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#### Abstract

The main aim of this study was to verify associations between the anthropometric characteristics of young swimmers of different genders and different competitive levels with sports performance in 50 m and 400 m freestyle races at different levels (U-13-Swimmers A and U-12 - Swimmers B). In addition, it was also intended to investigate the magnitude of the correlations between some specific variables (i.e., height, weight and wingspan) and the swimming performance. All participants were analyzed, regarding their anthropometric characteristics and their performance in the 50 m and 400 m freestyle swim. A total of 98 swimmers aged between 11-13 years old (mean $\pm$ standard deviation: $12.63 \pm 0.76$ years of age, $1.59 \pm 0.08 \mathrm{~m}$ height, $47.11 \pm 7.82 \mathrm{~kg}$ body weight) participated in the study. The results suggest that anthropometric characteristics have a positive relationship in the performance of swimmers when comparing genders ( $p<0,01$ ), furthermore positive linear correlations was found in height ( $\mathrm{r}=0.305$ and $\mathrm{r}=0.253, \mathrm{p}<0.01$ ), weight ( $\mathrm{r}=0.202$ and $\mathrm{r}=0.140, \mathrm{p}<0.01$ ), and wingspan ( $\mathrm{r}=$ 0.227 and $\mathrm{r}=0.203, \mathrm{p}<0.01$ ) for 50 m and 400 m freestyle swim. The swimmers' efficiency of segmental movements was related to anthropometric characteristics and strongly associated with the length of the swimmers' segments. These results may be due to the fact that swimmers' maturational status may have played an important role, in the observed results. In addition, when comparing genders, the height and weight values of male swimmers tended to be higher. Furthermore, the differences observed in the 50 m and 400 m freestyle swimming events were related to the relationship that anthropometric characteristics have on the biomechanical parameters of swimming, which influence swimming performance. This study concluded that the improvement of performance of each young national-level swimmer is strongly related to the rate of growth, development and maturation
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## 1. Introduction

In pure sport swimming, young swimmers are subjected to intense training programs from an early age so that they can withstand competitive periods and achieve high
sporting performance (Martínez et al. 2011). Pure sport swimming is characterized as an individual, cyclical, continuous, closed, and mixed activity that depends on genetic, contextual, psychological (Fernandes, R., Aleixo, I., Soares, S., \& Vilas-Boas 2008), biomechanical, energetic (Barbosa et al.

2010), hydrodynamic (Morais et al. 2012), and anthropometric factors (Jürimäe et al. 2007). This type of swimming differs from other sports activities due to the nature of the environment in which it occurs (i.e. the aquatic environment), which requires particular spatio-temporal and energetic adaptations (Marinho et al. 2007). Since they constantly interact with the aquatic environment, swimmers seek the production of propulsive forces that maintain or accelerate their travel speed (Kwon and Casebolt 2006). Swimming performance depends on the ability to generate propulsive force and minimize the hydrodynamic drag that opposes displacement (Berger, Hollander, and De Groot 1997; Martínez et al. 2011), which can be stimulated by improving biomechanical patterns (Vantorre, Chollet, and Seifert 2014) and swimming technique (Scorțenschi 2019). Additionally, performance can also be affected by the variability of body composition (Charmas and Gromisz 2019) and anthropometric characteristics (i.e. weight, body mass index, height, and wingspan) (Morais et al. 2012; Zuniga et al. 2011).

The anthropometric characteristics of swimmers are closely related to each other and serve major roles in sports performance (Fernandes, Barbosa, and Vilas-Boas 2002a). Additionally, a previous study by Damsgaard et al. (2001) demonstrated that participation in sports competitions from a young age is directly related to the specific body composition and body proportions of each individual. Thus, the association between anthropometric characteristics and sports performance is a relevant indicator for identifying talent in the long-term development process of athletes (Sammoud, et al. 2018). Young male swimmers have been characterized as taller and heavier with a greater wingspan when compared to young female swimmers, and these characteristics contribute to differences in performance between genders (Schneider and Meyer 2005). Fat mass is an important body composition feature that seems to vary between sports. Typically, the lower the fat mass, the better the performance (Martínez et
al. 2011). However, swimming seems to be an exception since advantages associated with a higher proportion of fat mass have been reported, such as greater buoyancy that results in lower energy expenditure (Fernandes et al. 2002a; Wells, Schneiderman-Walker, and Plyley 2006; Zuniga et al. 2011). Female swimmers seem to enjoy this advantage since-according to what has been reported in previous investigations (Fernandes et al. 2002a; Greco and Denadai 2005; Rodrigues et al. 2001; Wells et al. 2006)-they have a greater amount of fat mass compared to male swimmers.

