# Genetic parameters for milk yield and lactation persistency in the three first parities of Iranian Holstein cows

Parámetros genéticos para producción de leche y persistencia de la lactancia en los tres primeros partos de vacas Holstein en Iran

Parâmetros genéticos para a produção de leite e persistência de lactação nas três primeiras paridades de vacas Holstein Iranianas

Farzane Shokri-Sangari, MSc Hadi Atashi\*, MSc, PhD Mohammad Dadpasand, MSc, PhD Fateme Saghanejad, MSc

¤ To cite this article: Shokri-Sangari F, Atashi H, Dadpasand M, Saghanejad F. Genetic parameters for milk yield and lactation persistency in the three first parities of Iranian Holstein cows. Rev Colomb Cienc Pecu 2019; 32(2):100-106.

\* Corresponding Author: Hadi Atashi. Department of Animal Science, Shiraz University, Shiraz, Iran. Tel.: +98-7136138383. Email: Atashi@shirazu.ac.ir

Department of Animal Science, Shiraz University, Shiraz, Iran.

(Received: August 19, 2017; accepted: October 11, 2018)

#### **Abstract**

**Background**: Lactation persistency influences cow health and reproduction and has an impact on the feed costs of dairy farms.

**Objective**: To estimate (co)variance components and genetic parameters of 100- and 305-d milk yield, and lactation persistency in Holstein cows in Iran.

**Methods**: Records collected from January 2000 to December 2012 by the Animal Breeding Center of Iran (Karaj, Iran) were used. The following four measures of lactation persistency were used: P<sub>21</sub>: Ratio of milk yield in the second 100-d in milk (DIM) divided by that of the first 100-d. P<sub>31</sub>: Ratios of milk yield in the

third100-d divided by that of the first 100-d. P<sub>W</sub>: The persistency measure derived from the incomplete gamma function. P<sub>I</sub>: The difference between milk yield in day 60<sup>th</sup> and 280<sup>th</sup> of lactation.

**Results:** The estimated heritability of lactation persistency for the three first parities (first, second, and third lactation) ranged from 0.01 to 0.06, 0.02 to 0.10, and 0.01 to 0.12, respectively. Genetic correlations among lactation persistency measures for the three first parities ranged from 0.77 to 0.98, 0.65 to 0.98, and 0.58 to 0.98, respectively; while corresponding values for genetic correlations among lactation persistency with 305-d milk production ranged from 0.18 to 0.63, 0.32 to 0.75, and 0.41 to 0.71, respectively. The estimated repeatability for lactation persistency measures ranged from 0.06 to 0.20.

**Conclusion**: The moderate positive genetic correlation between lactation persistency and 305-d milk yield indicates that selection for increasing milk yield can slightly improve lactation persistency.

Key words: dairy cattle, heritability, lactation curve, milk yield, persistency, repeatability.

#### Resumen

**Antecedentes**: La persistencia de la lactancia tiene una gran influencia en la salud, la reproducción y los costos de alimentación de las granjas lecheras.

**Objetivo**: Estimar los componentes de (co)varianza y los parámetros genéticos de la producción de leche a 100 y 305 d, así como la persistencia de la lactancia en vacas Holstein en Irán.

**Métodos**: Se utilizaron registros recopilados entre enero de 2000 y diciembre de 2012 por el Centro de cría de animales de Irán (Karaj, Irán). Se utilizaron las siguientes cuatro medidas de persistencia de la lactancia: P21: Proporción de producción de leche en los segundos 100-d en leche (DIM) dividida por la de los primeros 100-d. P31: Proporcion de producción de leche en los terceros 100-d dividido por el de los primeros 100-d. PW: medida de persistencia derivada de la función gamma incompleta. PJ: diferencia entre el rendimiento de leche en el 60 y el 280 día de lactancia.

**Resultados**: La heredabilidad estimada de la persistencia de la lactancia para los tres primeros partos (primera, segunda y tercera lactancia) varió de 0,01 a 0,06; 0,02 a 0,10; y 0,01 a 0,12, respectivamente. Las correlaciones genéticas entre las medidas de persistencia de lactancia para los tres primeros partos variaron de 0,77 a 0,98; 0,65 a 0,98; y 0,58 a 0,98, respectivamente; mientras que los valores correspondientes para las correlaciones genéticas entre la persistencia de la lactancia con la producción de leche a 305 d variaron de 0,18 a 0,63; 0,32 a 0,75; y 0,41 a 0,71, respectivamente. La repetibilidad estimada para las medidas de persistencia de la lactancia varió de 0,06 a 0,20.

