





Effect of fly ash and silica fume on rheology, compressive strength and self-compacting in cement mixtures

Jaime Arturo Correa-Yepes^{*a*}, Nestor Rojas-Reyes^{*b*} & Jorge I. Tobón^{*a*}

^a Group of Cement and Building Materials, Department of Materials and Minerals, Faculty of Mines, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Medellín, Colombia. jacorreay@unal.edu.co, jitobon@unal.edu.co

^b Cimex Minerals Institute, Department of Materials and Minerals, Faculty of Mines, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Medellín, Colombia. nrrojasr@unal.edu.co

Received: November 22th, 2017. Received in revised form: March 11th, 2018. Accepted: April 25th, 2018.

Abstract

In this research the effect of the addition of silica fume (SF) and fly ash (FA) to the ordinary Portland cement (OPC), individually and in combination, on rheology, compressive strength and self-compacting capacity in mixtures of cement were evaluated. At the beginning, the physicochemical characterization of the raw materials was carried out using XRF, SEM and PSD. Subsequently the rheological analysis was done in order to determine yield stress and plastic viscosity, then the compressive strength was measured at 7 and 28 days of normal curing. Finally, the measurement of the self-compacting capacity by means of a V-funnel was performed. It was found that the FA influences positively because it reduces yield stress and self-compacting test times, but decreases the compressive strength in comparison to control sample. The addition of SF increases the yield stress and self-compacting times, but positively influences the compressive strength. By analyzing the combinations of FA and SF additions the effects of each one are maintained allowing finding an addition range that delivered efficient values for the performance of fresh and hardened state of the blended cement pastes.

Keywords: cement rheology; mineral additions; yield stress; viscosity; self-compacting cements.

Efecto de las cenizas volantes y el humo de sílice sobre la reología, resistencia a la compresión y autocompactación en mezclas de cemento

Resumen

En esta investigación se evaluó el efecto de la adición de humo de sílice (SF) y cenizas volantes (FA) al cemento Portland ordinario (OPC) de manera individual y combinada, sobre la reología, resistencia a la compresión y capacidad de autocompactación en mezclas de cemento. Se realizó la caracterización fisicoquímica de las materias primas mediante FRX, SEM y DTP. Se hizo el análisis reológico para determinar el esfuerzo de fluencia y la viscosidad plástica. Se midió la resistencia a compresión a 7 y 28 días de curado normal. Por último, se realizó la medición de la capacidad de autocompactación por medio cono en V. Se encontró que la FA influye de manera positiva al reducir el esfuerzo de fluencia y los tiempos de prueba en la autocompactación, pero disminuye la resistencia a la compresión respecto a la muestra control. La adición de SF incrementa el esfuerzo de fluencia y los tiempos de autocompactación, pero influye de manera positiva en la resistencia a la compresión. Al analizar las combinaciones de adiciones de FA y SF los efectos de cada adición se mantienen permitiendo encontrar un rango de adición que entregó valores eficientes tanto para el desempeño en estado fresco como endurecido de las pastas.

Palabras clave: reología de cemento; adiciones minerales; esfuerzo de fluencia; viscosidad; cementos autocompactantes.

1. Introduction

Nowadays, the use of mineral additions is paramount in

reducing energy consumption, emissions of polluting gases and the production costs of both cement and concrete. Nonetheless, it can not be forgotten that all additions will have an impact on

How to cite: Correa-Yepes, J.A., Rojas-Reyes, N. and Tobón, J.I., Effect of fly ash and silica fume on rheology, compressive strength and self-compacting in cement mixtures. DYNA, 85(206), pp. 59-68, September, 2018.

the performance of both fresh and hardened cement mixtures. Depending on characteristics such as shape and size of particles, reactivity and percentage of substitution of the cement, the rheological properties (yield stress and viscosity), the mechanical strength (at initial and final ages) and the selfcompacting capacity of the mixtures will be modified.

1.1. Rheology

The rheology of concrete is an issue of interest both for its influence on the placement of the material as well as for the mechanical response when it reaches the hardened state [1]. The yield stress and the plastic viscosity are two of the parameters that define the rheology of a mixture, where a low yield stress and a moderate viscosity lead to consolidation and increase fluidity without segregation between the cementing material and the aggregates [2].

In recent years there has been growing interest in research on the issue of industrial waste as mineral additions to cement and concrete, such is the case of Fly Ash (FA) and Silica Fume (SF) [3,4].

Some researchers have determined that the inclusion of FA in the cement pastes reduces both yield stress and viscosity, due to its spherical shape and its wide particle size distribution that promotes the fluidity of the mixture; thus functioning as "filling" and increasing the packing factor [4,5]. Regarding compressive strength, FA reduces it at early ages (7-14 days), due to its low reactivity with respect to other additions [4,6].

