Revista de Antropología, Ciencias de la Comunicación y de la Información, Filosofia,
Lingüística y Semiótica, Problemas del Desarrollo, la Ciencia y la Tecnología

Año 35, diciembre 2019 N°

Revisten de Ciencias Humanas y Sociales
ISSN 1012.1537/ ISSNe: 2477-9385 Depósito Legal pp 198402ZU45

Universidad del Zulia Facultad Experimental de Ciencias
Departamento de Ciencias Humanas Maracaibo - Venezuela

Assessment of Sand Dune Hazards for Land Use/Land cover in Ma'an Governorate

Aymen AL-Taani¹

¹Al-Hussein Bin Talal University College of Arts, Department: of History and Geography, Jordan. Email: ayman.a.taani@ahu.edu.jo

Yusra Al-husban² ²Department of Geography, Faculty of Arts, the University of Jordan, Amman, Jordan. Email: y.alhusban@ju.edu.jo

Abstract

The main goals of this paper are to assess the risk of sand accumulation as a hazard for the land use/land cover (LULC) in Ma'an Governorate via comparative quantitative research methods. The results of LULC showed that human activities represent only 340.74 km² (1.03%), namely of built-up area, forest and irrigated area, besides the 240 km of road length concentrated in the western and southwestern regions of Ma'an, so the sand accumulation is hazards well-studied in detail in this area. In conclusion, many farms were relocated from one place to another to avoid areas covered by sand dunes.

Keywords: Sand Dune, Hazards, LULC, Ma'an Governorate.

Evaluación de los peligros de las dunas de arena para el uso de la tierra / cobertura de la tierra en la gobernación de Ma'an

Resumen

Los objetivos principales de este documento son evaluar el riesgo de acumulación de arena como un peligro para el uso del suelo / cobertura del suelo (LULC) en la gobernación de Ma'an a través de métodos de investigación cuantitativa comparativa. Los resultados de LULC mostraron que las actividades humanas representan solo 340.74 km² (1.03%), a saber, del área edificada, el bosque y el área irrigada, además de los 240 km de longitud de la carretera concentrados en las regiones oeste y suroeste de Ma'an, por lo que La acumulación de arena es un peligro bien estudiado en detalle en esta área. En conclusión, muchas granjas fueron reubicadas de un lugar a otro para evitar áreas cubiertas por dunas de arena.

Palabras clave: Duna de arena, Peligros, LULC, Gobernación de Ma'an.

1. INTRODUCTION

Ma'an Governorate occupies ca. (37%) of the total area of Jordan, and most of it is area classified as hyper-arid land (89.2%), with annual precipitation less than 50mm/y. Sand dunes occupy 6226.79 km², some 18.9 % of the total area of Ma'an Governorate, as measured by Landsat ETM+ 2018. According to the wind speed and direction, the dunes move at different rates and in different directions, and the dynamic nature of sand dunes and their potential hazards for the main human activities in Jordan have been reported only in the

case of Wadi Araba. This kind of natural hazard is widely studied around the world using different methodologies (KHIDR, 2006; EL-BAZ, 1998).

This was conducted over a wide area of Ma'an Governorate, concentrated in the western and southwestern part of the study area with high potential hazards for land use. In this paper, five spatial digital maps were used. These factors are then overlaid considering their importance based on the weight accorded to each factor. The final output of this analysis is a map that shows the areas that are prone to sand dune with the degree of the proneness specified, categorized as follows: very low, low, moderate, high, and very high.

Ma'an is located in the southern part of Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan (HKJ) and extends between longitudes 35° 30´ to 38° 00´ E and latitudes 29° 00´ N to 31° 30´ (see Figure 1). Ma'an forms the Jordanian-Saudi border over a distance of 744 km, and has the largest area of the 12 governorates, with an estimated population of 152,000 in 2018. It has the lowest population density in Jordan: about 1.27 persons per square km. Ma'an has largely a desert climate, but the western highlands have a Mediterranean climate. Consequently, the western highlands area of Ma'an is of special importance because it is marked by the highest precipitation and is where most human activities are concentrated (JAMLI, ZAREKIA & RANDHIR, 2018).

Rainfall is scarce and the average annual rainfall ranges from < 50 mm in the East and Qa'a Al-Jafer, which forms 29,450.2 ,km² (89.2%), to 350 mm/y in a small portion of 163.05 km² (0.49 %) in the Western Highlands, while the rest of the study area (10.3%), with

mean rainfall, ranges from 250 to 100 mm/y. Ground elevation is between 262 m to 1710 m in the Western Highlands, while the mean elevation is 986 m. and (77.8%) of the total area has an elevation between 262 m and 932 m, with relief of 1,448 m. Slope terrain (80.3%) of the total area is nearly level, and only 2.8% of the total area is moderate to the steep slope (see Figure 3).