A further investigation (Morais et al. 2013) has shown that anthropometric factors explain approximately $45.8 \%$ of the performance of 15 -year-old male swimmers in 100 m crawl tests, and $63.8 \%$ of the performance in freestyle swim tests among 13-year-old swimmers of both sexes (Bond et al. 2015). Anthropometric variables such as weight, body mass index, height, and wingspan seem to be strongly related to performance during swimming tests in young people and can thus potentially influence performance (Morais et al. 2013). On the other hand, young athletes of a high competitive level also present higher values of stature, and wingspan as well as conclusively higher values of gestural frequency (GF) (Craig and Pendeegast 1979), cycle distance (CD), and swimming index (SI) (Morais et al. 2013) (when these performance variables are used as performance indicators) (Craig and Pendeegast 1979; Lätt et al. 2009, 2010; Morais et al. 2012). According to Chollet et al. (2000) (Chollet, Chalies, and Chatard 2000), GF is defined as the number of stroke cycles per unit of time and is expressed as "cycles.seg-1 (Hz)". Variations in speed result from correlations between increases and decreases in GF and CD, respectively (Toussaint et al. 2006). According to Maglisho (1999), CD was characterized as the average horizontal distance traveled during the completion of a complete stroke cycle (m). A swimmer's transformation of muscle strength into propulsive force enables an increase in CD, which is derived from the
physical capacity to produce strength and the technical capacity applied in segmental paths, with an emphasis on the orientation of the most propulsive surfaces. Therefore, variables such as wingspan and height can condition the achievement of higher CD values (Anderson et al. 2006; Franken, Pivetta, and Antônio De Souza 2007), which represents an indicator of propulsive efficiency. Swimmers with more representative wingspan values tend to have higher CD (Barbosa et al. 2009; Franken et al. 2007) and SI (Jürimäe et al. 2007) values, with CD having a significant correlation with performance (Morais et al. 2012). SI is expressed as a swimmer's ability to move at a certain speed with a greater or lesser number of strokes and essentially derives from the relationship between CD and speed (Caputo et al. 2000). It is measured in $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ and is an excellent performance indicator for young swimmers (Jürimäe et al. 2007) since a swimming speed with greater CD and less GF translates into an inefficient and ineffective technique (Caputo et al. 2000). Like the other variables referenced, SI also represents an excellent predictor of performance (Lätt et al. 2009, 2010).

Therefore, a range of performance variables is useful in training programming and control which, when related to athletes' anthropometric characteristics, seem to contribute to understanding and improving their performance through rigorous control and monitoring of training. Thus, the training of young swimmers must be regularly monitored so that the prescription is adequate for improving performance based on the particular characteristics of each swimmer (Marinho et al. 2011). Although the differences are not always significant in relation to anthropometric characteristics, growth, and maturation differences between genders at both prepubescent and pubescent ages (Malina, Bouchard, and Bar-Or 2004), it remains important to continue investigating this topic. The maturation of an athlete involves the organs and structures of the body and translates into morphological changes observed throughout the growth process, which reaches its peak during
puberty. Thus, growth and development processes are strongly linked to the improvement of motor performance in children and adolescents (Beunen and Malina 2008). Therefore, based on this relationship, growth and physical development indicators should be considered because biological maturation does not start at the same age in both genders and does not have the same duration in all individuals (Erlandson et al. 2008). In fact, the literature has reported a strong association between anthropometric characteristics and performance in pure sport swimming. However, this relationship requires further investigation to clarify its impact on the performance of young swimmers of national level, both genders in a precise age range. Although some studies (Geladas et al. 2005; Jürimäe et al. 2007; Nevill, Oxford, and Duncan 2015; Sammoud, Alan M. Nevill, et al. 2018; Sammoud, Alan Michael Nevill, et al. 2018) have inferred about the importance of anthropometric characteristics for swimming performance in different age groups, knowledge about the effects of some variables (i.e., height, weight, Body mass index, wingspan, wingspan / height, GF, CD and SI) on performance remains to be investigated, particularly among genres of the competitive ranks of $\mathrm{U}-13$ and $\mathrm{U}-12$. In addition, few studies have made a specific comparison between swimmers of different genders and similar chronological ages who belong to different competitive levels. Thus, the present study aimed to verify associations between the anthropometric characteristics of young swimmers of different genders and different competitive levels with sports performance in 50 m and 400m freestyle races at different levels (U-13 - Swimmers A and U- 12 - Swimmers B). In addition, it was also intended to investigate the magnitude of the correlations between some specific variables and the swimming performance.

## 2. Materials and Methods

## Subjects

A total of 98 swimmers aged between 11 and 13 years old (mean $\pm$ standard deviation: $12.63 \pm$
0.76 years of age, $1.59 \pm 0.08 \mathrm{~m}$ height, $47.11 \pm$ 7.82 kg body weight) participated in the study.

All the swimmers belonged to the U-13 and $\mathrm{U}-12$ level, with 48 females and 50 males. The female sample consisted of 25 of the U-13 (Swimmers A) - ( $12.48 \pm 0.30$ years of age; 1.60 $\pm 0.06 \mathrm{~m}$ in height; $47.25 \pm 7.95 \mathrm{~kg}$ of body weight) and 23 at the $\mathrm{U}-12$ level (Swimmers) B) - ( $11.63 \pm 0.28$ years of age; $1.52 \pm 0.04 \mathrm{~m}$ in height; $42.76 \pm 5.99 \mathrm{~kg}$ of body weight). While the male sample was composed of 26 belonging to the U-13 level (Swimmers A) ( $13.62 \pm 0.25$ years of age; $1.64 \pm 0.07 \mathrm{~m}$ in height; $52.08 \pm 7.68 \mathrm{~kg}$ of body mass) and 24 belonging to the U -12 level (Swimmers B) ( $12.69 \pm 0.26$ years of age; $1.58 \pm 0.08 \mathrm{~m}$ in height; $45.75 \pm 6.70 \mathrm{~kg}$ of body weight).