In the case of SF, due to its purity and its average size of up to four times less than that of the cement, it offers high reactivity, accelerates the hardening of the mixture and at the moment of flow increases the particle to particle interaction; thus generating a high friction and restricting workability [7]. In the same sense, as asserted by Park and col. [3], SF reactivity is also reflected in the final resistance, reaching resistance in some cases over those achieved with pure OPC [8].

In simple additons of OPC plus FA, as stated by Lee and col. [9], the ash itself promotes fluidity by its spherical shape as it sets in the flocs in formation of the OPC and water, but before high replacement percentages, due to its low reactivity derived from both its size and composition; good resistance are not reached at early ages of hardening, this condition is compensated with the addition of SF that in the early ages of the mixture improves the workability and later, compensates for the compressive strength deficiencies with its ability to improve the mechanical strength due to its high reactivity [8], this is the reason why it is sometimes more convenient to think about composite additions rather than simple ones [3,10].

The rheological model used in this study is the Bingham equation (Equation 1), which is widely used for cement-based mixtures, because it describes its behaviour as a viscoplastic fluid in which there is a conversely stress (τ_0) and the rest of the behaviour is similar to a Newtonian fluid [11,12], a necessary condition to be able to apply this model is that the values of shear rate ($\dot{\gamma}$) must be bellow 300 s-1, this is because research has shown that for higher values the system is distorted and the stress value (τ) increases in an uncontrolled manner [3,13].

$$\tau = \tau_0 + \eta \dot{\gamma} \tag{1}$$

Where: τ = Shear stress [Pa] τ_0 = Yield stress [Pa] η = Plastic viscosity [Pa·s] $\dot{\gamma}$ = Shear rate [s⁻¹].

2.1. Self-compacting

Self-compacting mixtures can be defined as those that can easily flow by their own weight and self-consolidate without the help of a mechanical vibration system, reaching high deformation capacity and volumetric stability as well [14]. Selfcompacting concrete has high fluidity and its implementation allows placement in complicated forms without vibration or without segregation [15]. For self-compacting concrete, insufficient compaction causes the increase of air bubbles which leads to a reduction in concrete strength and durability [16]. Selfcompacting cements have provided a promising vision of the concrete industry with respect to environmental impact and cost reduction; however, the use of cement mixtures with mineral mixtures has not developed sufficient applications for the production of these cements [17].

This is the case of Fly Ash (FA) and Silica Fume (SF) [2-4], they have found that these additions affect significantly both the performance and placement of self-compacting cement mixtures.

1.3. Influence of mineral additions

1.3.1. Influence of particle size

Generally, with a smaller particle size of the addition, the packing factor increased by reducing the vacuum ratios per unit volume. As the packing factor increases, fluidity is promoted, because the deformation capacity increases due to the compactness of the mixture, this condition has a certain range of efficiency since the greater number of particles per unit volumen also increase the particle to particle interaction, which at the moment of flow increases the friction among particles, which restricts the movement of the mixture; for this reason the particle size distribution should be as wide as possible so that finer materials improve both the lubrication and packing of the mixture while thicker materials reduce the particle to particle interaction [13,18,7].

1.3.2. Influence of flocculant capacity

The flocculation processes of the cement-based mixtures obey a Brownian movement due to their particle size, variety of electric charges, the flocculation capacity itself and the hydration process. The additions of SF and FA affect the flocculation processes in different ways, with respect to the presence of SF, its great purity and fine size makes it a quite reactive addition, which permits the formation of flocs with cement, accelerating hydration and reducing the workability of the mixture. With regard to FA, its low reactivity interrupts the flocs in formation and its wide particle size distribution reduces the particle to particle interaction; moreover, due to its low reactivity at early ages, the focculation energies of the cement are reduced, inducing a plasticizing effect, this phenomenon is reflected in the self-compacting capacity since there is a direct relationship between low fluidity effort and viscosity and high fluidity as well [13,4,5].

1.3.3. Influence on compressive strength

Mohamed in 2011 reported that the higher the FA percentage the higher the compressive strength values up to 30%; instead for SF the highest values were found only at 15% of addition; and for ternary mixtures the highest compressive strength values were found with 10% of FA and 10% of SF [19]. Turk in 2012 found that the compressive stress improved with the presence of FA in binary mixtures and with all the ternary mixtures of FA and SF [18]. Jadhav and Chavarekar in 2013 found that mixtures of cement with FA and microsilica increased by 12.9% the compression effort compared to the control sample for 2.5% of each of the additions [20]. Raharjo and col., in 2013 reported a statistical equation to determine the compression strength of cement mixtures with FA, SF and steel slag; however, they warned that the accuracy of the equation depends on the ambient temperature, air humidity, measurement materials and others [21,29].

