



Research article



Adjust operating conditions of an in-made house horizontal hydraulic press for a 90° cold bending process

Ajustar las condiciones de operación de una prensa hidráulica horizontal de fabricación propia para un proceso de doblado en frío a 90°

Hugo Estrada Pimentel¹ , Hugo Arcos Gutiérrez² , José Antonio Betancourt Cantera³ , Jan Mayen² , John Edison García Herrera^{2*} 

¹POSGRADO-CIATEQ A.C. Centro de Tecnología Avanzada, Circuito de la Industria Poniente Lote 11, Manzana 3, No. 11, Col. Parque Industrial Ex hacienda Doña Rosa, Lerma, Estado de México. C.P. 52004.

²CONAHCYT-CIATEQ A.C. Centro de Tecnología Avanzada, Eje 126 No.225, Industrial San Luis, San Luis Potosí 78395, México.

³CONAHCYT-COMIMSA, Corporación Mexicana de Investigación en Materiales, Ciencia y Tecnología No. 790, Col. Saltillo 400, 25290 Saltillo, Coahuila, México.

Corresponding author: John Edison García-Herrera, CONAHCYT-CIATEQ A.C. Centro de Tecnología Avanzada, Eje 126 No.225, Industrial San Luis, San Luis Potosí 78395, México. E-mail: john.garcia@ciateq.mx. ORCID: 0000-0001-5842-1658.

Received: September 6, 2023

Accepted: November 27, 2023

Published: December 1, 2023

Abstract. - *During the cold-bending process, the tooling suffers considerable damage due to excess pressure. This also causes the machines to break down, causing problems in the precision and quality of the metal parts formed. The precision depends on the operating conditions of the press, the tooling employed, and the elastic recovery effect of the material. This study determines the working conditions for a made-in-house horizontal hydraulic press through an experimental design (DOE). This research carried out the V-forming to 90° (ISO 2768-1) of a hot-rolled carbon steel plate, considering pressure, piston permanence time, and recovery factor (Kr). The experimental and statistical analysis ensures accurate forming while the work pressure decreases by 17% and 33%, respectively, regarding the maximum. This reduction will delay the appearance of fatigue damage and have the operating parameters well established; in turn, it will be possible to design tools according to commercial standards.*

Keywords: Cold forming; DOE ANOVA; Recovery factor (Kr); Factorial analysis 2^k; Spring back (SB).

Resumen. - *Durante el proceso de conformado en frío, las herramientas sufren daños considerables debido al exceso de presión. Esto también causa que las máquinas se rompan, causando problemas en la precisión y calidad de las piezas metálicas formadas. La precisión depende de las condiciones de funcionamiento de la prensa, las herramientas empleadas y el efecto elástico de recuperación del material. Este estudio determina las condiciones de trabajo de una prensa hidráulica horizontal fabricada en casa a través de un diseño experimental (DOE). Esta investigación llevó a cabo el conformado en V a 90° (ISO 2768-1) de una placa de acero al carbono laminada en caliente, teniendo en cuenta la presión, el tiempo de permanencia del pistón y el factor de recuperación (Kr). El análisis experimental y estadístico asegura un conformado preciso, mientras que la presión de trabajo disminuyó en un 17% y 33%, respectivamente, con respecto al máximo. Esta reducción retrasará la aparición de daños por fatiga y tendrá los parámetros de funcionamiento bien establecidos; con estos, a su vez, será posible diseñar herramientas de acuerdo con los estándares comerciales.*

Palabras clave: Conformado en frío; DOE ANOVA; Factor de recuperación (Kr); Análisis factorial 2^k; Recuperación elástica (SB).

1. Introduction

Cold forming or forging is a highly efficient process for shaping material profiles. A metal plate is creatively deformed within a tooling using a press at room temperature [1-3]. Predicting and controlling elastic recovery (*SB*, spring back) during bending processes is one of the principal challenges as it depends not only on the bending process parameters but also on the raw material's inherent characteristics and processing [4-8].

Additionally, reducing scrap in die development poses a complex challenge of full importance to tooling designers. [9-14]. Failing to achieve this objective can result in significant issues during part assembly, thus generating additional expenses for tooling adjustments. If recovery cannot be accurately predicted, one must repeatedly attempt to obtain the proper conditions to compensate for the *SB* [15-18].