**Conclusión**: La correlación genética positiva moderada entre la persistencia de la lactancia y la producción de leche a 305-d indica que la selección para aumentar la producción de leche puede mejorar ligeramente la persistencia de la lactancia.

Palabras clave: curva de lactancia, ganado lechero, heredabilidad, persistencia, producción de leche, repetibilidad.

#### Resumo

**Antecedentes**: A persistência da lactação tem grande influência nos custos de saúde, reprodução e alimentação em fazendas leiteiras.

**Objetivo**: Estimar os componentes da variância (co)variância e os parâmetros genéticos da produção de leite de 100 e 305 de a persistência da lactação em vacas Holandesas no Irã.

**Métodos**: Os dados utilizados foram registros coletados de janeiro de 2000 a dezembro de 2012 pelo Centro de Criação de Animais do Irã (Karaj, Irã). As seguintes quatro medidas de persistência de lactação foram utilizadas: P<sub>21</sub>: Razão da produção de leite no segundo 100-d em leite (DIM) dividido pelo primeiro 100-d. P<sub>31</sub>: Razões da produção de leite na terceira 100d dividida pela da primeira 100-d. P<sub>W</sub>: A medida de persistência derivada da função gama incompleta. P<sub>J</sub>: A diferença entre a produção de leite no 60° e 280° dia de lactação.

**Resultados**: A hereditariedade estimada da persistência da lactação para as três primeiras paridades (primeira, segunda e terceira lactação) variou de 0,01 a 0,06; 0,02 a 0,10; e 0,01 a 0,12, respectivamente. As correlações genéticas entre as medidas de persistência da lactação para as três primeiras paridades variaram de 0,77 a 0,98; 0,65 a 0,98; e 0,58 a 0,98, respectivamente; enquanto os valores correspondentes para correlações genéticas entre a persistência da lactação com produção de leite de 305d variaram de 0,18 a 0,63; 0,32 a 0,75; e 0,41 a 0,71, respectivamente. A repetibilidade estimada para medidas de persistência de lactação variou de 0,06 a 0,20.

**Conclusão**: A correlação genética positiva moderada entre a persistência da lactação e a produção de leite de 305d indicou que a seleção para aumentar a produção de leite melhoraria ligeiramente a persistência da lactação. **Palavras-chave:** *curva de lactação, gado de leite, hereditariedade, persistência, produção de leite, repetibilidade.* 

# Introduction

Persistency of lactation is typically defined as the ability of a cow to maintain milk production at a high level after the peak yield (Cole and Null, 2009). Although, milk yield is the main source of income in a dairy farm, lactation persistency has a great influence on the health, reproduction and feed costs of dairy cows (Gengler, 1996). Cows with higher lactation persistency require less energy in early lactation, allowing for greater utilization of cheap roughage (Solkner and Fuchs, 1987); therefore, any increase in lactation persistency will increase the profit per cow. Dekkers *et al.*, (1998) reported that cows with greater persistency are more profitable than those with average persistency, and suggested that improving persistency would increase 305-d milk yield and decrease metabolic disorder stress in early lactation. There is not a clear consensus on how best to define persistency, and then many different measures for lactation persistency can be found in the literature (Solkner and Fuchs, 1987; Swalve, 1994; Jamrozik *et al.*, 1998; Swalve and Gengler, 1999; Muir *et al.*, 2004). Lactation persistency is not currently included in genetic evaluation of Iranian Holstein; therefore, the objectives of this study were to estimate (co) variance components and genetic parameters needed for

routine genetic evaluations of lactation persistency in Holstein cows in Iran.

# **Materials and Methods**

#### Data

Data used in this study were Holstein cows records collected from January 2000 to December 2012 by the Animal Breeding Center of Iran (Karaj, Iran). The evaluated herds (n=261) were purebred Holsteins, managed under conditions similar to those used in most developed countries, and were under official performance and pedigree recording. The diet, fed as a TMR, mainly consisted of corn silage, alfalfa hay, barley grain, fat powder, beet pulp, soybean meal and feed additives. Monthly milk yield was recorded by trained technicians from the Animal Breeding Center of Iran, according to guidelines of the International Committee for Animal Recording (ICAR, 2011). Cows with unknown birth date, calving date, birth number, or parity number were omitted. Age at first calving was calculated as the difference between birth and first calving dates, and was restricted to the range of 540 to 1,200 d. Test-day records before 6 d or after 320 d of calving were excluded, and cows were required to have a minimum of five test-day records per lactation. Therefore, the final data set consisted of 691,200 test-day records on 96,263 lactation records on first parity cows, 377,696 test-day records of 52,168 lactation records on second parity cows, and 182,143 test-day records on 24,951 lactation records on third parity cows, distributed in 261 herds.