1.3.4. Influence on self-compacting

To measure the self-compacting, the evacuation time measurements of samples in V funnel are taken [14], the smaller the evacuation times of the cone, the more self-compacting the mixture will be [22,23]. Gesoğlu and col., in 2009, manufactured cement mixtures with SF, FA and steel slag, finding that all samples were 5 s below the flow time under the upper limit of EFNARC [17]. Siddique in 2011 mixed self-compacting cement with FA type F and determined a time between 4 and 10 s for the tests in the V funnel [24]. Turk in 2012 obtained a reduction of time in the tests in the V-funnel with the increase in the content of SF, and an increase in the time with the increase of FA in the content; it also determined that ternary mixtures increase more viscosity compared to binary mixtures [18]. Contrary to this, Kennouche and collaborators in 2013 mixed 15% of FA with two types of cement, finding high fluidity and absence of segregation in all tests [26]. All of the above shows that there are still contradictions in the results and that this is still an issue under discussion [30-33].

In conclusion, a more in depth-study of the influence of mineral addition such as FA and SF is necessary to find the conditions where self-compacting, rheology and compressive strength of a mixture are simultaneously improved, seeking to establish correlations between these three performance parameters in portland cement pastes in binary (OPC + SF and OPC + FA) and ternary (OPC + SF + FA) mixtures [28,30-33].

2. Experimental phase

All the mixtures studied were manufactured with the following raw materials: Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC),

manufactured by a Colombian Company: Fly Ash (FA) type F (ASTM C 618 – 99), obtained from a Colombian garment factory; Silica Fume (SF) (ASTM 1240) which was imported. For the characterization of the components, the chemical composition was determined by means of X-ray fluorescence (XRF) in PANalytical AXIOS equipment; the particle size distribution (PSD) using laser diffraction in a Mastersizer 3000 from Malvern Instruments and the morphology was evaluated by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) in an EVO MA10, by Carl Zeiss. The rheological evaluation was carried out in a rotational Kinexus Pro rheometer from Malvern Instruments. The tests of compressive strength were carried out complying with norms ASTM C-109 and ASTM C-305-11, the materials for the manufacture and failure of cubes of cement paste were mixed to 7 and 28 days of curing with a water/cementing material ratio (w/cm) of 0.5 constant for all samples. As a selfcompacting measure of the mixtures, the emptying time in the V funnel was determined, according to the recommendations recorded in EFNARC [14,27,28].

2.1. Characterization of materials

The results obtained by XRF of both cement and mineral additions are provided in Table 1. The OPC has low contents of limestone, this due to both low losses on ignition and high content of CaO. The FA is an ash class F, because the sum of its main oxides ($SiO_2 + Al_2O_3 + Fe_2O_3$) is greater than 75% [27]. Regarding SF, a high purity material with high SiO₂ content and low contents of other oxides is observed [28].

The result of the PSD is featured in Fig. 1, where it can be seen that the OPC is the finest material and the FA the thickest one in comparison with other materials;

Table 2 shows the passing size (in microns) that has a certain amount of material: d_{10} , d_{50} and d_{90} for 10%, 50% and 90%, respectively. In this table it is confirmed that the fine material is the OPC and the thickest one is the FA.

The micrographs for each component of the manufactured mixtures are displayed in Figs 2, 3 and 4. Fig. 2 shows a wide distribution of particle size, in addition that



Figure 1. Particle size distribution of materials. Source: the authors

each particle in general has irregular shapes and ridges, which agrees with what is expected from dry cement without hydration. In Figure 2. Micrography of Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC).

The red arrows show the spherical shapes of the FA of various sizes, some irregular shapes indicated by the blue arrows obey to the unburned ones, which are of the order of 4.37%, measured on the FA by the ASTM D3174 standard. Figure 4 shows SF particles of different sizes and many on the nanometric scale with spherical shapes, this shows that a good part of what is being measured in PSD are agglomerations of SF.

2.1. Preparation of mixtures

15 mixtures and a control mixture, composed of cement and water only, were prepared. The mixtures manufactured with simple additions (OPC + one addition) and composite (OPC + two additions) in certain percentages are shown in Table 3; the name of the simple addition has the following nomenclature: the letter or letters of the material used and on its right side the amount present in percentages (OPC = C).

The red arrows show the spherical shapes of the FA of various sizes, some irregular shapes indicated by the blue arrows obey to the unburned ones, which are of the order of 4.37%, measured on the FA by the ASTM D3174 standard. Figure 4 shows SF particles of different sizes and many on the nanometric scale with spherical shapes, this shows that a good part of what is being measured in PSD are agglomerations of SF.

2.2. Preparation of the mixtures for the rheological analysis

The pastes were prepared in a conventional agitator for 1.5 min at 700 rpm before they were taken to the rheometer. The scheme of the rheological test can be seen in Fig. 5. The mixtures were subjected to a pre-shear for 1 minute at a shear rate of 300 s^{-1} and afterwards were left to rest for 1 minute before starting the rheological measurements.

Figure 2. Micrography of Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC). Source: the authors



Figure 3. Micrography of Fly Ash (FA). Source: the authors



Sample	d10 (µm)	d50 (µm)	d90 (µm)
OPC	5.6	14.9	32.7
SF	5.46	18.3	41.4
FA	5.3	44.5	172

Source: the authors

Source: the authors

Table 2 Sizes of row materials

Table 1.