Figure. 1: Location map of the study area.

2. METHODOLOGY

In order to achieve the study goal, Satellite images of Landsat 4, 5 Thematic Mapper (TM) and Landsat 8 Operational Land Imager (OLI)/ were acquired from United States Geological Survey (USGS) *Assessment of Sand Dune Hazards for Land Use/Land cover in Ma'an Governorate* 1138

website; Landsat TM and OLI were selected to represent the governorate. The land use/cover (LULC) maps were extracted for detecting different changes in LULC during the period 1990 to 2018 and then used to generate the risk map of sand dunes for the Ma'an Governorate (LIVINGSTONE, WIGGS & WEAVER, 2007).

Risk assessment of sand dunes, applying GIS tools, including overlaying five spatial maps, was conducted utilizing various sources such as climatic data, DEM, and Landsat 8-OLI. The procedure model was used to analyze the key variables influencing sand dune movement in the governorate. Previous studies have documented how sand encroachment and dune movements are greatly influenced by certain environmental factors such as wind speed, wind direction, sand availability or the morphology of sand dunes (AQL, 2004; FADHIL, 2016).

Figure 2: Flowchart for production of the sand dune risk map.

The weighted overlay in Arc Map was applied to produce the final hazard map according to the influence percentage for each layer; therefore, each layer contributes to the influence according to its influence percentage, as shown in Tables 1-2 and Figures 3-4. Different criteria are used for sand dunes classes.

| Elevation (m) | Area $(km2)$ | Area $(\%)$ | | | |
|--------------------------------|----------------|-------------|--|--|--|
| 262-761 | 7342.2 | 22 | | | |
| 762-932 | 17621.2 | 52.8 | | | |
| 933-1182 | 6507.8 | 19.5 | | | |
| 1183-1710 | 5.7 1902.3 | | | | |
| Total area $(km2)$ | 100 33373.4 | | | | |
| Slope (degree) | Area $(km2)$ | Area $(\%)$ | | | |
| $0 - 2$ | 22593.8 | 67.7 | | | |
| $2.1 - 5$ | 9811.8 | 29.4 | | | |
| $5.1 - 11$ | 734.2 | 2.2 | | | |
| 11.1-72 | 200.2 | 0.6 | | | |
| Total area (km^2) | 33373.4 | 100 | | | |
| Mean annual wind speed (k/h) | Area $(km2)$ | Area (%) | | | |
| < 5 | 1949.6 | 30.8 | | | |
| $5 - 8$ | 5339.7 | 16 | | | |
| $9-12$ | 15818.9 | 47.4 | | | |
| >12 | 1935.7 | 5.8 | | | |
| Total area $(km2)$ | 33373.4 | 100 | | | |

Table 1: Parameters controlling the sand dune

Assessment of Sand Dune Hazards for Land Use/Land cover in Ma'an Governorate

Figure 3: Elevation (m), Slope (Degrees) maps extracted from aster-DEM (30 meters), Wind speed and direction.

Figure 4: LC and LULC 2018

The higher wind speed activates sand dune movement. The strongest wind covered about 5.8% of the total area of Ma'an, occurring in March 12.8 km/h, February 12.4 km/h, January 10.4km/h, and April, followed by October, November, and December. Given the

hyper-arid conditions and scarce vegetation cover, wind systems in the area are capable of producing sand dunes in different forms and directions. These sand dunes occur in association with the major valley floors and playa basins and are commonly moving against or into the foothills of the escarpments in the study area. The weakest winds in the region covered about 30.8% of the total area of Ma'an, with spatial concentrated in Qa'a Al-Jafer. The prevailing wind directions are ESE, SE, SSE, and NW, with a range from 200- 350 degrees.

Figure 5: Annual prevailing wind speed, direction for Shoubak and Ma'an meteorological stations, obtained from the Jordan Meteorological Department.

The study area is principally comprised of barren land, ca. 32,658.43 km² (99%) of its total area, and divided into six categories according to its lithology origin. These are: mud flat (playa basins) (3.9%), the flat-floored bottom of an undrained desert basin that turns

into a shallow lake during rainy months, basalt rocks (22.5%), limestone rocks, gravel deposits (20.6%), and the rest are sand dunes and sandstone (31.1%).