### Trait definition

Lactation persistency is the degree to which peak lactation yield is maintained during the remainder of the lactation persistency can be defined in several ways, as either ratios of yield in different parts of the lactation, to be derived from factors of lactation curves models, or a simple statistical parameter computed from test- day records. Four lactation persistency measures were used: P<sub>21</sub>: Ratio of milk yield in the second 100 days in milk (DIM) divided by that of the first 100 DIM (Johannsson and Hansson, 1940); P<sub>31</sub>: Ratios of production in the third 100 DIM divided by that of the first 100 DIM (Johannsson and Hansson, 1940); P<sub>W</sub>: The lactation persistency measure derived from the incomplete gamma function (Wood, 1967); P<sub>J</sub>: The difference between milk yield in the 60<sup>th</sup> and 280<sup>th</sup> day of lactation (Jamrozik *et al.*, 1998).

To describe the lactation curve and calculate lactation persistency, the incomplete gamma function proposed by Wood (1967) was used. The function was as follows: yt= atb e-ct, where yt is the daily milk yield in DIM of t. The variable t represents the length of time since calving, e is the Neper's number, a is a parameter to represent yield at the beginning of lactation, b and c are factors associated with the upward and downward slopes of the curve, respectively. In this study, the incomplete gamma function was transformed logarithmically into a linear form as: , and fitted to monthly lactation yield records using a simple program written in Visual Basic (Microsoft Corp., Redmond, WA).

For each cow in each lactation, milk yields for the first, second, and third 100 DIM, milk yields on 60 and 280 DIM, as well as the 305-d lactation yields were calculated using parameters of incomplete gamma

function fitted to monthly lactation yield records (Wood, 1967). The lactation persistency measure derived from the incomplete gamma function was calculated as:(Wood, 1967). Total 305-d milk yield, milk yield in the first, second, and third 100 DIM were estimated as: , where  $\rm n_1$  and  $\rm n_2$  were 1 and 305, 1 and 100, 101 and 200, and 201 and 305, respectively. Milk yield in the 60<sup>th</sup> and 280<sup>th</sup> day of milking was calculated as , and , respectively.

Typical lactation curves have positive *a*, *b*, and *c*, and curves with negative *a*, *b*, or *c* were considered atypical. In this study, 27.36 of cows in first, 26.12 in second, and 24.85% in third lactation had atypical lactation curves and were excluded. The final data set consisted of 532,081 test-day records on 75,583 lactation records on first parity cows, 297,548 test-day records on 41,364 lactation records on second parity cows, and 142,888 test-day records on 19,984 lactation records on 75,583 third parity cows, distributed in 261 herds.

## Statistical analysis

(Co)variance components for four measures of persistency ( $P_{21}$ ,  $P_{31}$ ,  $P_{w}$  and  $P_{j}$ ), 100- and 305-d milk yield were separately estimated for the first, second, and third lactations using single and multiple-trait animal model. The linear model included fixed effects of herd-year-season (HYS), covariate effects of first calving age (FCA), and DIM in both linear and quadratic forms, as well as animal and residual random effects. The following repeatability animal model was used to estimate repeatability of milk yield and lactation persistency: Where  $y_{ijk}$  = record of animal k in the j<sup>th</sup> parity, and belonged to the i<sup>th</sup> class of HYS effect, HYSi=fixed effect of herd-year-season of calving i, lact<sub>j</sub>=fixed effect of j<sup>th</sup> parity,  $a_k$ = random effect of animal k,  $p_{ijk}$  = random permanent environmental effect of animal k,  $p_{ijk}$  = random residual error. Genetic evaluations for productive traits were performed using a univariate animal repeatability model through restricted maximum likelihood (REML) method in WOMBAT software (Meyer 2007).

The estimated repeatability ( $\pm$ SE) of different measures of lactation persistency ranged from 0.06 to 0.20, while the corresponding values for 100- and 305-d milk production were 0.30 ( $\pm$ 0.005), and ( $\pm$ 0.001), respectively. Among the lactation persistency measures, the  $P_{31}$  showed the highest repeatability (0.20), while the  $P_w$  was the lowest (0.06). The estimated repeatability ( $\pm$ SE) for lactation persistency measure of  $P_{21}$  and  $P_I$  were 0.14 ( $\pm$ 0.006) and 0.09 ( $\pm$ 0.005), respectively.