## Design

The present study consisted of a crosssectional study that aimed to verify the impact of anthropometric characteristics on sports performance in national-level young swimmers (e.g., 12-13 years), in the 50 m and 400 m freestyle swimming events. Additionally, we sought to determine whether differences existed between genders and between swimmers of the same gender at different competitive levels (U-13 Swimmers A and U-12 - Swimmers B), and was also intended investigate the correlations between some fundamental variables (i.e., height, weight and wingspan) and the swimming performance The inclusion criteria established for the sample were; a) the swimmers must have been present in the last 3 calls of the Portuguese national swimming team b) the swimmers could not have episodes of injuries in the last 6 months. As an exclusion criterion, it was established that; a) swimmers with reports or episodes of indisposition or illness, in the days prior to the evaluation were excluded from the sample. All participants were analyzed with regard to their anthropometric characteristics (height, body mass, body mass index, and wingspan), 50 m and 400 m freestyle swimming performance, and biomechanical variables. All participants were fully informed verbally and in writing regarding the nature of the study. They were
informed that they could withdraw from the study at any time, even after giving their written consent. All parents provided their informed consent allowing the voluntary participation of their children in the study, which had the approval of the Academy's Ethical Advisory Commission and was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

## Methodology

The evaluations were performed during the team internship period. All individuals were evaluated at the same point in the sports season (January). The evaluation sessions were spread over the two days of the internship and the tests were performed without the need for energy expenditure or the accumulation of fatigue (anthropometry) before the swimming performance tests. Sufficient rest was allowed between sessions to ensure that no fatigue was accumulated that would negatively influence performance. After arriving at the internship site on the day of the assessment, each subject was assessed after 5 minutes of rest with regard to anthropometric measures such as body mass, height, wingspan. Body mass index (BMI) was also calculated. They performed the 50 m freestyle swim performance evaluation in the morning session and the 400 m freestyle swim performance evaluation during the afternoon session.

Anthropometric Measures. All measures were assessed according to international standards for anthropometric assessment (Marfell-Jones et al. 2006) and were obtained before any physical performance test. Participants were barefoot and dressed in underwear or as little clothing as possible during the assessment. To measure body height (in $m$ ), a precision stadiometer with a scale of 0.001 m (meters) was used. BMI was obtained by dividing the body mass value by the square of height. Wingspan was determined by measuring the athletes with a tape measure placed on a precision wall with a scale of 0.001 m .

Swimming Performance Evaluation. The evaluation of specific swimming
performance was performed through simulating the 50 m freestyle and 400 m freestyle swim. The 50 m freestyle swim was performed in the morning, while the 400 m freestyle was performed in the late afternoon to provide sufficient time for the participants to recover. After performing a 1000 m warmup using the usual structure based on the protocols described by Neiva et al. (2014), each swimmer performed a simulated race ( 50 or 400 m ). The evaluation protocols were applied in a 25 m covered swimming pool at an average temperature of $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and an average humidity below $70 \%$, with departure from the block and official voices. The timing was recorded using a stopwatch (Finis $3 \times 100$ Stopwatch, Livermore, California). The swims were also filmed and subsequently analyzed using the program Kinovea® version 0.8.15. Biomechanical variables were evaluated for both simulations. Thus, the evaluation of GF was performed using a chronometer in three stroke cycles and later
converted to units of measurement in the international system (Hz). CD was measured by estimation using the following equation (Craig and Pendeegast 1979):

$$
\mathrm{CD}=v / \mathrm{GF}
$$

Where CD is the cycle distance (m.c.-1), v is the average speed of the swimmer (m.s-1), and GF is the gestural frequency of swimming. SI was then estimated using the following equation (Costill et al. 1985):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{SI}=\mathrm{CD} \times v \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where SI represents the swimming index $\left(\mathrm{m} 2 \mathrm{c}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$, DC is the distance per cycle (m.c. $\mathrm{c}^{-1}$ ), and v is the average swimming speed $\mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ ). The speed variables, FG, DC, and IN were evaluated in the second 25 m of each 50 m (either in the 50 m event or 400 m event) and were used to determine the average measure in the 400 m freestyle swim. To analyze these variables, the program Kinovea® (version 0.8 .15 ) was used.