In the case of products manufactured using a cold bending process, the standards for quality, specifications, and tolerances tend to be quite stringent. [19, 20], as they are cross-industry [3,21-23]. The performance and dimensional quality of these products can be influenced by various factors, including but not limited to wear and tear of tooling, environmental conditions, production process, and service life [24-27].

When manufacturing, carefully considering the hydraulic press's operating conditions is crucial. Precision operations during the bending process are indispensable factors to keep in mind, particularly regarding the pressing form and method [28-30]. Excessive load is often the cause of premature damage to pre-forming tooling or dies, despite their ability to withstand high levels of stress and wear due to their design. Despite being classified as *perishable* tooling, they are engineered to resist fatigue and friction contact [1, 31-34].

This research aims to establish a precedent for operating an in-made house horizontal hydraulic press and to acquire a deeper understanding of achieving the best possible conditions for effectively making bends, precisely 90° or V-bends hot-rolled carbon steel plate. It is crucial to optimize the press's performance, ensuring that it operates with maximum efficiency while avoiding exceeding the maximum pressure limit of 3000 psi. Furthermore, we must consider the necessary compensation for spring-back to prevent deviations from the desired specifications and maintain precise geometrical tolerances. Maintaining a deviation of no more than 1° from the nominal angle during the bending process is crucial. This guarantees the final component's quality and subsequent procedures success. To prevent any deviations in future processes and ensure the smooth operation of the finished piece, it is essential to compensate for spring-back adequately.

2. Materials and experimental details

The bending process was carried out at a 90° angle of plate 6.963*2* 3/4 inches (large, width, and thick) hot-rolled carbon steel (by ASTM A-36). The bending procedure was performed by the method outlined in the reference [35] and illustrated in Figure 1.

1. Material preparation: ensure the plate has the correct dimensions and strength to withstand the V-bending process.
2. Bending tool selection: select the appropriate V-bending tool for the size and thickness of the material to be bent. The bending tool can be a bending die or a bending press.
3. Material positioning: place the plate on the bending tool, ensuring that the bending line is aligned with the V-shaped line of the die. As shown in Figure 1 a).
4. Bending tool adjustment: It is necessary to adjust the bending tool to achieve accurate

bending and proper bending force. Setting the tooling to apply the required bending force and accomplish the correct bending angle is essential.

5. Bending: To bend the workpiece accurately along the V-line shown in Figures 1 b)

and 1 c), the bending tool must be precisely actuated to apply the required force.

6. Results verification: verify that the angle and quality of the bend are adequate, as shown in Figure 1 d).




Figure 1. The Manufacturing process of the arm for pendants by bending: a) Positioning, b) Bending, c) Piston retraction, d) Manufactured part.

The process above was done at Martin Sprocket & Gear Inc., a specialized company that manufactures helical worm equipment for the transporting and handling bulk materials. This equipment is highly prevalent in various industries, including food, mining, agriculture, and pharmaceuticals, where they function as

mixers, dozers, elevators, or agitators based on the worm principle. Figure 2 displays the purpose of the sub-assemblies to prevent the helical element from deflecting, thus reducing friction between the component and the main housing. Such a sub-assembly carries two pieces called arms or pendants, obtained by cold bending.




Figure 2. Schematic representation of the function of support manufactured for a helical conveyor.

A 2^k factorial experiment design was conducted to determine the press operating conditions necessary for obtaining a 90° bending (Fig. 1 c). The variables in this DOE were the press pressure

and the time of the pressure permanence before the piston recoil. Each variable had four levels ($k=4$). In Figure 3, the variables are summarized in the diagram.




Figure 3. Schematic of the tests.

The pressure applied to a material is the principal factor defining its deformability. Hence, the pressure was considered one of the controlling variables during the testing process; this experimental analysis was done under four levels in descending order from 3000 to 1500 psi with 500 psi steps. The second factor was the permanence time before the piston retraction, while the pressure was maintained to compensate for the *SB*. Likewise, four levels were tested in a permanence time, from 0 to 1.5 seconds with 0.5 seconds' step. From the above, we have $2^4=16$, and two tests were made for each case of experimentation, giving a total of 32 tests.

For each test, the angle after the bend was measured with a Wixey digital goniometer, model WR300 Type 2, as seen in Figure 4. The measurements were utilized to compute the deviation from the nominal angle, as the objective is to achieve a bent workpiece with a 90° angle. The statistical analysis of the data was performed by ANOVA using NCSS statistical software (NCSS 2021, statistical software, Kaysville, Utah, USA). This analysis considered a confidence level of 95%, with a type of bilateral interval.



