# DESIGN CYCLE OF A ROBOT FOR LEARNING AND THE DEVELOPMENT OF CREATIVITY IN ENGINEERING

# CICLO DE DISEÑO DE UN ROBOT PARA EL APRENDIZAJE Y DESARROLLO DE LA CREATIVIDAD EN INGENIERÍA

# JUAN SEBASTIÁN ÁLVAREZ CHAVARRÍA

Engineer, research group: Diseño Mecánico Computacional Universidad Nacional de Colombia, jsalvare@unal.edu.co

## JOVANI ALBERTO JIMÉNEZ BUILES

Ph. D., research group: Inteligencia Artificial en Educación Universidad Nacional de Colombia, jajimen1@unal.edu.co

# JUAN FERNANDO RAMÍREZ PATIÑO

Ph. D. (c), research group: Diseño Mecánico Computacional Universidad Nacional de Colombia, jframirp@unal.edu.co

Received for review: October 15th, 2010, accepted: March 29st, 2011, final version: March 31th, 2011

**ABSTRACT:** This paper attempts to show the main aspects which have given evolution to the development of a device belonging to a new pedagogic strategy called *educational robotics*. We present how starting from the basic design requirements expressed, and after applying a rigorous and methodological design process, the design specifications are obtained according to the different pedagogical, functional, esthetic, constructive, and economic aspects which this strategy proposes to implement.

KEYWORDS: Robotics, active learning, design methodology, innovation in education, engineering, artificial intelligence.

**RESUMEN:** Este artículo pretende mostrar los aspectos más relevantes en el desarrollo evolutivo del diseño de un dispositivo perteneciente a una nueva estrategia pedagógica denominada Robótica Educativa. Aquí se presenta cómo a partir de los requerimientos básicos de diseño expresados, y luego de aplicar un proceso riguroso y metodológico de diseño, se logra obtener las especificaciones de diseño acordes con los diferentes aspectos pedagógicos, funcionales, estéticos, constructivos y económicos que esta nueva estrategia de enseñanza propone implementar.

PALABRAS CLAVE: Robótica, aprendizaje activo, metodología de diseño, innovación en educación, ingeniería,

### **1. INTRODUCTION**

One of the cornerstones, and perhaps the most important, in the development of a country is its educational system, which is precisely one of the fundamental scenarios in the solution of the conflict caused by the necessity to operate technology and know how it works to improve the quality of life of a society [1].

From this cornerstone, which joins the substantive functions of teaching, research, university extension departments, university welfare and social outreach, arises the mission of higher education institutions (*instituciones de educación superior, IES* in Spanish) in Colombia [2].

To achieve their mission, the IESs must have suitable human talent trained in proficiencies needed by modern society, which are required to educate human beings with great ability to understand and comminicate abstract concepts, suitable for experimentation, team work, and with a great ability to adapt to changes [3].

In this work, a teaching and learning methodological approach for engineering different from traditional learning is presented. The approach, which has two scenarios, is based on active learning. In the first stage—the building of the robots—some work was done by undergraduate mechanical engineering students. For that stage, the basic design methodology was modified by adding a continuous refinement cycle. It is necessary to clarify that the participating students had a plus represented in the theoretical and practical knowledge, compared to other students. This is evidenced by the continued participation of the students in different projects. Some of these projects are based on real problems shown by some companies like SENA (*Servicio Nacional de Aprendizaje* in Spanish), Argos, Sofasa, Isa, Ecopetrol, GEA, among others. Additionally, students participating in this first stage are more proactive, argumentative, and they propose solutions to the problems formulated by their professors in class.

The second stage is oriented towards younger students from different high schools like Cooperativo Juan del Corral, Diego Echavarría Misas, among others, located in Medellin, Colombia. This population was chosen because they are currently considering different professional options for their future, and one of those options is engineering. Based on the robots pre-manufactured by mechanical engineering students from Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Medellin Branch; different principles of mechanical and undulatory physics, electronics, and algorithmics can be addressed. The aim is for the students to build the robots and, at the same time, experiment with the principles that rule them thanks to the mechanisms integrated in the premanufactured robot to sense the work environment. Besides accomplishing the proposed instructional component goal, it was perceived that these youngsters acquired other proficiencies that were not included in the building project from the beginning. Some of these additional proficiencies are: communicative skills, respect for each other and for nature, leadership, collaborative and cooperative work, problem solving, keeping the work area in order while using tools, books, PCs, and so on; along with other civic and democratic attitudes.