To map changes that had occurred between the different dates, all spectral bands from the TM digital data were individually used as input for the purpose of supervised classification. A maximumlikelihood algorithm was used for LULC mapping from Landsat images. In total, eight (LULC) classes were included in the scheme: built-up area, forest, and irrigated area, and bare land. The barren area was classified into six layers according to its lithology: sand dune, mud flat, sandstone rocks, and basalt rocks. These eight categories of LULC changes are summarized in Table 4. In the current study risk assessment of sand dunes, applying GIS tools including overlaying five spatial maps were acquired from various sources such as LULC, topographic data, and wind speed and direction for sand dune risk modeling.

| Parameters | Risk Levels | | | | | | | | |
|------------|-------------|----------------|----------|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | $\overline{2}$ | 3 | | | | | | |
| Elevation | 262-761 | 762-932 | 933-1182 | 1183-1710 | | | | | |
| (m) | | | | | | | | | |
| Slope | $0 - 2$ | $5 - 8$ | $8-15$ | < 8 | | | | | |
| (Degree) | | | | | | | | | |
| Mean | < 5 | $5 - 8$ | $9-12$ | >12 | | | | | |
| annual | | | | | | | | | |

Table 3: The Parameter Risk Levels

Assessment of Sand Dune Hazards for Land Use/Land cover in Ma'an Governorate

Figure 6: The Risk Levels for Each Parameter

3. RESULTS

Landsat satellite scenes, imaged during the years 1990, 2000 and 2018 were used to classify different LULC classes in the study area. According to the study, the actual number of classes identified in this large area was highly heterogeneous in terms of geological, topographic and climatic conditions. This is a huge area and heterogeneous landscape; eight main classes could with difficulty be identified. The data obtained indicate that the LULC classes are as follows: forest and irrigated area, built-up area, and bare land. The bare area is classified according to the lithological characteristics into five types: basalt rocks, sandstone rocks, limestone rocks, gravel deposits, sand dunes, and mud flat, which covered 32736 km² (99.9%) of the total area of Ma'an Governorate. The urban class covers a small area of 340.74 km², concentrated in the western parts of the study area. The class of sand dunes covers an area of 6226.79 km², and refers to all kinds of sand accumulation. The developed areas are highly concentrated in the western and southwestern regions of Ma'an Governorate. Our case study will be in this part of the study area for risk assessment impacting on the LULC.

Figure 7: LULC classes of Ma'an Governorate/Jordan, based on Landsat 1990, 2000 and 2018 images, respectively Table 4: LULC classes in this study during the study period

Accuracy assessment is necessary for testing the accuracy of the resultant classes from the classification images. There are several methods of performing an accuracy assessment, such as the overall accuracy and the Kappa coefficient. The confusion of error matrix which can be used as a starting point for a series of descriptive and analytical statistical analyses has been used to represent the accuracy assessment.

In order to obtain the confusion matrix, random sampling was carried out. The columns of the matrix represent the reference data, while the rows indicate the classes generated from the classification process. According to previous studies, there are many ways to improve the interpretation of the confusion matrix. Among them, the Kappa coefficient is one of the most popular measures for addressing the difference between the actual agreement and the chance agreement. The Kappa coefficient of agreement was computed as follows: Where r is the number of rows in the confusion matrix,

$$
\hat{k} = \frac{N\sum_{i=1}^{r} X_{ii} - \sum_{i=1}^{r} (X_{i+} \times X_{+i})}{N^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{r} (X_{i+} \times X_{+i})}
$$

Where: r is the number of rows in the confusion matrix; Xii is the number of observation in row and column I; $X + i$ is the total number of observation in row I: Xi+ is the total number of observation in column I; N is the total number of observations included in the matrix.

Tables 5 and 6 show the confusion matrices resulting from the digital data classified. For 1990, 214 GCPs were selected, which were then checked with reference to 1:50 000 topographic maps. The result shows an overall accuracy of 88%. In terms of producer accuracy, all classes were over 77%. In terms of user's accuracy, all classes were over 83%, and a Kappa index of agreement of 0.85 was obtained. For the 2000 LULC map, 214 GCPs were selected. The result indicated an overall classification accuracy of ca. 85%. In terms of producer accuracy, all classes were over 70%. For 2018, in terms of user's accuracy, all classes were over 86%, and a Kappa index of agreement of 0.85 was noted.