## Statistical Analysis

Data analysis was performed using the statistical software IBM Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS, version 24.0) for Microsoft Windows (Armonk, NY, EU: IBM Corp.). The significance level was set at $5 \%$. The calculation of means, standard deviations, differences, and $95 \%$ confidence intervals ( $95 \%$ CI) was performed using standardized statistical methods. The normality of the distribution was verified using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test ( $\mathrm{n}>30$ ) and not all data had a normal distribution. Thus, parametric (t-test) and nonparametric (Mann-Whitney test) tests were used for data analysis. For bivariate correlations, Pearson's coefficient was used for normal data, Spearman's correlation was used for nonnormal data, and the determination coefficient (r2) was also calculated. Ratios were considered very high for values between 0.90 and 1.00, high between 0.70 and 0.90 , moderate between 0.50 and 0.70 , low

Table 1. Comparison between the mean values ( $\pm$ standard deviation) of the anthropometric variables of the Female Children belonging to the Swimmers A and to the Swimmers B. The significance values, confidence interval of the difference and the effect size are also presented.

| Variables | Swimmers A <br> (Female) <br> $(\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{2 5 )}$ | Swimmers B <br> (Female) <br> $(\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{2 3})$ | Difference (CI 95\%) | p- value | Effect size |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Higher | Lower |  |  |
| Height $(\mathrm{m})$ | $1,60 \pm 0,06$ | $1,52 \pm 0,05$ | 0,10 | 0,04 | $0,001^{* *}$ | 1,44 |
| Weight $(\mathrm{kg})$ | $47,25 \pm 7,95$ | $42,7 \pm 5,99$ | 8,61 | 0,37 | $0,003^{* *}$ | 0,64 |
| BMI $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | $18,31 \pm 2,20$ | $18,26 \pm 2,08$ | 1,30 | $-1,19$ | 0,934 | 0,02 |
| Wingspan $(\mathrm{m})$ | $1,63 \pm 0,07$ | $1,55 \pm 0,06$ | 0,12 | 0,04 | $0,001^{* *}$ | 1.22 |
| Wingspan / | $1,01 \pm 0.01$ | $1.01 \pm 0,02$ | 0,82 | 0,80 | 0,808 | 0.01 |
| Height |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^0]between 0.30 and 0.50 , and very low between 0.10 and 0.30 . The effect size was also calculated using Cohen's $d$ for the comparison between analyzed groups (Cohen 2013). The magnitude of the effect was considered trivial ( $<0.2$ ), small ( $0.2-0.59$ ), moderate ( $0.60-1.19$ ), high (1.2-1.99), or very high (>2.00) (Hopkins et al. 2009).

## 3. Results

Table 1 presents the mean values of the anthropometric variables for female

Swimmers belonging to the A and the B groups. Statistically significant differences were observed for height ( $\mathrm{p}<0.01$; $\mathrm{d}=1.44$ ), weight ( $\mathrm{p}<0.01$; $\mathrm{d}=0.64$ ), and wingspan ( p $<0.01 ; \mathrm{d}=1.22$ ), with a high effect size for all variables.

Table 2 presents the mean values of the anthropometric variables for male Swimmers belonging to the $A$ and $B$ groups. Statistically significant differences (p <0.05) were observed for height and wingspan, with a
high $(\mathrm{d}=0.79)$ and very high $(\mathrm{d}=3.88)$ effect size, respectively. Statistically significant differences ( $p<0.01$ ) were also observed for weight and BMI, with a high effect size.

Table 3 presents the mean values of the anthropometric variables resulting from the comparison between male and female belonging to Swimmers A group. Statistically significant differences were observed for the variable's height and weight ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$; $\mathrm{d}=$ 0.61 ), with a high effect size.

Table 4 presents the mean values of the anthropometric variables resulting from the comparison between male and female Swimmers B. Statistically significant differences were observed for height ( $\mathrm{p}<0.01$; $\mathrm{d}=0.89$ ) and wingspan ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05 ; \mathrm{d}=0.65$ ), with a high effect size.

Table 2. Comparison between the mean values ( $\pm$ standard deviation) of the anthropometric variables of Male Children belonging to Swimmers A and Swimmers B. The values of significance, confidence interval of difference and size of the effect are also presented.

| Variables | Swimmers A <br> (Male) <br> $(\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{2 6})$ | Swimmers B <br> (Male) <br> $\mathbf{( n = 2 4 )}$ | Difference (CI 95\%) | p- value | Effect size |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Higher | Lower |  |  |
| Height $(\mathrm{m})$ | $1,64 \pm 0,07$ | $1,58 \pm 0,08$ | 0,01 | 0.10 | $0,019^{*}$ | 0,79 |
| Weight $(\mathrm{kg})$ | $52,08 \pm 7,68$ | $45,75 \pm 6,70$ | 2,20 | 10,43 | $0,003^{* *}$ | 0.87 |
| BMI $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | $19,20 \pm 1,81$ | $18,10 \pm 1,45$ | 0,16 | 2,04 | $0,002^{* *}$ | 0,67 |
| Wingspan $(\mathrm{m})$ | $1,67 \pm 0,10$ | $1,60 \pm 0,09$ | 0,02 | 0,12 | $0,014^{*}$ | 3,88 |
| Wingspan / Height | $1,01 \pm 0,02$ | $1,01 \pm 0,01$ | 0,11 | 0,10 | 0,109 | 0,05 |

Note: BMI= body mass index; CI= Confidence interval ${ }^{*} \mathrm{p}<0.05 ;{ }^{* *} \mathrm{p}<0.01$
Table 3. Comparison between the mean values ( $\pm$ standard deviation) of the anthropometric variables between genders of the children of Swimmers A. The significance values, confidence interval of the difference and the effect size are also presented.