CaO

SiO₂ Al₂O₃

LOI

Fe₂O

SO₃

MgO

TiO₂

Na₂O

 K_2O

OXIDES

Chemical composition of raw materials.

OPC

63.2

19.5

45

3.4

3.36

2.87

2.2

0.34

0.28

0.16

 200 nm
 EHT = 20.00 kV
 Signal A = SE1
 Date :15 Dec 2016

 WD = 8.5 mm
 Filament Age = 16.67 Hours
 Mag = 20.00 K X

 Figure 4. Micrography of Silica Fume (SF).

 Source: the authors

Table 3. Table of dosages for the manufactured mixtures.

Sample	Samples Name	Ratio w/cm	OPC	SF	FA
1	C100SF0FA0	0.5	100%	0%	0%
2	C95SF5FA0	0.5	95%	5%	0%
3	C90SF10FA0	0.5	90%	10%	0%
4	C85SF15FA0	0.5	85%	15%	0%
5	C90SF0FA10	0.5	90%	0%	10%
6	C80SF0FA20	0.5	80%	0%	20%
7	C70SF0FA30	0.5	70%	0%	30%
8	C85SF5FA10	0.5	85%	5%	10%
9	C75SF5FA20	0.5	75%	5%	20%
10	C65SF5FA30	0.5	65%	5%	30%
11	C80SF10FA10	0.5	80%	10%	10%
12	C70SF10FA20	0.5	70%	10%	20%
13	C60SF10FA30	0.5	60%	10%	30%
14	C75SF15FA10	0.5	75%	15%	10%
15	C65SF15FA20	0.5	65%	15%	20%
16	C55SF15FA30	0.5	55%	15%	30%



Source: the authors



Figure 5. Escheme of the rheological test. Source: the authors

3. Results

3.1. Yield stress

Fig. 6 shows that for simple additions, yield stress values are lower for samples added with FA than for samples with SF; thus making clear that FA contributes more to the workability of the mixture, with a decrease in the fluidity stress up to 20% on average with respect to the addition of SF. Both for the additions of FA as well as for SF as their amount increases in the mixtures the yield stress increases and only the samples with FA additions of 10% and 20% show values below the control sample. For composite additions it can be noticed that three mixtures are below the value of the control sample, with 20% and 30% of FA, which corroborates that the presence of FA decreases yield stress in some cases.

Regarding the composite additions, in Fig. 7 the influence of the addition of SF in a mixture with 10% constant FA is observed; a direct proportional relation between the yield stress with the presence of SF is determined, this behaviour can be due to the reactivity and fineness of the material that accelerates the formation of flocs; the beginning of a flow with individual particles

Figure 6. Measurement of yield stress for mixtures. Source: the authors



Figure 7. Trend of yield stress for mixtures with 0 to 15% SF and 10% constant FA. Source: the authors



Figure 8. Trend of **yield** stress for mixtures with 0 to 30% FA with 15% constant SF. Source: the authors

demands higher energy compared to the start of flow for flocs, which have sizes larger than the particles. It is noteworthy that with only 10% of FA, in the combined or ternary samples, it is possible to decrease the yield stress for all the evaluated SF percentages, making the yield stress with 5 and 10% of SF very close to the one found for the control sample.

Fig. 8 displays the positive influence on FA variation from 0 to 30% in a mixture with 15% of SF, which shows an inverse relationship between the addition of FA with the yield stress, which is due to the fact that the particles of FA of spherical shape set in among the flocs in formation of the cement and perform a plasticizing work which reduces such yield stress.

3.2. Viscosity

Fig. 9 shows the viscosity value (calculated with the Binghan model) of the analyzed mixtures. In general, it can be noticed that the viscosity of the mixtures increases for all simple additions; however, there is a more pronounced viscosity increase for additions with FA and lower for additions of SF; probably this is because the particle size of the FA is more than 5 times the size of the cement, while the size of the SF is of the order of the size of the OPC and smaller. Regarding the composite additions it can be seen that there are some mixtures with lower viscosity values with respect to the control sample; such mixtures have additions in few proportions, this behaviour is due to the phenomena of rolling and lubrication by small particles and packing phenomena, up to a certain number of particles where energy is spent in moving all the particles present. This again corroborates a complementary effect between these two mineral additions.

3.3. Compressive strength

Fig. 10 summarizes the results obtained for the compressive strength of the mixtures, at 7 and 28 days of curing. Carrying out an analysis first for 7 days of curing, it can be noticed that only greater strength than that of control sample are obtained with simple additions of SF, as the amount of FA increases the compressive strength in relation to the control sample decreases; this evidences the reactive nature of each of these mineral additions. For all composite mixtures the compressive strength decreases as the amount of FA additions increases. For 28 days of curing no mixture, either simple or composite, clearly exceeds the compressive strength of the control sample. Nonetheless, many of the mixtures with percentages of substitution of cement in high percentages have mechanical resistance values similar to control sample, as is the case of the mortars with all SF percentages, with 5% SF + 20% FA; with 10% SF + 10% FA and with 15% SF + 10% FA.