A comparison of Tables 2 and 3 reveals that the 1990 LULC map is compatible inaccuracy in every respect with the 2000 LULC map, where the overall accuracy is more than 85% for both maps, indicating that this is good evidence that the image processing approach adopted in this study has been effective in producing compatible LULC data over time. In regard to producer accuracy for the 2018 LULC map, all classes were over 86%, while in terms of user's accuracy; all classes were over 83%, and a Kappa index of agreement of 0.86 was obtained. (see Table 5).

| N | Land | Agric | Buil | M | Bas | Bas | Sands | Lime | Gray | T |
|--------------|--------|--------|----------|----------------|----------------|------------|-------|-------|----------|-----------------------------|
| \mathbf{O} | use | ultura | t -up | ud | alt | alt | tone | stone | el | _{ot} |
| | | 1 land | area | fla | rock | rock | rocks | rocks | depo | al |
| | | | | t | S | S | | | sit | |
| 1 | Agric | 18 | θ | $\overline{0}$ | $\overline{0}$ | θ | | | Ω | $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}$ |
| | ultura | | | | | | | | | 0 |
| | 1 land | | | | | | | | | |

Table 5: Confusion matrix of the signatures derived from supervised training, TM 1990, 2000 and 2018.

1149 *Aymen AL-Taani et al. Opción, Año 35, Regular No.24 (2019): 1134-1154*

| $\overline{2}$ | Built- | $\boldsymbol{0}$ | 24 | $\overline{2}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\boldsymbol{0}$ | $\boldsymbol{0}$ | $\boldsymbol{0}$ | $\overline{2}$ |
|------------------|-----------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------|
| | up | | | | | | | | | 8 |
| | Area | | | | | | | | | |
| $\overline{3}$ | Mud | $\overline{0}$ | $\overline{0}$ | $\overline{8}$ | $\overline{0}$ | $\overline{0}$ | $\overline{0}$ | $\overline{1}$ | $\overline{0}$ | $\overline{9}$ |
| | flat | | | | | | | | | |
| $\overline{4}$ | Basalt | $\overline{1}$ | $\overline{1}$ | $\boldsymbol{0}$ | $\overline{34}$ | $\overline{1}$ | $\overline{1}$ | $\overline{2}$ | $\overline{0}$ | $\overline{7}$ |
| | rocks | | | | | | | | | 3 |
| 5 | Sand | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\boldsymbol{0}$ | $\boldsymbol{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | 34 | $\boldsymbol{0}$ | $\overline{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | 5 |
| | dunes | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | Sands | $\overline{0}$ | $\overline{1}$ | $\boldsymbol{0}$ | $\boldsymbol{0}$ | $\boldsymbol{0}$ | 19 | $\overline{0}$ | $\overline{1}$ | $\overline{2}$ |
| | tone | | | | | | | | | $\,1$ |
| | rocks | | | | | | | | | |
| $\boldsymbol{7}$ | Limes | $\boldsymbol{0}$ | $\boldsymbol{0}$ | $\boldsymbol{0}$ | $\overline{2}$ | $\sqrt{2}$ | $\boldsymbol{0}$ | 28 | $\overline{2}$ | 3 |
| | tone | | | | | | | | | $\overline{4}$ |
| | rocks | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | Grave | $\boldsymbol{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\boldsymbol{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\overline{1}$ | $\boldsymbol{0}$ | 20 | $\overline{2}$ |
| | \bf{l} | | | | | | | | | $\overline{4}$ |
| | depos | | | | | | | | | |
| | its | | | | | | | | | |
| \overline{T} | | $\overline{20}$ | $27\,$ | $\overline{10}$ | 39 | $\overline{39}$ | $\overline{22}$ | $\overline{33}$ | $\overline{24}$ | $\overline{2}$ |
| α | | | | | | | | | | $\,1$ |
| al | | | | | | | | | | $\overline{4}$ |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

Change detection and monitoring involve the use of multitemporal images to evaluate differences in (LULC) due to environmental conditions and human activities between the acquisition dates of images. The study area is a highly heterogeneous surface and 98.95 % is classified as bare land. LULC in this study map-to-map comparison was adopted requiring that images from the three dates are classified, and then the three classified maps are compared. Map-tomap comparison is preferred for many applications as it can detect a full matrix of LULC changes for large amounts of data over large study areas such as the Ma'an Governorate, which occupied about 37% of the total area of Jordan. Based on Figure. 6 we can summarize the changes that occurred during the study period as the forest and irrigated area decreased by -11% from 1990 to 2000 and -97.1% between 2000-2018.