| Variables | Swimmers A <br> (Male) <br> $(\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{2 6})$ | Swimmers B <br> (Female) <br> $(\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{2 5})$ | Difference (CI 95\%) | p- value | Effect size |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Higher | Lower |  |  |
| Height $(\mathrm{m})$ | $1,64 \pm 0,07$ | $1,60 \pm 0,06$ | $-0,01$ | $-0,08$ | $0,04^{*}$ | 0,61 |
| Weight $(\mathrm{kg})$ | $52,08 \pm 7,68$ | $47,25 \pm 7,95$ | $-0,42$ | $-9,22$ | $0,03^{*}$ | 0,61 |
| BMI $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | $19,20 \pm 1,81$ | $18,31 \pm 2,20$ | 0,24 | $-2,02$ | 0,12 | 0,44 |
| Wingspan $(\mathrm{m})$ | $1,67 \pm 0,10$ | $1,63 \pm 0,07$ | 0,02 | $-0,09$ | 0,10 | 0,46 |
| Wingspan /Height | $1,01 \pm 0,02$ | $1,01 \pm 0.01$ | 0,73 | 0,71 | 0,71 | 0.01 |

Note: BMI: body mass index; CI: Confidence interval ${ }^{*} \mathrm{p}<0.05 ; *{ }^{*} \mathrm{p}<0.01$

Table 4. Comparison between the mean values ( $\pm$ standard deviation) of the anthropometric variables between the gender of the Children in Grade B. The significance values, confidence interval of the difference and the effect size are also presented.

| Variables | Swimmers B <br> (Male) <br> $(\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{2 4})$ | Swimmers B <br> (Female) <br> $\mathbf{( n = 2 3 )}$ | Difference (CI <br> $\mathbf{9 5 \%} \mathbf{)}$ | p- value | Effect size |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Higher | Lower |  |  |
| Height $(\mathrm{m})$ | $1,58 \pm 0,08$ | $1,52 \pm 0,05$ | $-0,02$ | $-0,09$ | $0,005^{* *}$ | 0,89 |
| Weight $(\mathrm{kg})$ | $45,75 \pm 6,70$ | $42,70 \pm 5,99$ | 0,75 | $-6,74$ | 0,114 | 0,47 |
| BMI $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | $18,10 \pm 1,45$ | $18,26 \pm 2,08$ | 1,21 | $-0,88$ | 0,755 | $-0,09$ |
| Wingspan $(\mathrm{m})$ | $1,60 \pm 0,09$ | $1,55 \pm 0,06$ | $-0,01$ | $-0,10$ | $0,021^{*}$ | 0,65 |
| Wingspan/Height | $1,01 \pm 0,01$ | $1.01 \pm 0,02$ | 0.288 | 0,265 | 0,276 | 0.14 |

Note: BMI: body mass index; CI: Confidence interval ${ }^{*} \mathrm{p}<0.05$; ** $\mathrm{p}<0.01$

Table 5. Comparison between the mean values ( $\pm$ standard deviation) of the swimming performance variables in the 50 m freestyle and 400 m freestyle, as well as the gestural frequency (GF), cycle distance (DC), swimming index (IN) and critical speed of female children belonging to the Swimmers A and B. Significance values, difference confidence interval and effect size are also presented.

| Variables | Swimmers A <br> (Female) <br> $(\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{2 5})$ | Swimmers B <br> (Female) <br> (n=23) | Difference (CI <br> 95\%) | p- value | Effect size |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Higher |  |  |  |  |  | Lower |
| 50 m freestyle (s) | $34,48 \pm 2,34$ | $36,52 \pm 1,85$ | $-0,80$ | $-3,27$ | $0,002^{* *}$ | $-0,51$ |
| $50 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{GF}(5-20 \mathrm{~m})(\mathrm{Hz})$ | $48,57 \pm 4,13$ | $50,07 \pm 5,15$ | 1,20 | $-4,20$ | 0,271 | $-0,32$ |
| $50 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{CD}\left(\mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{c}^{-1}\right)$ | $1,80 \pm 0,19$ | $1,65 \pm 0,19$ | 0,26 | 0,04 | $0,010^{*}$ | 0,78 |
| $50 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{SI}\left(\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{c}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1)}\right.$ | $2,63 \pm 0,38$ | $2,27 \pm 0,31$ | 0,56 | 0,15 | $0,001^{* *}$ | 1,03 |
| 400 m frestyle $(\mathrm{s})$ | $330,75 \pm 25,92$ | $364,18 \pm$ | 0,001 | 0,001 | $0,001^{* *}$ | $-1,12$ |
|  |  | 26,36 |  |  |  |  |
| $400 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{GF}(\mathrm{Hz})$ | $37,20 \pm 3,94$ | $37,37 \pm 4,53$ | 0,92 | 0,91 | 0,918 | $-0,04$ |
| $400 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{CD}\left(\mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{c}^{-1}\right)$ | $1,98 \pm 0,23$ | $1,80 \pm 0,24$ | 0,009 | 0,005 | $0,007^{* *}$ | 0,76 |
| $400 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{SI}\left(\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{c}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1)}\right.$ | $2,42 \pm 0,36$ | $1,99 \pm 0,35$ | 0,001 | 0,001 | $0,001^{* *}$ | 1,21 |

Note: CI: Confidence interval; GF: Gestural frequency; DC: Cycle distance; SI: Swimming index; * $\mathrm{p}<0.05$; ** p $<0.01$