## **Results**

# Heritability and repeatability

The estimated heritability ( $\pm$ SE) of different lactation persistency measures and lactation performance for the first three parities are presented in Table 1. The estimated heritability ( $\pm$ SE) for lactation persistency in the first, second, and third parity ranged from 0.01 ( $\pm$ 0.003) to 0.06 ( $\pm$ 0.006), 0.02 ( $\pm$ 0.005) to 0.10 ( $\pm$ 0.010) and 0.01 ( $\pm$ 0.007) to 0.12 ( $\pm$ 0.0012), respectively. The heritability for 100- and 305-d milk ranged from 0.08 ( $\pm$ 0.012) to 0.24 ( $\pm$ 0.010) and 0.08 ( $\pm$ 0.014) to 0.28 ( $\pm$ 0.001), respectively. The estimated heritability ( $\pm$ SE) for the lactation persistency measures of P<sub>21</sub>, P<sub>31</sub> and P<sub>1</sub> increased with advancing parity;

while reverse trends were found for lactation persistency measure of Pw, as well as 100- and 305-d milk yield (Table 1).

The estimated repeatability ( $\pm$ SE) of different measures of lactation persistency ranged from 0.06 to 0.20, while the corresponding values for 100- and 305-d milk production were 0.30 ( $\pm$ 0.005), and 0.40 ( $\pm$ 0.001), respectively. Among the lactation persistency measures, the P31 showed the highest repeatability (0.20), while the Pw was the lowest (0.06). The estimated repeatability ( $\pm$ SE) for lactation persistency measure of P21 and PJ were 0.14 ( $\pm$ 0.006) and 0.09 ( $\pm$ 0.005), respectively.

**Table 1.** The estimated heritability ( $\pm$ SE) of different lactation persistency measures and lactation performance for the first three parities in Holstein cows in Iran.

	Parity 1	Parity 2	Parity 3			
P <sub>21</sub>	0.04 (±0.005)	0.08 (±0.009)	0.08 (±0.010)			
P <sub>31</sub>	0.06 (±0.006)	0.10 (±0.010)	0.12 (±0.013)			
$P_{\rm w}$	0.05 (±0.005)	0.02 (±0.005)	0.01 (±0.007)			
$P_J$	0.01 (±0.003)	0.08 (±0.008)	0.09 (±0.013)			
100-d milk	0.24 (±0.010)	0.10 (±0.011)	0.08 (±0.012)			
305-d milk	0.27 (±0.001)	0.14 (±0.010)	0.08 (±0.014)			

P<sub>21</sub>: Ratio of milk yield in the second 100 DIM divided by that of the first100 DIM (Johannsson and Hansson, 1940). P<sub>31</sub>: Ratios of production in the third100 DIM divided by that of the first 100 DIM (Johannsson and Hansson, 1940). P<sub>W</sub>: lactation persistency derived from the incomplete gamma function (Wood, 1967).P<sub>I</sub>: difference between milk yield in 60<sup>th</sup> and 280<sup>th</sup> day of lactation (Jamrozik *et al.*, 1998).

#### **Correlations**

Genetic and phenotypic correlations between different lactation persistency measures and lactation performance for the first three parities are presented in Table 2. The genetic correlations between 100-d and 305-d milk yield were 0.96, 0.85 and 0.79 for the first, second, and third lactation, respectively; while the corresponding phenotypic values were 0.80, 0.77, and 0.76, respectively. Genetic correlation between lactation persistency measures and 305-d milk production for the first, second, and third lactation ranged from 0.18 to 0.63, 0.32 to 0.75, and to 0.70, respectively. The corresponding values for 100-d milk were -0.28 to 0.42, -0.21 to 0.37, and -0.13 to 0.14 for the first, second, and third lactation, respectively. Therefore, the genetic correlations of lactation persistency measures with 305-d milk production were much higher than those with 100-d milk production. The lactation persistency measures of P<sub>w</sub> and P<sub>J</sub> showed the highest and the lowest genetic correlations with either 100- and 305-d milk production, respectively. Phenotypic correlations among lactation persistency measures and 305-d milk production for the first, second, and third lactation ranged from 0.19 to 41, 0.07 to 0.50, and 0.01 to 0.52, respectively. The corresponding values for 100-d milk production ranged from -0.28 to 0.18, -0.41 to-0.13, and -0.43 to -0.11 for the first, second, and third lactation, respectively.

Genetic correlation among lactation persistency measures for the first, second, and third lactation ranged from

0.77 to 0.98, 0.65 to 0.98, and 0.58 to 0.98, respectively. The highest genetic correlation was found between lactation persistency measures of  $P_{21}$  and  $P_{31}$ . The phenotypic correlation among lactation persistency measures for the first, second, and third lactation ranged from 0.33 to 0.85, 0.08 to 0.88, and 0.04 to 0.87, respectively.