Figure 4. Angle measurements in pieces subjected to the bending process.

3. Results and Discussions

Table 1 shows the angles obtained after subjecting each plate to bending. It is important

to remark that variables such as pressure and permanence time before the piston retraction were considered during the process.

Table 1. Value of angles measured for each experimental condition.

PRESSURE (psi)	PERMANENCE TIME DURING THE PRESSURE							
	$t_1= 0 s$	$t_2= 0.5 s$	$t_3= 1 s$	$t_4= 1.5 s$	$t_1= 0 s$	$t_2= 0.5 s$	$t_3= 1 s$	$t_4= 1.5 s$
P1 = 3000	89.3	89.5	89.9	89.9	89.9	89.9	89.8	89.7
P2 = 2500	89.6	89.4	90.2	89.9	90.5	90.5	90.4	90.1
P3 = 2000	89.4	89.7	90.3	89.8	90.3	89.8	90.3	90.1
P4 = 1500	89.3	89	89.4	89.4	89.3	89.5	89.4	89.3

To quantify the recovery in the plate, the recovery factor K_r was calculated by the following ratio [36]:

$$K_r = \frac{\beta_f}{\beta_i} \tag{1}$$

Where:

β_i is the value of the bending angle with load applied, which for the case study is 90° .

β_f is the resulting angle after removing the load (shown in Table 1).

The K_r values obtained are presented in Table 2; in this case, K_r values close to 1 imply an absence of elastic recovery, resulting in SB approaching zero. In contrast, if SB values move away from zero, there is an increase in the recovery effect.

Table 2. Recovery factor K_r .

PRESSURE (psi)	PERMANENCE TIME OF THE PRESSURE							
	$t_1= 0 s$	$t_2= 0.5 s$	$t_3= 1 s$	$t_4= 1.5 s$	$t_1= 0 s$	$t_2= 0.5 s$	$t_3= 1 s$	$t_4= 1.5 s$
P1 = 3000	0.992	0.994	0.999	0.999	0.999	0.999	0.998	0.997
P2 = 2500	0.996	0.993	1.002	0.999	1.006	1.006	1.004	1.001
P3 = 2000	0.993	0.997	1.003	0.998	1.003	0.998	1.003	1.001
P4 = 1500	0.992	0.989	0.993	0.993	0.992	0.994	0.993	0.992

Figure 5 shows the values corresponding to the factor K_r . According to the confidence level established at 95%, the data show remarkable adherence to the normal distribution line, thus indicating an approximately normal distribution. Settings are evenly distributed above and below

zero. Since the value p exceeds the limit of 0.05, as can be seen in Table 3, it can be concluded with statistical certainty that the data exhibit a normal distribution. Therefore, the results are statistically significant for further analysis.




Figure 5. Normal test with p -value > 0.05.

Table 3. Normality test results.

Normality Test	Prob. Level	Decision
Shapiro-Wilk W	0.1325	Can't reject normality
Anderson-Darling	0.0958	
D'Agostino Skewness	0.6559	
D'Agostino Kurtosis	0.1084	
D'Agostino Omnibus	0.2495	

The results of the variance analysis (ANOVA), a statistical technique employed to detect significant discrepancies between the averages of

two or more groups, are shown in Table 4. This study examined two primary factors: pressure and time, as previously mentioned.

Table 4. Statistical values (Analysis of Variance).

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F-Ratio	Prob Level	Power (Alpha=0.05)
A: Pressure__psi_	3	0.000344625	0.000114875	31.69	0.000001*	1.000000
B: Time__s_	3	0.000195125	6.504167E-05	17.94	0.000023*	0.999943
AB	9	5.8125E-05	6.458333E-06	1.78	0.150238	0.570971
S	16	5.8E-05	3.625E-06			
Total (Adjusted)	31	0.000655875				
Total	32					

* Term significant at alpha = 0.05

The analysis reveals that the pressure has a significantly high F-ratio value of 31.69. In ANOVA, the F ratio compares the systematic Variance resulting from the tested factors against the random Variance, which stems from unexplained variations. A higher F-value

indicates a higher probability that group differences are systematic rather than random. Figure 6 displays a chart depicting the effect of the control factors (pressure and time) on the response variable (K_r value) through a presentation of the main effects. This graphical

representation visualizes how each factor impacts the system's response.