Table 6. Comparison between the mean values ( $\pm$ standard deviation) of the swimming performance variables in the 50 m freestyle and 400 m freestyle, as well as the gestural frequency (GF), cycle distance (CD), swimming index (SI) and critical speed of male children belonging to Swimmers A B. The values of significance, difference confidence interval and effect size are also presented.

| Variables | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Swimmers A } \\ (\text { Male }) \\ (\mathrm{n}=26) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Swimmers B } \\ (\text { Male }) \\ (n=24) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Difference (CI } \\ 95 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  | p- value | Effect size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Higher | Lower |  |  |
| 50 m freestyle (s) | $31,08 \pm 1,69$ | $33,20 \pm 1,98$ | -1,07 | -3,17 | 0,001** | -1,15 |
| 50 m GF ( $5-20 \mathrm{~m}$ ) (Hz) | $54,68 \pm 6,42$ | $54,32 \pm 6,32$ | 3,99 | -3,26 | 0,841 | 0,05 |
| $50 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{CD} \mathrm{(m.c}{ }^{-1}$ ) | $1,76 \pm 0,21$ | $1,68 \pm 0,20$ | 0,20 | -0,03 | 0,170 | 0.39 |
| $50 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{SI}\left(\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{c}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ | $2,81 \pm 0,43$ | $2,53 \pm 0,40$ | 0,51 | 0.03 | 0,025* | 0.67 |
| 400 m freestyle (s) | $310,52 \pm 19,78$ | $326,48 \pm 16,94$ | 0,001 | 0,001 | 0,001** | -0,86 |
| 400 m GF (Hz) | $38,74 \pm 4,92$ | $40,83 \pm 5,37$ | 0,24 | 0,22 | 0,229 | -0.40 |
| $400 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{CD} \mathrm{(m.c}{ }^{-1}$ ) | $2,03 \pm 0,27$ | $1,84 \pm 0,24$ | 0.02 | 0,01 | 0,016* | 0.74 |
| $400 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{SI}\left(\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{c}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ | 2,64 $\pm 0,39$ | 2,25 $\pm 0,32$ | 0,001 | 0,001 | 0,001** | 1.09 |

Note - CI: Confidence interval; GF: Gestural frequency; DC: Cycle distance; SI: Swimming index; ${ }^{*} \mathrm{p}<0.05 ;{ }^{* *}$ p<0.01

Table 5 presents the mean values for specific swimming performance. Female Swimmers A registered better performance in the 50 and 400 m freestyle swim ( $\mathrm{p}<0.01 ; \mathrm{d}=-0.51 ; \mathrm{p}$ $<0.01$; d $=-1.12$ ) with a moderate and high effect size, respectively. Additionally, SI and CD were significantly higher, even without differences in GF ( $p>0.05$ ).

Table 6 presents the mean values of specific swimming performance for male children. Male Swimmers A registered better performance in the 50 and 400 m freestyle swim, with a significantly higher SI. Significant differences in CD were also observed for the 400 m freestyle swim.

Table 7 presents mean values of specific swimming performance for male Swimmers A compared to female Swimmers A. Male swimmers A registered better performance in the 50 and 400 m freestyle swim with statistically significant differences ( $\mathrm{p}<0.01$ ) and small effect sizes $(d=-1.66$ and $d=-0.87$, respectively). However, no statistically significant differences were observed with respect to GF, CD, and SI, except for the gestural GF of the 50 m freestyle swim event, for which the male Swimmers A, exhibited a significant difference ( $\mathrm{p}<0.01$ ).

Table 8 presents mean values related to the specific swimming income of male Swimmers B compared to female Swimmers B. Male Swimmers B registered better performance in the 50 and 400 m freestyle swims with statistically significant differences ( $\mathrm{p}<0.01$ ) and small effect sizes ( d $=-1.73$ and $\mathrm{d}=-1.70$, respectively). Additionally, statistically significant differences were observed for GFFG ( $p<0.05$; $\mathrm{p}<0.01$ ) for the 50 m and 400 m freestyle swims, respectively. Regarding the other analyzed variables, statistically significant differences ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$; p <0.01) were observed for GF in the 50 m and 400 m freestyle swim as well as for SI ( $p<0.05$ ) in the 50 m .

Table 9 presents the results of correlations between anthropometric variables and 50 m and 400 m freestyle swim times. The results revealed significant differences in positive
linear correlations between height ( $r=0.305$ and $r=0.253, p<0.01$ ), weight ( $r=0.202$ and $r$ $=0.140, \mathrm{p}<0.01$ ), and wingspan ( $\mathrm{r}=0.227$ and $\mathrm{r}=0.203, \mathrm{p}<0.01$ ) for the 50 m and 400 m freestyle swims, respectively (see Figures 1, 2 , and 3 ).