3.4. Self-compacting

Fig. 11 displays the evaluation time for the V -funnel test for each prepared mixture. Regarding simple additions, a direct relationship appears for SF, since as the quantity increases, so does the evaluation time. Conversely, for mixtures with FA the relation is inverse, the evaluation time decreases as its concentration increases. This is due to the fact that SF is quite reactive and it reduces the workability of the mixture, while the FA being less reactive interrupts the formation of flocs serving a plasticizing function. No ternary mixture showed a significantly shorter evacuation time than that of the evacuation time of the control mixture: thus the reactivity of the SF is possibly more decisive than the plasticizing that the FA delivers to the mixture.



Figure 9. Plastic viscosity values for manufactured mixtures. Source: the authors



CV 7 days

HS 7 days Figure 10. Compressive strength of manufactured mixtures. Source: the authors



Source: the authors



Figure 12. Correlation between compressive strength vs. yield stress. Source: the authors

3.5. Correlations

In order to perform the correlations among variables, the areas where the additions have desirable behaviours were determined by graphical analysis: low yield stress, high compressive strength, low viscosity and short evacuation time (self-compacting test); the objective was to establish areas in which these conditions were met thanks to the presence of mineral additions, that is why these graphs were made:

- a) Compressive strength vs. yield stress.
- b) Compressive strength vs. viscosity.
- c) Yield stress vs. self-compacting cone
- d) Self-compacting cone vs. viscosity
- e) Compressive strength vs. self-compacting cone.

Each of the graphs shows a median value of the mixtures in a red line and a blue line, for the two properties plotted, and an area of optimal values in green boxes for the mixture that meets the ideal properties simultaneously thanks to the presence of mineral additions.

3.5.1. Compressive strength vs. Yield stress

In Fig. 12 the red line defines the mean value for the compressive strength at 49.51 MPa and the blue line defines the mean value for the yield stress at 32.21 Pa. The green box shows the mixture having a compressive strength avobe average and the yield stress below the mean value. For the present case a single mixture meets the optimal conditions: 10% SF and 20% FA.

3.5.2. Compressive strength vs. viscosity

In Fig. 13 the red line defines the mean for the compressive strength at 49.51 MPa and the blue line defines the mean value for the viscosity at 0.4575 Pa·s. The green boxes show six mixtures that meet the ideal conditions; for this case, all simple mixtures with SF, and composite mixtures with 5% SF + 20% FA; 10% SF with 10% and 20% FA.

3.5.3. Yield stress vs. Self-compacting cone

In Fig. 14 the blue line is the median for the yield stress at

32.21 Pa and the red line defines the median for the self-compacting V funnel test at 5.77 s. The green boxes show 4 mixtures with ideal conditions: two mixtures with simple additions: 10% and 20% FA; and two mixtures with composite additions: 5% SF + 30% FA and 10% SF and 20% FA.

3.5.4. Self-compacting cone vs. viscosity.

In Fig. 15 the red line defines the median for the selfcompacting V funnel test at 5.77 s and the blue line defines the mean for the viscosity at 0.4575 Pa·s. The green boxes display 3 compound mixtures with ideal conditions: 5% SF + 30% FA and 10% SF with 10% and 20% FA.

3.5.5. Compressive strength vs. Self-compacting cone

In Fig. 16 the blue line defines the median for the compressive strength at 49.51 MPa and the red lines defines the median for the self-compacting V funnel test at 5.77 s. The green boxes feature 2 compound mixtures with ideal conditions: 10% SF with 10% and 20% FA.



Figure 13. Correlation between compressive strength and viscosity. Source: the authors



Figure 14. Correlation between yield stress and self-compacting. Source: the authors



Figure 15. Correlation between viscosity and self-compating V-funnel. Source: the authors



Figure 16. Correlation between compressive strength and self-compacting. Source: the authors

4. Final discussion

Throughout the research it has been possible to determine that during the plastic state of the mixture not only the yield stress, but also the viscosity and the evacuation time increase.

For instance, as the SF increases from 5% to 10% the yield stress increases by about 20%, the viscosity by 10% and the evacuation time by 17%; this due to its particle size and its reactivity that allows it to act as nucleation centers and promotes particle to particle interaction to increase friction among them as well as the formation of flocs. Otherwise happens with the FA, which, due to its larger particle size less reactivity and spherical shape interrupts the formation of flocs and acts as plasticizer or lubricant and also decreases the compressive strength. It can also be established that by mixing these two additions their effects combine and interact, allowing to look for a mixture that meets the parameters of low yield stress, high compressive strength, low viscosity and short evacuation time (self-compacting).