Achieving a K_r value of 1 during the bending process is crucial because it signifies that the part's appropriate and final bending angles are a perfect match. A K_r value of 1 indicates that the component has been bent precisely to the desired angle, thereby minimizing any discrepancies that may compromise the quality of the end product. In Figure 6 a), it can be observed that K_r reaches minimum deviation with a value of 0.9995, which is close to the ideal value of 1 when the

pressure is at 2000 psi. This result suggests that pressure has a significant impact on bending accuracy.

Figure 6 b) represents the value of K_r as a function of the permanence time of the load (pressure). Notably, a permanence time of 1 second before piston retraction also produces a minimum deviation of K_r , reaching a value of 0.99963. This observation indicates that time is another crucial factor that can optimize bending accuracy.




Figure 6. Plots of the main effects: a) recovery factor as a function of pressure and b) recovery factor as a function of time.

The data in these graphs indicate that the most precise bending can be achieved by combining 2000 psi pressure and 1 second time. It's important to comment that these values might fluctuate due to other factors, like the material type and machine specifications. Therefore, it is always recommended to perform additional experiments and fine adjustments to optimize the bending process in each case.

Considering the variance analysis presented in Table 4, which indicates that pressure is the most significant factor, Figure 7 a) displays the interactive effect of the control variables by illustrating the K_r behavior based on the pressure function. In the graph, there are three conditions for which K_r is very close to 1, for a pressure of 2000 psi with a time of 0.5 and 1 s, and at 2500 psi at 0.5 s. These three conditions are confirmed as observed in the surface response graph shown in Figure 7 b).




Figure 7. Interaction's plot: a) K_r as a function of pressure, and b) surface plot.

Figure 8 shows a comparative box plot of two process variables to ascertain the most favorable combinations to produce optimally formed parts. Upon examining Figure 8 a), it can be inferred that the conditions most conducive for attaining an optimal K_r value of 1 lie between 2000 and 2500 psi. The data underscores this inference by showing a median K_r value of less than 1 at 2000 psi and a value exceeding 1 at 2500 psi. Consequently, these two pressure points represent the highest probability of achieving the ideal K_r value. Notably, the 2000 psi condition exhibits a normal distribution slightly more centralized than that at 2500 psi.

On the other hand, Figure 8 b) provides a chronological plot of K_r values. Notable observations at the timestamps of 0.5, 1, and 1.5 seconds reveal a certain reproducibility level where K_r is proximate to 1. A wider distribution around the 1 s mark contrasts with the 0.5 s mark. Yet, most results cluster around a K_r value of 1, rendering 1 s a suitable choice. The 1.5 s condition, however, does not seem to represent the desired outcomes and would lead to an increased cycle time in the process.




Figure 8. Box plot for a) K_r as a function of pressure and b) K_r as a function of time.

The results show that the two reliable combinations are 2000 psi/1 s and 2500 psi/0.5 s.

By selecting these sets of parameters as optimal and accounting for any deviations from the

desired 90° angle, we can guarantee the ability to achieve bending with a $\pm 0^\circ 20'$ tolerance, categorized as type C according to ISO 2768's (International Organization for Standardization (1989), ISO 2768-1: General Tolerances: Tolerances for Linear and Angular Dimensions Without Individual Tolerance Indications). It should be noted that such tolerance is lower than required in the plane ($\pm 1^\circ$) for the part manufacturing. Furthermore, applying 2000 and 2500 psi pressures would lead to a remarkable 16.66% and 33.33% reduction in the press operating pressure, respectively. It is worth highlighting that, for the bending process, the adjustment of the press is not the only parameter that influences the final angle obtained; other variables affect the final result, such as the punch's radius, the material, the thickness, and the mechanical behavior during the deformation process, among others [4, 9, 10, 12]. However, the objective of this work focused on obtaining the appropriate dam operating conditions, and the expected result of this improvement is an increase in the durability of components subjected to friction, reducing their wear and minimizing the probability of fatigue failure in mechanical elements [26]. It is also expected that the temperature levels in the hydraulic unit are below the critical level to avoid overheating and the adverse effects it generates.

4. Conclusions

The findings of this study illustrate the viability of producing a 90° bend in a hot-rolled carbon steel plate (ASTM A-36) with dimensions of 2 inches in width, 3/4 inches in thickness, and 6.963 inches in length, utilizing an in-house fabricated press.