In both scenarios, the purpose was to form young people with solid technological knowledge, based on human values and personal modern codes. Thanks to this project, the participating students have become analytical, argumentative, propositional, and so on; that is to say, they changed from a passive attitude to a proactive one.

It is worth mentioning the resistance that some professors presented to the challenge of introducing and experimenting with new education methods based on active learning [4], and to implement the research and the development of pedagogy and engineering areas in the classrooms. It is urgently needed to innovate with new teaching and learning methods different from the traditional ones but before doing that, a work on pedagogical formation needs to be carried out among teachers.

This paper is structured as follows: in the next chapter, the design process is presented. In chapter three, the application of the new design's cycle in the robot's construction is presented. Chapter four deals with the concepts to experiment with the construction of premanufactured robots. Finally in chapters five and six, the results and conclusions are respectively presented.

## 2. METHODOLOGY ONE: DESIGNING PROCESS

For the initial design of the robot, the methodology developed by authors Phal and Beitz (2007), which can be seen in Fig. 1, was followed. In the first phase of the methodology, the user's requirements were identified. These include, from a wider point of view, the requirements made by the manufacturer, the seller, the final user, and so on, becoming the starting point of the conceptual design.

For this robot's specific case, it was found that the user's requirements were not clearly defined. Due to the fact that the robot is not a machine whose work is restricted to the execution of a task, it was required to teach young people (on the second stage previously mentioned) different science concepts, based on the execution of the tasks performed by the robot. The idea is that the participating youngsters can modify the robot to see how these modifications affect its performance of the same tasks. The following figure describes the basic design methodology [5].

### 2.1. Basic design methodology

The basic design methodology (Fig. 1) is composed of the following five steps [5]:



Figure 1. Basic design methodology [5]

- Basic design requirements: This step is described by the final users. It is focused on clarifying the users' desired requierements and the way the solution will be presented.
- Design specifications: These are the users' requirements translated into the engineering language. After the basic design requirements step, the designer can write a design specification, which is a list of factors that the design should satisfy. This information can be used in the elaboration of ideas in order to guarantee that all customers' necessities are being taken into account. In this step, graphics, diagrams, and algorithms, among others, can be used.
- Conceptual design: This section deals with the design phase in which a functional prototype is developed.
- Detailed design: In this phase, the existing functional design is improved and complemented in order to achieve all design requirements and specifications while making the robot manufacturable.
- Construction: This step includes not only manufacturing the parts but also tuning the machine.

During the initial manufacturing process of the robot, the previously shown methodology was used. Nevertheless, because the robot's main objective is to be used as a teaching tool, and at the time, there were no elements allowing the development of any kind of knowledge when manipulating it, it was necessary to stop the process at the conceptual design step, in order to be able to integrate different elements that help to improve that knowledge generation while using the robot. Some additional elements such as component distribution, different kinds of sensors, and processing cards which were inserted into the premanufactured robot for this purpose, are presented below (Fig. 2).

### 2.2. Modified Design Methodology

Knowing that the machine's purpose is to teach, it is necessary to identify which formative aspects can be approached by the device. With these aspects, the design requirements were complemented. This was translated into a change on the basic design methodology (Fig. 2). The new methodology is hereafter named *Modified Design Cycle*.



Figure 2. Modified design cycle

As shown in Fig. 2, this cycle is composed of eight steps. The first three steps are similar to the basic methodology showed in Fig. 1. In the next five steps, modifications are presented as well as the new part of the cycle. It should be known that this modification is proposed by the authors of this paper.

- Identification of complementary principles or concepts: Throughout this stage, the concepts and physical principles associated to the robot are analyzed, complementing the basic requirements originally proposed, and thus raising the knowledge young people should get by using the robot.
- Translating new design requirements: For a better development and appreciation of the concepts and physical principles inherent to the robot, it is necessary to define new design requirements which allow for a more explicit appreciation of the concepts and principles of the robot.
- New design specifications: All the new requirements must also be translated into an engineering language, which will allow students to take them into consideration during the conceptual design phase.

Then, the following steps are repeated: conceptual design, detail design, and construction in order to refine the robot under construction. At this step, there is feedback on the conceptual design with the requirements that were not taken into account at the initial phase.

This modification, and the inclusion of the new stages in the design process, allows for one to approach, in an easier and more organized way, the addition of new design requirements, and as a result, the new design specifications. This is why it is possible to implement concepts and/or principles that allow for the generation of knowledge by using the robot; all of them being always arranged in a way that they never interfere with each other, thus taking out the biggest potential the robot can give.