When analyzing the results featured in Figs. 12 to 16, it can be asserted that the composite additions are more efficient than the simple additions, because in the excecuted tests the samples C80SF10FA10 and C70SF10FA20 are the ones that presented the

best behaviour; these data are summarized in Table 4 and Fig. 17.

In agreement with several researchers, in the correlation graphs it is observed how when combining the additions, the influence of one addition with the other is compensated, that is, the reductions in compressive strength that could be had with the presence of FA regulates with the reactivity of the SF and on the other hand, the loss of fluidity due to the presence of SF is regulated by the plasticizing effect of the FA [3][4][2][28].

In Table 4 and Fig. 17 it can be seen that the values obtained for these three mixtures are very similar; nonetheless, the recommended mixture is C70SF10FA20; since, although some compressive strength is sacrificed, it improves both in rheology and self-compacting and a very significant aspect is that the percentage of cement replacement is maximized, which, as already mentioned, has paramount effects on environmental as well as economic aspects.

5. Conclusions

Based on the results found throughout this research it can be concluded that:

Both SF and FA have opposite effects on yield stress, viscosity, evacuation times (self-compacting capacity) and compressive strength. This is due to its difference in particle size, reactivity and chemical composition as well.

The SF in the mixture in fresh state promotes more particle to particle interaction and acts as a nucleation center, increasing the friction among them and facilitating the formation of flocs and this results in an increase in yield stress, viscosity and evacuation time. In the mixtures in hardened state and thanks to its chemical composition and pozzolanic activity the compressive strength is increased.

Table 4.

	Sample	s with	better	results	in	the	parameters	eval	luated
--	--------	--------	--------	---------	----	-----	------------	------	--------

Sample	τ ₀ (Pa)	η (Pa.s)	f'c at 28 days (MPa)	Desv - f'c at 28 days	V Funnel (S)
C100SF0FA0	31.59	0.4208	54.45	0.08	5.45
C80SF10FA10	32.72	0.4302	54.77	0.28	5.37
C70SF10FA20	29.02	0.3961	51.40	1.62	5.22
1					

Source: the authors



Figure 17. Comparison between control sample and samples selected as optimal in the correlations. Source: the authors

In the mixtures in fresh state, the FA, thanks to its larger particle size and spherical shape, interrups the formation of flocs and acts as a plasticizer or lubricant. In the mixtures in the hardened state the compressive strength decreases due to its lower reactivity and pozzolanic activity as well.

By mixing these two additions their effects combine and interact allowing finding dosages that are efficient in all measured variables, that is, with good peformance in both fresh and hardened state.

In this study the samples that comply with the proposed variables are C80SF10FA10 and C70SF10FA20, nonetheless, the chosen one is C70SF10FA20 since it allows maximizing the percentage of replaced cement with the corresponding additional benefits, in terms of environmental and economic aspects.

References

- Rubio-Hernández, F., Velázquez-Navarro, J., Ordoñez-Belloc, L. Rheology of concrete: a study case based upon the use of the concrete equivalent mortar. Materials and Structures, Vol. 46 (4), pp. 587-605. 2012. DOI: 10.1617/s11527-012-9915-1
- [2] Saleh Ahari, R., Kemal Erdem, T., & Ramyar, K. (2015). Effect of various supplementary cementitious materials on rheological properties of self-consolidating concrete. Construction and Building Materials, Vol. 75, pp. 89–98. 2015. DOI: 10.1016/j.conbuildmat. 2014.11.014
- [3] Park, C. K., Noh, M. H., & Park, T. H. Rheological properties of cementitious materials containing mineral admixtures. Cement and Concrete Research, 35(5), 842–849. 2005. DOI: 10.1016/j.cemconres.2004.11.002
- [4] Bentz, D., Ferraris, C., Galler, M., Hansen, A., & Guynn, J. Influence of particle size distributions on yield stress and viscosity of cement-fly ash pastes. Cement and Concrete Research, Vol. 42(2), pp. 404–409. 2012. DOI: 10.1016/j.cemconres.2011.11.006
- [5] Li, Y., & Kwan, A. K. H. Ternary blending of cement with fly ash microsphere and condensed silica fume to improve the performance of mortar. Cement and Concrete Composites, Vol. 49, pp. 26–35. 2014. DOI: 10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2014.02.002
- [6] Lorca, Pablo. Efecto de la adición de hidróxido cálcico sobre mezclas con alta sustitución de cemento por ceniza volante. Tesis de Doctorado, Departamento de la construcción y proyectos de Ingeniería Civil. Universidad Politécnica de Valencia - España. Julio de 2014. Descargado desde https://riunet.upv.es/bitstream/ handle/10251/39340/Lorca - EFECTO...
- [7] Bustamante-Rua, M., Rojas-Reyes, N., & Quitian-Chila, G. Efecto del material fino en la reología de suspensiones de caolín. DYNA, Vol. 83(195), pp. 105–111. 2016. DOI: 10.15446/dyna.v83n195.48855
- [8] Hou, P., Kawashima, S., Wang, K., Corr, D., Qian, J., & Shah, S. Effects of colloidal nanosilica on rheological and mechanical properties of fly ash-cement mortar. Cement and Concrete Composites, Vol. 35(1), pp. 12–22. 2013. DOI: 10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2012.08.027
- [9] Lee, S. H., Kim, H. J., Sakai, E., & Daimon, M. Effect of particle size distribution of fly ash-cement system on the fluidity of cement pastes. Cement and Concrete Research, Vol. 33(5), pp. 763–768. 2003. DOI: 10.1016/S0008-8846(02)01054-2
- [10] Vance, K., Kumar, A., Sant, G., & Neithalath, N. The rheological properties of ternary binders containing Portland cement, limestone, and metakaolin or fly ash. Cement and Concrete Research, Vol. 52, pp. 196–207. 2013. DOI:10.1016/j.cemconres.2013.07.007
- [11] Struble, L., Szecsy, R., Lei, W., and Sun, G., "Rheology of Cement Paste and Concrete," Cement, Concrete and Aggregates, Vol. 20 (2), pp. 269-277, 1998. DOI: 10.1520/CCA10421
- [12] Nehdi, M., & Rahman, M. A. Estimating rheological properties of cement pastes using various rheological models for different test geometry, gap and surface friction. Cement and Concrete Research, Vol. 34(11), pp. 1993–2007. 2004. DOI: 10.1016/j.cemconres.