Effective bending can be achieved by either applying a pressure of 2000 psi with a dwell time of 1 s before retracting the piston or utilizing a pressure of 2500 psi with a waiting period of 0.5 s. These operational conditions comply with the tolerance specified for type C in ISO 2768-1.

The applied pressures represent a decrease of 17% and 33%, respectively, about the maximum pressure employed. These reductions are anticipated to enhance the lifespan of tools, pistons, and dies due to decreased wear and fatigue. Moreover, the established operating parameters facilitate the creation of a tool design that aligns with commercially available matrices.

Another practical implication of these operational parameters is the expectation that temperature levels in the hydraulic unit will not reach critical thresholds, thereby mitigating risks associated with overheating. The importance of thoroughly validating these final considerations cannot be overstated. However, it's worth highlighting that these analyses, while of potential interest, were deemed tangential to the study's primary objective and were not included within its scope.

5. Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Martin Sprocket & Gear Inc. for allowing the use of their facilities to perform this work. The authors also acknowledge the CONAHCYT (Consejo Nacional de Humanidades, Ciencias y Tecnologías-México) for the support through the Investigadores Por México program, projects 850 and 674.

6.- Authorship acknowledgement

Hugo Estrada: Conceptualization; methodology; validation; writing; revision; project administration; software; investigation; display; draft writing; reviewing and editing. *Hugo Arcos*: Conceptualization; methodology; validation; writing; revision; simulation; software; reviewing. *José A. Betancourt*: Supervision; methodology; validation; writing; revision; draft writing; writing reviewing and editing. *Jan Mayen*: Supervision; methodology; validation; writing; revision; formal analysis. *John E. García*: Conceptualization; supervision;

methodology; validation; writing; revision; project management; formal analysis, draft writing: writing reviewing and editing.

References

- [1] C.-C. Yang, C.-H. Liu, “The Study of Multi-Stage Cold Forming Process for the Manufacture of Relief Valve Regulating Nuts,” *Appl. Sci.*, Vol. 13, no. 10, pp. 6299, May 2023. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app13106299>
- [2] D.Y. Yang, M. Bambach, J. Cao, J.R. Duflou, P. Groche, T. Kuboki, A. Sterzing, A.E. Tekkaya, C.W. Lee, “Flexibility in metal forming,” *CIRP Annals – Manuf. Technol.*, vol. 67, pp. 743–765, June 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cirp.2018.05.004>
- [3] M. Merklein, J.M. Allwood, B.-A. Behrens, A. Brosius, H. Hagenah, K. Kuzman, K. Mori, A.E. Tekkaya, A. Weckenmann, “Bulk forming of sheet metal”, *CIRP Annals*, vol. 61, no. 2, pp. 725-745, June 2012. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cirp.2012.05.007>
- [4] J. Ma, T. Welo, “Analytical spring back assessment in flexible stretch bending of complex shapes,” *Int. J. Mach. Tools Manufact.*, vol. 160, pp. 103653, January 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmachtools.2020.103653>
- [5] L. Gardner, X. Yun, “Description of stress-strain curves for cold-formed steels”, *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 189, pp. 527–538, November 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2018.08.195>
- [6] Y. Chang, N. Wang, B.T. Wang, X.D. Li, C.Y. Wang, K.M. Zhao, H. Dong, “Prediction of bending spring back of the medium-Mn steel considering elastic modulus attenuation”, *J. Manuf. Processes*, vol. 67 pp. 345–355, July 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmapro.2021.04.074>
- [7] Q. Meng, J. Zhao, Z. Mu, R. Zhai, G. Yu, “Spring back prediction of multiple reciprocating bending based on different hardening models”, *J. Manuf. Processes*, vol. 76, pp. 251–263, April 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmapro.2022.01.070>
- [8] C. Löbbe, C. Hoppe, C. Becker, et al. “Closed loop spring back control in progressive die bending by induction heating”, *Int. J. Precis. Eng. Manuf.*, vol. 16, pp. 2441–2449, November 2015. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12541-015-0314-8>
- [9] Z. Tekiner, “An experimental study on the examination of spring back of sheet metal with several thicknesses and properties in bending dies”, *J. Mater. Process. Technol.*, vol. 145, no. 1, pp. 109–117, January 2004. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmatprotec.2003.07.005>
- [10] P. K. Sharma, V. Gautam, A. K. Agrawal, “Investigations on effect of bending radius on spring back behaviors of three-ply clad sheet”, *Maters. Today: Proc.*, vol. 62, pp. 1651–1657, June 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2022.04.601>
- [11] A. Wang, H. Xue, S. Saud, Y. Yang, Y. Wei, “Improvement of spring back prediction accuracy for Z-section profiles in four-roll bending process considering neutral layer shift”, *J. Manuf. Processes*, vol. 48, pp. 218–227, December 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmapro.2019.11.008>
- [12] O. Mamdouh Badr, B. Rolfe, M. Weiss, “Effect of the forming method on part shape quality in cold roll forming high strength Ti-6Al-4V sheet”, *J. Manuf. Processes*, vol. 32, pp. 513–521, April 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmapro.2018.03.022>
- [13] Y. Sun, Y. Li, D. Li, P. A. Meehan, W. J.T. Daniel, L. Shic, H. Xiao, J. Zhang, S. Ding, “Predictive modelling of longitudinal bow in Chain-die formed AHSS profiles and its experimental verification”, *J. Manuf. Processes*, vol. 39, pp. 208–225, March 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmapro.2019.02.007>
- [14] K. Praveen, R. Lingam, N. Venkata Reddy, “Tool path design system to enhance accuracy during double sided incremental forming: An analytical model to predict compensations for small/large components”, *J. Manuf. Processes*, vol. 58, pp. 510–523, October 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmapro.2020.08.014>
- [15] M. Zhan, L. Xing, P.F. Gao, F. Ma, “An analytical spring back model for bending of welded