# 3. METHODOLOGY TWO: APPLICATION OF THE NEW DESIGNING CYCLE

### 3.1. Basic Design Requirements

The initial requirements with which the development and purpose of the robot were proposed were:

- The robot must be able to move in a structured work environment, which can be an office floor, a laboratory table, etc.
- The robot should be able to detect different obstacles as chairs, desks, limited luminosity, doors, stairs, etc.
- The robot must be able to change its trajectory if it is blocked.

### 3.2 Design Specifications

Due to the fact that the design requirements proposed above are focused on the robot's ability to perform some functions, these requirements can be taken as the design specifications. The previous stage must satisfy the conceptual design. However, there are some specifications that must be added:

- The cost of the robot has to be as low as possible.
- The robot assembly has to be as easy as possible.

### 3.3 Conceptual Design

The initial conceptual design features of the robot are:

- Movement: two driving wheels, each with a motor, and a third freewheel which allows the robot to turn. The three wheels have a fixed position inside the robot.
- Structure: a solid three-level structure. In the first level the driving part of the robot is located, in the intermediate level is the control part, and the sensors are located in the top level.
- Sensor: infrared sensors as well as an ultrasonic sensor are located in the top level of the structure. These sensors have a fixed position.

The position of the printed circuits, the batteries, and other elements are not considered important because the participating students will consider it according with the guides, thus experimenting different theories such as Newton's laws (Fig. 3).



Figure 3. Initial prototype

# 3.4 Identification of complementary concepts or principles

- Rigid body equilibrium: it allows the robot to remain in a balanced position (∑F=0, ∑M=0).
- Friction: it allows the robot's movement through the contact between the floor and the wheels.
- Center of gravity: it makes possible for the robot to maintain a balanced position and to lose it when moving on an uneven ground.

- Uses of complementary sensors: sensors are devices able to detect physical and chemical magnitudes called instrumental variables (temperature, light intensity, distance, acceleration, etc.) and transform them into electric variables.
  - Passive sensors: light dependent resistor (LDR), electric switch, microphone
  - Active sensors: phototransistor reflective object sensor
- It is also taken into account that a better strategy to bring the participating students closer to the robot is to allow them to perform not the full assembly process but just a part of it, starting from preassembled subsets. Another important aspect of the learning and appropriation process with the robot is letting the youngsters identify themselves with the robot, and modify its esthetic features.

# 3.5 New design requirements

- The robot's support position must be modifiable.
- The position of the robot's elements having a relevant weight must be changeable without affecting its functions. Their weight is relevant because the robot's equilibrium depends on them.
- The friction coefficient between the wheels and the ground must be modifiable.
- The assembly must be done by the participating students.
- The robot's esthetic feature must be modifiable by the participating students.

# 3.6 New design specifications

- The wheel position must be modifiable to a position in which the robot loses the equilibrium condition.
- It must be possible to change the batteries' position inside the robot.
- The main assembly of the robot must be simple and feasible using basic tools and hands, and by people who are neither mechanical nor robotics experts.

- The robot must have at least one element that can be customized by the final users.
- The robot must have and use different sensors like: LDR, electric switch, microphone, light emitting diode (LED), phototransistor reflective object sensor, infrared LED.

## 3.7 Conceptual design (second phase)

The new characteristics that allow the robot to fulfill the specifications mentioned in numeral 3.6 are:

- The contact material between the wheels and the ground can be changed using different material bands placed around the wheels.
- The batteries can be positioned in three different ways inside the robot. This makes it possible to change the location of the mass center.
- The driving wheels can be moved in the robot structure using guides. This enables one to have different configurations in the supports, which, as a result, modify the equilibrium condition.
- The robot shape allows the sensors previously mentioned to be attached to it. Besides, it has guides located in its top part that allow the movement of some sensors to the point where their signals interfere to have a wider spectrum to sense.
- The robot has a housing that final users can modify in the exterior part, customizing the visual aspect of the robot.
- The assembly is modular. The parts that need glue, drying time, and so on, are manufactured before as sub-assemblies. The other parts will be joined by commercial screws and Velcro®; this allows an easy assembly and disassembly of the whole set by the students (Fig. 4).

# 3.8 Detailed Design

After having met all the design's requirements by the basic and functional principles in the steps of the conceptual design, it becomes necessary to specify the physical and geometric features of the elements that will form the robot to guarantee that no attachment will interfere with each other, allowing the development of all the set functions. The main parts of the detailed design are:

- sizing of the driving wheels and the freewheel
- material selection for the driving wheels
- sizing of the structural plates, positioning of the guides and fixation places for every element
- selection of the type and motor features
- distribution of all the functional parts inside the robot's structure
- organization of the robot parts into sub-assemblies



Figure 4. Final prototypes

### 3.9 Construction

Due to the fact that the robot's design must be modular, its construction process was divided into initial subsets to make the subsequent assembly of elements easier. This initial process began by choosing the parts to be joined with glue and/or by welding. These sub-sets are: the structure plates, the driving device and each of the robot's printed circuits, whether general or of each particular sensor.