2004.02.020

- [13] Feys, D., Verhoeven, R., & De Schutter, G. Why is fresh selfcompacting concrete shear thickening?. Cement and Concrete Research, Vol. 39(6), pp. 510–523. 2009. DOI: 10.1016/j.cemconres.2009.03.004
- [14] EFNARC. Specification and guidelines for self-compacting concrete. pp. 29-35. 2002. Copia gratuita en pdf descargable desde <http://www.efnarc.org>. Consultada en Febrero de 2017. DOI: 0 9539733 4 4
- [15] Kennouche, S., Zerizer, A., Benmounah, A., Hami, B., Mahdad, M., Benouali, H., Bedjou, S. Formulation and characterization of self compacting concrete with silica fume. Journal of Engineering and Technology Research, Vol. 5(5). pp. 160-169. 2013. Descargado desde: http://www.academicjournals.org/journal/JETR/article-fulltext-pdf/4ADAE6B2531
- [16] Manawadu, A., Wijesinghel, W., Abeyruwan, H. Rheological behaviour of cement paste with fly ash in the formulation of selfcompacting concrete (SCC). 6th International Conference on Structural Engineering and Construction Management 2015, Kandy, Sri Lanka. Descargado desde: www.civil.mrt.ac.lk/ conference/ICSECM.../SECM-15-165.pdf
- [17] Gesoğlu, M., Erhan Güneyisi, E., Özbay, E. Properties of selfcompacting concretes made with binary, ternary, and quaternary cementitious blends of fly ash, blast furnace slag, and silica fume. Construction and Building Materials, Vol. 23(5), pp. 1847-1854. 2009. DOI: 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2008.09.015
- [18] Turk, K. Viscosity and hardened properties of self-compacting mortars with binary and ternary cementitious blends of fly ash and silica fume. Construction and Building Materials, Vol. 37, pp. 326–334. 2012. DOI: 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2012.07.081
- [19] Mohamed, H. Effect of fly ash and silica fume on compressive strength of self-compacting concrete under different curing conditions. Ain Shams Engineering Journal, Vol. 2, pp. 79–86. 2011. DOI: 10.1016/j.asej.2011.06.001
- [20] Jadhav, R., Chavarekar, R. Role of fly ash and silica fume on compressive strength characteristics of high performance concrete. Int. J. Struct. & Civil Engg. Res., Vol 2 (1), pp. 32-39. 2013. Descargado desde: www.ijscer.com/uploadfile/2015/0427/ 20150427030137914.pdf
- [21] Raharjo, Da., Subakti, A., Tavio. Mixed concrete optimization using fly ash, silica fume and iron slag on the SCC's compressive strength. Procedia Engineering, Vol. 54, pp. 827 – 839. 2013. DOI: 10.1016/j.proeng.2013.03.076
- [22] Burón, M., Fernández, J., Garrido, L. Hormigón Num. 887, pp. 52-64. 2006. Descargado desde: https://www.ieca.es/show_doc.asp?id_ doc=20
- [23] Mebrouki, A., Belas, N., Viña, J., Argüelles, A., Zenasni, R. Aplicación del diseño estadístico de mezclas para la formulación de pasta autocompactante. Materiales de Construcción, Vol. 60 (298), pp. 17-31. 2010. DOI: 10.3989/mc.2010.48308
- [24] Siddique, R. Properties of self-compacting concrete containing class F fly ash. Materials and Design, Vol. 32, pp. 1501–1507. 2011. DOI: 10.1016/j.matdes.2010.08.043
- [25] Kennouche, S., Zerizer, A., Benmounah, A., Hami, B., Mahdad, M., Benouali, H., Bedjou, S. Formulation and characterization of self compacting concrete with silica fume. Journal of Engineering and Technology Research, Vol. 5(5). pp. 160-169. 2013. Descargado desde: http://www.academicjournals.org/journal/JETR/article-fulltext-pdf/4ADAE6B2531
- [26] Chen, J. J., Ng, P. L., Li, L. G., & Kwan, A. K. H. (2017). Production of High-performance Concrete by Addition of Fly Ash Microsphere and Condensed Silica Fume. Procedia Engineering, 172, 165–171. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2017.02.045
- [27] ASTM C618. Standard specification for coal fly ash and raw or calcined natural pozzolona for use in concrete, 1–3, 2015. DOI: 10.1520/C0618-15
- [28] Banfill, P. The rheology of fresh cement and concrete A Review. Proc 11th International Cement Chemistry Congress, Durban, May 2003. Descargado desde: http://www.schleibinger.com/k2003 /banfill/banfill.pdf
- [29] De la Cruz, C., Turmo, J., Gettu, R., Barragán, B., & Ramos, G. Beam shear test of structural self-compacting concrete. Ensayos a Cortante

