^{\prime}{ }_{3}=h=|A C, B C|$, all results in [M] can be restated in terms of spines or in terms of bijoins and edge-coloured graphs.

It appears as evident that the graph-theoretical bijoin construction is the idea which unifies both Neuwirth and Montesinos algorithm.
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