## 4. Discussion

The objective of this study was to verify associations between the anthropometric characteristics (i.e. height, body mass, BMI, and wingspan) of young swimmers of different genders with the sports performance in 50 m and 400 m freestyle races of Swimmers A and B. In general, and based on the results presented, we can conclude that the studied anthropometric characteristics have positive associations with the performance of swimmers when comparing different genders. For both genders, there was also a tendency for Swimmers A to obtain better results when compared to Swimmers B. The results of this study support previous research indicating that anthropometry is strongly related to the performance of young swimmers (André et al. 2012; Geladas et al. 2005; Jürimäe et al. 2007; Nevill et al. 2015; Reis et al. 2010). Furthermore, positive associations were found between heigh, weigh and wingspan and the swimming performance.

The results of this study also indicate that the efficiency of swimmers' segmental movements seems to be related to the studied anthropometric characteristics, particularly the length of body segments. These results are in line with those of previous studies (Fernandes, Barbosa, and Vilas-Boas 2002b; Leone, Lariviere, and Comtois 2002), which found that the greater the length of the body segments, the faster the swimming and the lower the number of motor actions required to cover the same distance.

Additionally, the results of this study indicate that when comparing genders, the height and weight values of male swimmers tend to be higher, which is in line with a study by Malina et al. (2004) that emphasized the notion that body composition is a
determinant of sports performance, particularly for swimmers (Malina and Geithner 2011). Based on the results exposed in this work, male swimmers have, on average, higher BMI values compared to women. It was also found that BMI increased with age, which is in line with the results of a previous study (Malina et al. 2004). The maturational state of swimmers can play a major role in the present study. According to Malina et al. (2004), maturation progress is directly associated with improved motor performance and is based on the skeletal and sexual maturation of individuals.

In the present study, it was found that the older swimmers (i.e. Swimmers A) were taller, heavier, and had a higher BMI, on average, when compared to the younger age group (i.e. Swimmers B). This allowed Swimmers A to have better results in most of the variables of performance analyzed. Also, according to Bohme (2004), maturation results in morphological changes that reach their peak during puberty. These changes involve most of the body's organs and structures. In this sense, the evolution of motor performance in children and adolescents is strongly associated with these stages of growth and development for children in the age group under study and appears to have a significant impact on performance in the 50 m and 400 m freestyle swimming events. Additionally, a previous study (Fernandes et al. 2002b) concluded that the variables under analysis (i.e. height, body mass, BMI, and wingspan) change from one step to the next, following the evolution of chronological age and influencing performance. Sports performance is affected by chronological age, which strongly correlates with swimmers' height and wingspan because the more expressive these measures are, the better their performance will be since these are presented as fundamentally important biomechanical factors for swimming (Cabral, Mansoldo, and Perrout 2008; Pacheco et al. 2009).

The relationship between anthropometric characteristics and performance in swimming has been a target of interest in
previous research (Lätt et al. 2010; Morais et al. 2012; Negra et al. 2015) and the present work helps to emphasize the importance of this association in young swimmers, which can be an important factor in identifying talent and long-term development processes. A recent investigation (Morais et al. 2017) suggested that anthropometric characteristics were critical factors used as indicators to detect talent. Since anthropometry is fundamentally controlled by genetic markers (Issurin 2017), it will be less susceptible to training when compared to physical fitness attributes (Sammoud, Alan Michael Nevill, et al. 2018), which confirms its importance for talent detection. For this reason, anthropometric characteristics have been indicated as one of the most important factors allowing swimmers to achieve high levels of performance throughout their career from childhood to adulthood (Geladas et al. 2005).

This study aimed to analyze the impact of anthropometric characteristics (i.e. height, body mass, BMI, and wingspan) in young swimmers of both sexes on 50 m and 400 m freestyle swim performance. The results of the present study indicate that anthropometric characteristics have a fundamental impact on the performance of young swimmers. Male and female swimmers of a more advanced chronological age demonstrated more advantageous anthropometric characteristics that allowed them to achieve better results. Upon comparing athletes of the same gender, the observed differences indicate that a more advanced maturational state (following chronological age) translates into a trend of natural superiority among older swimmers compared to younger swimmers. Finally, the differences observed in the 50 m and 400 m freestyle swimming events seem related to the influence that anthropometric characteristics have on the biomechanical parameters of swimming, which are fundamental for swimming performance. Future studies could complement the present results and analyses with an accurate assessment of the maturational state of the sample under study. This study concluded

Table 7. Comparison between the average values ( $\pm$ standard deviation) of the swimming performance variables in the 50 m freestyle and 400 m freestyle, as well as the values of the gestural frequency ( FG ), cycle distance (DC), swimming index (IN) and critical speed between gender of Swimmer A. The values of significance, confidence interval of the difference and the size of the effect are also presented.