- Glue is used in the plates to fix the Velcro®, allowing one to add or to remove the sensors easily.
- The wheels are joined to the motor shaft by glazier's putty. This set is then fixed to the supports, which allow the positioning of this driving set in the robot's structure.

• The printed circuits and all their electronic elements are previously assembled, leaving aside only the needed cables for the connection to the batteries and sensors.

From this point on, all the other operations to build the robot are assembly operations using simple tools like screwdrivers and pliers, and the student's own hands.

### 4. PRINCIPLES ADDRESSED IN ROBOTICS TEACHING

Traditional teaching has been characterized by using a "passive" learning scheme in which the professor imparts the content of his/her lectures face to face through presentations on the board or slides, with little or no intervention by or interaction with the students. This teaching-learning methodology makes the student a passive being, with little or no proactivity nor propositivity. This makes them dependent on the professor's knowledge, showing little dynamics in the construction of their own knowledge, their proficiencies and "know-how," which they require.

Nowadays, teaching-learning models are aimed to propose active learning, where the students have a proactive role in the construction of their own knowledge [6, 7]. Thus, in an active learning, it is the students themselves who build concepts, meanings and strategies from the experiences they face during the teaching process in real time. Learning then becomes more effective and productive for them [4,8].

The nature of the robot's construction, which is the purpose of this paper, can be classified as a construction of "knowhow," and not as a "theoretical knowledge," which justifies the choice of this learning model in the educational robotics field [6,3]. Some works, as the ones made for innovating engineering learning using active didactics elements [9], show a significant change in the students' learning through innovative projects, which involve technology, and where students play the leading role.

### 5. RESULTS

In Table 1, a comparison is shown between the physics principles that can be learned through the robot, both for the design with the basic methodology and for the design with the modified cycle. This clarifies how the modification in the design methodology used in this case helps to complement what can be learned and taught with this robot.

rabic 1. 1 hysics principies to address		
Physics	With the Basic	With the Modified
Principles	Design Methodology	Design Cycle
	- Ohm´s law	- Ohm´s law
Electronics	<ul> <li>Series resistors</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Series resistors</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Voltage divider</li> </ul>	- Voltage divider
	- Electric motor DC	- Electric motor DC
		- Passive sensors: light
		emitting diode (LED),
	- Passive sensors: light	light dependent
Sensor	emitting diode (LED)	resistor (LDR), electric
	Active sensors:	switch, microphone
	ultrasound, infrared	<ul> <li>Active sensors:</li> </ul>
		ultrasound, infrared,
		phototransistor
		reflective objetc sensor
		<ul> <li>Rigid bodies</li> </ul>
Mechanical		equilibrium
Physics		- Friction
-		<ul> <li>Center of gravity</li> </ul>
		- Sequential structure
Algorithmics		<ul> <li>Cyclic structure</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Logic decision</li> </ul>
		structure
Other Aspect		<ul> <li>Robot esthetics</li> </ul>
		- Robot assemble

**Table 1.** Physics principles to address

In order to build the pre-manufactured robots, undergraduate students in mechanical engineering were involved in the stages of the modified design cycle. Their previous learning environment is known as stage one.

For the second stage, 40 robots were pre-manufactured. This process started by selecting 10 educational institutions in the city of Medellin to develop the workshops. At each institution, five workshops lasting 5 hours each were given. For every single institution, one kit composed of four robots, four guide books and tools were delivered. The target audience was primarily adolescents between the ages of 14 and 17 (Fig. 5). The assembly and experimentation of each robot was carried out by groups of five students. This means that for every educational institution, 20 students participated in the project.

Some of the implicit achievements of the youngsters during the assembly of the pre-manufactured robots are those related with civic, democratic, artistic, cooperative, and collaborative proficiencies. These achievements were not planned from the beginning, but became an added value of the project.



Figure 5. Typical sessions of teaching and learning in robotics, in the second stage

The evaluation results of the participating students in the second stage in relation to the explored principles show that the students accomplished the proposed goals. At the end of the workshops, the results were socialized. In this stage the youngsters' teachers were present. During the workshops, they participated as observers.