The movement rate was classified as high where it ranges between 5.2 and 7.7 m/y. 3. Moderate: sand dune movements in these areas are characterized by a rate of 3.3 to 5.50 m/y. This category dominates the areas with random distribution, it covers an area of 6863.8 km², 20.8%. 4. Low**:** the rate of movement in this category is low and fluctuated with accumulation in the base levels (Playa basins), and its movement ranges from 1.2.1 m/y, covering an area of 8777.7 km², 26.6% of the total area of Ma'an Governorate. It is estimated that most sand dune movement direction is towards the ESE, SE, SSE, and NW.

Figure 8: Sand dune hazard map in the study area, and the masked hazards map in the selected area

Table 6: Sand dune hazard affected in the study area by area and percentage

| Risk level | Area $(km2)$ | Area $(\%)$ |
|------------------|--------------|--------------|
| (Low) | 8777.7 | 26.6 |
| (Moderate) 2 | 6863.8 | 20.8 |
| 3 (High) | 10955.7 | 33.2 |
| (Very high) 4 | 6401.806 | 19.4 |
| Total | 32.999 | 100 |

As mentioned above, sand dunes represent 18.9% of the total area of Ma'an Governorate. The sand dunes are distributed in different areas in the study area, while the built-up area, the irrigated area, and the road network are highly concentrated in the western and southwestern region of the study area, within an area covering 15.764

km², 47.8%; 35.3% comprises sand stone rocks, and 25.1% is constituted of sand dunes. The study of sand dune movement will focus on explaining the impact of sand dunes on these human activities.

Table 7: Statistical characteristics of the selected site, according to

Figure 8.

4. CONCLUSION

We concluded from the three images analyzed that sand dunes increased by 1.33km² and 8.63km² during the study period in the study area (see Table 3). The following is a study of the impact of the sand dunes in the urban area in detail. The rate of sand dune movement is controlled by wind speed, direction, slope, elevation, and land cover patterns. Movement rates were calculated. Most activities affected by sand dunes are concentrated in the western and southwestern areas, comprising the built-up area, irrigated area and the network of roads (see Figures 8-9). In 2018, the results showed that the study area, in

general, is exposed to a high risk of sand dune movement. The fieldwork and interviews with farmers showed that many farms were relocated from one place to another to avoid areas covered by sand dunes.

REFERENCES

AL-ANSARI, N., SALAMEH, E., & OMARI, H. (1990). "Analysis of rainfall in the Badia region". **Al al-Bayt University Research Paper**. N^o 1. Jordan

AQL, M. (2002). "Movement of sands east Suez Canal and its impact on human activities: a study in applied geomorphology (In Arabic)". **Al-Ensaniat**. Vol. 19, pp. 1–75. Egypt.

EL-BAZ, F. (1998). "Sand accumulation and groundwater in the eastern Sahara". **Episodes**. Vol. 21, pp. 147–152. USA.

FADHIL, A. (2016). "Sand dunes monitoring using remote sensing and GIS techniques for some sites in Iraq". **The International Society** for Optical Engineering. Vol. 87, N° 62. USA.

GAD, A. (2016). "Sand accumulation distribution and related impacts on agricultural resources of Sinai Peninsula". **Using integrated remote sensing-GIS techniques Global Advanced Research Journal of Agricultural Science**. Vol. 5, N° 1: 42-50. Egypt.

HUGENHOLTZ, C, LEVIN, N., BARCHYN, T., BADDOCK, M. (2012). "Remote sensing and spatial analysis of aeolian Sand accumulations: A review and outlook". **Earth-Science Reviews**. Vol. 111, pp. 319-334. Netherlands.

Assessment of Sand Dune Hazards for Land Use/Land cover in Ma'an Governorate 1154

JAMLI, A., ZAREKIA, S., & RANDHIR, O. (2018). "Risk assessment of Sand accumulation disaster in relation to geomorphic properties and vulnerability in the Saduq-Yazd Erg". **Applied ecology** and environmental research. Vol. 16, Nº 1: 579-590. Hungary.

KHIDR, M. (2006). "Aeolian forms and their hazards in the west of Wadi El- Arish: A geomorphological study". **Ph.D. Dissertation, Geography Department, Ain Shams University**. Egypt.

LIVINGSTONE, I., WIGGS, G., & WEAVER, C. (2007). "Geomorphology of desert Sand accumulations: A review of recent progress". **Earth-Science Reviews**. Vol. 80, pp. 239-257. Netherlands.

Revista de Ciencias Humanas y Sociales Año 35, N° 24, (2019)

Esta revista fue editada en formato digital por el personal de la Oficina de Publicaciones Científicas de la Facultad Experimental de Ciencias, Universidad del Zulia.

Maracaibo - Venezuela

www.luz.edu.ve www.serbi.luz.edu.ve **produccioncientifica.luz.edu.ve**