| Variables | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Swimmers A } \\ (\text { Male }) \\ (\mathrm{n}=26) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Swimmers B } \\ \text { (Female) } \\ (n=25) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Difference (CI } \\ 95 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  | p-value | Effect size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | High <br> er | Lower |  |  |
| 50 m freestyle (s) | $31,08 \pm 1,69$ | $34,48 \pm 2,34$ | 4,55 | 2,25 | 0,001** | -1,66 |
| 50 m GF ( $5-20 \mathrm{~m}$ ) (Hz) | $54,68 \pm 6,42$ | $48,57 \pm 4,13$ | -3,05 | -9,16 | 0,001** | 1,13 |
| $50 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{CD} \mathrm{(m.c}{ }^{-1}$ ) | $1,76 \pm 0,21$ | $1,80 \pm 0,19$ | 0,16 | -0,72 | 0,457 | 0,19 |
| $50 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{SI}\left(\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{c}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ | 2,81 $\pm 0,43$ | 2,63 $\pm 0,38$ | 0,05 | -0,40 | 0,131 | 0,44 |
| 400 m frestyle (s) | $310,52 \pm 19,78$ | $330,75 \pm 25,92$ | 0,002 | 0,001 | 0,001** | -0,87 |
| 400 m GF (Hz) | $38,74 \pm 4,92$ | $37,20 \pm 3,94$ | 0,285 | 0,262 | 0,270 | 0,34 |
| $400 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{CD} \mathrm{(m.c}{ }^{-1}$ ) | $2,03 \pm 0,27$ | $1,98 \pm 0,23$ | 0,656 | 0,631 | 0,642 | 0,19 |
| $400 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{SI}\left(\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{c}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ | 2,64 $\pm 0,39$ | $2,42 \pm 0,36$ | 0,081 | 0,067 | 0,071 | 0,58 |

Note: CI: Confidence interval; GF: Gestural frequency; DC: Cycle distance; SI: Swimming index; ${ }^{*} \mathrm{p}<0.05$; ** p $<0.01$
Table 8. Comparison between the mean values ( $\pm$ standard deviation) of the swimming performance variables in the 50 m freestyle and 400 m freestyle, as well as the gestural frequency (FG), cycle distance (DC), swimming index ( IN) and critical speed between gender of the Swimmer B. The values of significance, confidence interval of the difference and the size of the effect are also presented.

| Variables | $\begin{gathered} \text { Swimmers A } \\ (\text { Male }) \\ (n=24) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Swimmers B } \\ (\text { Female }) \\ (\mathrm{n}=25) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Difference (CI } \\ 95 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  | p- value | Effect size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Higher | Lower |  |  |
| 50m freestyle (s) | $33,20 \pm 1,98$ | $36,52 \pm 1,85$ | 4,44 | 2,18 | 0,001** | -1.73 |
| 50 m GF ( $5-20 \mathrm{~m}$ ) (Hz) | $54,32 \pm 6,32$ | $50,07 \pm 5,15$ | -0,84 | -7,64 | 0,015* | 0,73 |
| $50 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{CD} \mathrm{(m.c}{ }^{-1}$ ) | $1,68 \pm 0,20$ | $1,65 \pm 0,19$ | 0,09 | -0,13 | 0,706 | 0,15 |
| $50 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{SI}\left(\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{c}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ | $2,53 \pm 0,40$ | 2,27 $\pm 0,31$ | -0,05 | -0,47 | 0,016* | 0,72 |
| 400 m frestyle (s) | $326,48 \pm 16,94$ | $364,18 \pm 26,36$ | 0,001 | 0,001 | 0,001** | -1,70 |
| 400 m GF (Hz) | $40,83 \pm 5,37$ | $37,37 \pm 4,53$ | 0,008 | 0,004 | 0,008** | 0.69 |
| $400 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{CD} \mathrm{(m.c-1)}$ | $1,84 \pm 0,24$ | $1,80 \pm 0,24$ | 0,73 | 0,71 | 0,714 | 0,16 |
| $400 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{SI}\left(\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{c}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ | 2,25 $\pm 0,32$ | $1,99 \pm 0,35$ | 0,01 | 0,008 | 0,013 | 0,77 |

Note-CI: Confidence interval; GF: Gestural frequency; DC: Cycle distance; SI: Swimming index; * p <0.05; ** p $<0.01$
Table 9. Results of the correlations between the different anthropometric variables and the different swimming distances ( 50 m free and 400 m free). The significance (p) values are also shown.

| Correlated Variables | R | p-value | R square |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Height - time 50 m | $-0,553$ | $0,001^{*}$ | 0,305 |
| Weight - time 50 m | $-0,450$ | $0,001^{*}$ | 0,202 |
| Wingspan - time 50 m | $-0,477$ | $0,001^{*}$ | 0,227 |
| Height - time 400 m | $-0,577$ | $0,001^{*}$ | 0,253 |
| Weight - time 400 m | $-0,434$ | $0,001^{*}$ | 0,140 |
| Wingspan - time 400 m | $-0,500$ | $0,001^{*}$ | 0,203 |

Note: *p<0.01
that the improvement of performance of each young national-level swimmer is strongly related to the rate of growth, development and maturation. Consequently, it was found that the chronological age studied showed a strong relationship with the performance in
the 50 and 400 m events. The anthropometric characteristics are also related to the performance, and all this data must be taken into account by the coaches to enhance the effects of individualized training programs taking into account the age group and the


Figure 1. Graphical representation of the relationship between the height and time of the 50 m free (left) or the time of the 400 m free (right).


Figure 2. Graphical representation of the relationship between weight and 50 m free time (left) or 400 m free time (right).


Figure 3. Graphical representation of the relationship between the wingspan and the 50 m free time (left) or the 400 m free time (right).
level of practitioners studied.
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[^0]:    Note: BMI= body mass index; CI= Confidence interval ${ }^{*} \mathrm{p}<0.05 ;{ }^{* *} \mathrm{p}<0.01$