tube considering the weld characteristics”, *Int. J. Mech. Sci.*, vol. 150, pp. 594–609, January 2019.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmecsci.2018.10.060>

[16] C. Lin, G. Chu, L. Sun, G. Chen, P. Liu, W. Sun, “Radial hydro-forging bending: A novel method to reduce the spring back of AHSS tubular component”, *Int. J. Mach. Tools Manuf.*, vol. 160, pp. 103650, January 2021.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmachtools.2020.103650>

[17] J. Hao-Jie, R. Yu-Xiang, L. Jun-Wei, X. Wen-Lei, G. Ning-Hua, W. Xiao-Gui, J. Chun-Song, “A new predicting model study on U-shaped stamping spring back behavior subjected to steady-state temperature field”, *J. Manuf. Processes*, vol. 76, pp. 21–33, April 2022.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmapro.2022.02.004>

[18] Y.X. Zhu, W. Chen, H.P. Li, Y.L. Liu, L. Chen, “Spring back study of RDB of rectangular H96 tube”, *Int. J. Mech. Sci.*, vol. 138–139, pp. 282–294, April 2018.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmecsci.2018.02.022>

[19] R. Malhotra, J. Cao, F. Ren, V. Kiridena, Z. Cedric, V. Reddy, “Improvement of Geometric Accuracy in Incremental Forming by Using a Squeezing Toolpath Strategy with Two Forming Tools”, *J. Manuf. Sci. Eng.*, vol. 133, no. 6, pp. 061019 (10), December 2011.
<https://doi.org/10.1115/1.4005179>

[20] D. Gröbel, J. Koch, H. Ulrich Vierzigmann, U. Engel, M. Merklein, “Investigations and Approaches on Material Flow of Non-uniform Arranged Cavities in Sheet Bulk Metal Forming Processes”, *Proc. Eng.*, vol. 81, pp. 401-406, October 2014.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2014.10.013>

[21] J. Avemann, S. Calmano, S. Schmitt, P. Groche, “Total Flexibility in Forming Technology by Servo Presses”, *Adv. Mater. Res.*, vol. 907, pp. 92-112, 2014.
<https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMR.907.99>

[22] M. Bambach, “Recent trends in metal forming: from process simulation and microstructure control in classical forming processes to hybrid combinations between forming and additive manufacturing”, *J.*

Mach. Eng., vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 5-17, June 2016.
<https://www.infona.pl/resource/bwmetal.element.baztech-5b9dc94b-bac3-4e20-b3ec-08bfd5b4ed92>

[23] Y. Yu, L. Lan, F. Ding, L. Wang, “Mechanical properties of hot-rolled and cold-formed steels after exposure to elevated temperature: A review”, *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 213, pp. 360–376, July 2019.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2019.04.062>