Most of the teachers indicated that this kind of learning is less stressful for the students because they have been taking magisterial classes for over 10 years, and the only course that is a little out of context is physical education, recreation, and sports. They also said that the students were enthusiastic while experimenting during the workshops. During the workshops, the students were also interested in the way different courses merged through the innovative project of the robot's construction.

### 6. CONCLUSIONS

With the work described in this paper, it was shown that different learning methodologies exist for teaching engineering concepts. Our proposal showed good results; the students left a passive attitude to become analytical, argumentative, and propositional; *ergo*, proactive people.

Robotics was used because it has recently become a great tool to strengthen creativity, learning, and designing skills. In the first stage, the design methodology had to be modified into a refined cycle. This was because the requirements presented by the users were not clear.

Deep down, the aim was also to reduce the student dropout rate in high school, and to prepare the young people to face an undergraduate academic program in engineering (higher education). To finish this chapter, we agree with Resnick when he says that there is currently a transition toward a *creativity society*. This is because information and knowledge are not enough to address the current problems that the world is facing, and it is necessary to use creativity to generate solutions [10].

For years, institutions such as universities have made the mistake of restricting creativity to certain careers like engineering, and applying it only to some courses like design [11, 12]. But creativity is actually an area common to every human activity, and some examples are: agricultural production, medicine, painting, and of course, engineering; therefore, the development of this skill should be encouraged from childhood. Also, creativity is not limited to an age range but it should be encouraged during all the stages of a person's growth, mainly in the stages between 0 and 17 years, because it is when the person finds his/her interests and creates his/her learning models [13].

### 7. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The work described in this paper is part of the research project: *Plan de acción para el fortalecimiento de los grupos de investigación Inteligencia Artificial en Educación y Diseño Mecánico Computacional*, sponsored by the *Vicerrectoría de Investigación de la Universidad Nacional de Colombia*.

### REFERENCES

[1] Ausubel, D., Psicología educativa. En: cap. 13: Factores de grupo y sociales en el aprendizaje. México: Trillas, 1990.

[2] CONSEJO NACIONAL DE ACREDITACIÓN, Lineamientos para la acreditación de programas. Serie documentos Nro. 1. En: cap. 6: Aspectos institucionales en la acreditación de programas. Sistema Nacional de Acreditación, Consejo Nacional de Acreditación. Colombia: Corcas Editores Ltda, 2006.

[3] Jiménez, J., Ovalle, D. y Ramírez, J., Robótica educativa: estrategias activas en ingeniería. En: cap. 1: La universidad. Facultad de Minas, Universidad Nacional de Colombia. Colombia: Unibiblos, 2010. [4] SAMFORD, Traditional versus PBL classroom. SamfordUniversity. Disponible en: http://www.samford.edu/ pbl/what3.html# Fecha de acceso: agosto de 2010.

[5] Pahl, G., Beitz, W., Feldhusen, J. and Grote, K., Engineering design. A systematic approach. 3rd edition, Springer, 2007.

[6] Waldegg, G., Using new technologies for teaching and learning science. En: Revista Electrónica de Investigación Educativa, Vol. 4, No. 1. México: Instituto de Investigación y Desarrollo Educativo, 2002.

[7] Christensen, H., Interdisciplinary just – in – time teaching. Active learning in a multicultural setting. En: ALE: Active Learning in Engineering. Designing and implementing an active and equitable engineering education. Colombia: Ediciones Uniandes, 2008.

[8] Rhem, J., Problem-based learning: an introduction. En: The National Teaching & Learning Forum, Vol. 8, (1) USA, 1998.

[9] Hernández, J. and Ramírez, C., Innovate and teamwork training in undergraduate engineering education. The fair and the contest: milestones of innovation. En: ALE: Active Learning in Engineering. Designing and implementing an active and equitable engineering education. Colombia: Ediciones Uniandes, 2008.

[10] Resnickm, M., Sowing the seeds for a more a creative society. En: ISTE International Society for Technology in Education. USA: International Society for Technology in Education, 2007.

[11] González, J., Jiménez, J. y Ramírez, P., Nuevos modelos de apredizaje y desarrollo de la creatividad usando agentes robóticos. En: revista DYNA, v.78 fasc.162 p.61 - 71, 2010.

[12] Gómez, M., Uribe, G. y Jiménez, J., Nueva perspectiva de los entornos virtuales de enseñanza y aprendizaje en ingeniería. Caso práctico: operiaciones con sólidos. En: revista DYNA, v.159 fasc.160 p.76 - 84, 2009.

[13] Zuckerman, O. and Resnick, M., System blocks: a physical interface for system dynamics learning. En: Computer/Human Interaction. MIT Media Laboratory. USA: ACM, 2003.