de Vigas de Hormigón Estructural Autocompactable, Vol. 76(159), pp. 35–41. 2009. Descargado desde: http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-77953762021&partnerID=40&md5=091ce99535b19f0aff179af75422 6212

- [30] Ferraris, C., Obla, K., & Hill, R. The influence of mineral admixtures on the rheology of cement paste and concrete. Cement and Concrete Research, Vol. 31(2), pp. 245–255. 2001. DOI: 10.1016/S0008-8846(00)00454-3
- [31] Lu, C., Yang, H., & Mei, G. Relationship between slump flow and rheological properties of self compacting concrete with silica fume and its permeability. Construction and Building Materials, Vol. 75, pp. 157–162. 2015. DOI: j.conbuildmat.2014.08.038
- [32] Mebrouki, A., Belas, N., Viña, J., Argüelles, A., Zenasni, R. Aplicación del diseño estadístico de mezclas para la formulación de pasta autocompactante. Materiales de Construcción, Vol. 60 (298), pp. 17-31. 2010. DOI: 10.3989/mc.2010.48308
- [33] Ferraris, C., Obla, K., & Hill, R. The influence of mineral admixtures on the rheology of cement paste and concrete. Cement and Concrete Research, Vol. 31(2), pp. 245–255. 2001. DOI: 10.1016/S0008-8846(00)00454-3

J.A. Correa-Yepes, is a BSc. in Civil Engineer from Politecnic Jaime Izasa Cadavid and MSc. in Materials and Processes from the Universidad Nacional de Colombia, title received in the year 2017. ORCID: 0000-0001-6132-4568

N.R, Rojas-Reyes, is BSc. Ing. in Metallurgy in 1999 from the Universidad Pedagógica y Tecnológica of Colombia, Tunja, Colombia, MSc. in Engineering in 2003 at the Universidad de Concepción, Concepción, Chile, and Dr. in Engineering in 2014 at the Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Medellín, Colombia. Between 2004 and 2006 he worked at the Universidad Pedagógica y Tecnológica of Colombia in the area of extractive metallurgy and process modeling and since 2007 for the National University of Colombia. Currently, he is a associated professor at the Faculty of Mines of the Universidad Nacional de Colombia. His research interests include: extractive metallurgy, mineral rheology and physical-chemistry of minerals. ORCID: 0000-0002-1644-471X

J.I. Tobón, received a BSc. in Geological Engineering in 1992, an MSc. in Engineering in 2003, and a PhD in Materials Science and Technology in 2011, all from the Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Medellin, Colombia. From 1992 to 1995 he worked for different companies in the mining and oil sectors; from 1995 to 1999 he worked for Cementos Argos S.A. while at the same time working at the Universidad Nacional de Colombia as a part-time professor. Since 1999, he has worked full time for the Universidad Nacional de Colombia. Currently, he is a full professor in the Materials and Minerals Department, Facultad de Minas, Universidad Nacional de Colombia. His research interests include: the industrial application of minerals and rocks, chemistry and mineralogy of cements, nanotechnology in construction materials, alternative cementitious materials, and high performance cements and concretes.

ORCID: 0000-0002-1451-1309



Área Curricular de Ingeniería Geológica e Ingeniería de Minas y Metalurgia

Oferta de Posgrados

Especialización en Materiales y Procesos Maestría en Ingeniería - Materiales y Procesos Maestría en Ingeniería - Recursos Minerales Doctorado en Ingeniería - Ciencia y Tecnología de Materiales

Mayor información:

E-mail: acgeomin_med@unal.edu.co Teléfono: (57-4) 425 53 68