[24] A.R. Dar, S. Vijayanand, M. Anbarasu, M.A. Dar, “Cold-formed steel battened built-up columns: Experimental behaviors and verification of different design rules developed”, *Adv. Struct. Eng.*, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 321–335, January 2022.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/13694332211048006>

[25] Q.-M. Li, Z.-W. Yi, Y.-Q. Liu, X.-F. Tang, W. Jiang, H.-J. Li, “Explicit Analysis of Sheet Metal Forming Processes Using Solid-Shell Elements”, *Metals*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 52, January 2022.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/met12010052>

[26] R. Cybulski, R. Walentyński, M. Cybulska, “Local buckling of cold-formed elements used in arched building with geometrical imperfections”, *J. Constr. Steel. Res.*, vol. 96, pp. 1-13, May 2014.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcsr.2014.01.004>

[27] R. Kurth, M. Bergmann, R. Tehel, M. Dix, M. Putz, “Cognitive clamping geometries for monitoring elastic deformation in forming machines and processes”, *CIRP Annals – Manuf. Technol.*, vol. 70, pp. 235-238, July 2021.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cirp.2021.04.001>

[28] R.F. Pedreschi, B.P. Sinha, “The potential of press-joining in cold-formed steel structures”, *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 243-450, June 1996.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0950-0618\(96\)00006-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0950-0618(96)00006-2)

[29] M. Schmidt, H. Spieth, C. Haubach, C. Kühne, “Resource efficiency under high pressure–servo drive presses, in 100 Pioneers in Efficient Resource Management”, Springer Spektrum, Heidelberg, 2019, pp 470-473. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-56745-6_102

[30] T. Furushima, M. Yamane, “New strain-ratio-independent material constant of free surface roughening for polycrystal sheets in metal forming”,

CIRP Annals–Manuf. Technol., vol. 70, pp. 215-218, July 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cirp.2021.04.035>

[31] H. Ren, F. Li, N. Moser, D. Leem, T. Li, K. Ehmann, J. Cao, “General contact force control algorithm in double-sided incremental forming”, *CIRP Annals – Manuf. Technol.*, vol. 67, pp. 381–384, July 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cirp.2018.04.057>

[32] N. Moser, Z. Zhang, H. Ren, H. Zhang, Y. Shi, E. E. Ndip-Agbor, B. Lu, J. Chen, K. F. Ehmann, J. Cao, “Effective forming strategy for double-sided incremental forming considering in-plane curvature and tool direction”, *CIRP Annals*, vol. 65, no. 1, pp.265-268, June 2016. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cirp.2016.04.131>

[33] L. Kwiatkowski, M. Urban, G. Sebastiani, et. al, “Tooling concepts to speed up incremental sheet

forming”. *Prod. Eng. Res. Devel.*, vol. 4, pp. 57–64, January 2010. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11740-009-0206-9>

[34] B. Lu, Y. Fang, D.K. Xu, J. Chen, H. Ou, N.H. Moser, J. Cao, “Mechanism investigation of friction-related effects in single point incremental forming using a developed oblique roller-ball tool”, *Int. J. Mach. Tools Manuf.*, vol. 85, pp. 14-29, October 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmachtools.2014.04.007>

[35] M. P. Groover, *Fundamentals of modern manufacturing: materials, processes and systems*, 4th Edition, Wiley., United States of America, 2010, pp. 450-460.

[36] S. Kalpakjian and S.R. Schmid, *Manufacturing processes for engineering materials*, 6th Edition Singapore, Pearson Education, 2018, pp. 360-369.

Derechos de Autor (c) 2023 Hugo Estrada Pimentel, Hugo Arcos Gutiérrez, José Antonio Betancourt Cantera, Jan Mayen, John Edison García Herrera



Este texto está protegido por una licencia [Creative Commons 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Usted es libre para compartir —copiar y redistribuir el material en cualquier medio o formato — y adaptar el documento —remezclar, transformar y crear a partir del material— para cualquier propósito, incluso para fines comerciales, siempre que cumpla la condición de:

Atribución: Usted debe dar crédito a la obra original de manera adecuada, proporcionar un enlace a la licencia, e indicar si se han realizado cambios. Puede hacerlo en cualquier forma razonable, pero no de forma tal que sugiera que tiene el apoyo del licenciante o lo recibe por el uso que hace de la obra.

[Resumen de licencia](#) - [Texto completo de la licencia](#)