# **Discussion**

In this study, 27.36 of cows in the first, 26.12 of cows in the second, and 24.85% of cows in the third lactation had atypical lactation curves. Rekik *et al.* (2003) reported 15 to 42% atypical curves in dairy herds of Tunisia. Tekerli *et al.* (2000) reported 26.3% (of 1,278) atypical curves in Holstein dairy cows of Turkey. Variability in the quantity and quality of ration as well as physiological and health problems related to harsh environmental conditions (heat in summer) may lead to atypical lactation curves (Rekik and Gara, 2004). Macciotta *et al.* (2006) considered the time from parturition to the first recorded test, as the most important factor affecting the incidence of atypical lactation curves.

Heritability for lactation persistency in the three first parities of Iranian Holstein cows ranged from 0.01 to 0.06, 0.02 to 0.10, and 0.01 to 0.12, respectively. The estimated repeatability for lactation persistency ranged from 0.06 to 0.20. It is clear that heritability and repeatability of lactation persistency depends upon how persistency is defined (Swalve and Gengler, 1999). These estimates for heritability and repeatability are lower than those reported in the literature (Gengler, 1995; Jamrozik *et al.*, 1998; Strabel *et al.*, 2001; Jakobsen *et al.*, 2002), and may be due to differences in trait definitions. Gengler (1995) reported that heritability and repeatability for lactation persistency of milk yield is 0.14 and 0.26, respectively. Cole and Null (2009) investigated milk yield persistency for five breeds of dairy cattle (Ayrshire, Brown Swiss, Guernsey, Jersey, and Milking Shorthorn) and reported that heritability of lactation persistency ranged from 0.09 (Milking Shorthorn) to 0.18 (Guernsey), and its repeatability ranged from 0.19 (Brown Swiss) to 0.28 (Guernsey).

**Table 2.** Genetic (upper diagonal) and phenotypic (lower diagonal) correlations between different lactation persistency measures, and lactation performance for first three parities.

	Parity 1						Parity 2						Parity 3					
	P <sub>21</sub>	P <sub>31</sub>	$P_{\rm w}$	$P_{\rm J}$	100-d milk	305-d milk	P <sub>21</sub>	P <sub>31</sub>	$P_{\rm w}$	$P_{\rm J}$	100- d milk	305- d milk	P <sub>21</sub>	P <sub>31</sub>	$P_{\rm w}$	$P_{\rm J}$	100- d milk	305- d milk
P <sub>21</sub>		0.97	0.98	0.83	0.35	0.57		0.98	0.90	0.87	0.25	0.71		0.98	0.74	0.91	0.12	0.68
P <sub>31</sub>	0.85		0.94	0.90	0.32	0.54	0.88		0.84	0.90	0.22	0.69	0.87		0.66	0.93	0.13	0.69
$P_{\rm w}$	0.83	0.65		0.77	0.42	0.63	0.65	0.36		0.65	0.37	0.75	0.60	0.26		0.58	0.14	0.70
$P_{J}$	0.47	0.69	0.33		-0.28	0.18	0.08	0.09	0.14		-0.21	0.32	0.66	0.86	0.04		-0.13	0.41
100-d milk	-0.27	0.18	-0.28	-0.25		0.96	-0.19	-0.13	0.24	0.41		0.85	0.20	0.11	0.26	-0.43		0.79
305-d milk	0.30	0.41	0.18	0.19	0.80		0.42	0.50	0.07	0.18	0.77		0.41	0.52	0.01	0.17	0.76	

 $P_{21}$ : Ratio of milk yield in the second 100 DIM divided by that of the first 100 DIM (Johannsson and Hansson, 1940).  $P_{31}$ : Ratios of production in the third 100 DIM divided by that of the first 100 DIM (Johannsson and Hansson, 1940).  $P_{W}$ : The lactation persistency measure derived from the incomplete

Atashi *et al.* (2006) reported that heritability measures for lactation persistency in Holstein cows in Iran ranged from 0.05 to 0.08. Otwinowska-Mindur and Ptak (2015) using the same definitions for lactation persistency in Polish Holstein-Friesian cows, reported that its heritability ranged from 0.03 to 0.07, 0.05 to 0.08, and 0.01 to 0.04, for the first, second, and third lactation, respectively.

The estimated heritability for all lactation persistency measures, except for  $P_w$ , increased with increasing lactation number, and its reason might be the higher peak production in second and third lactation compared to that in first lactation (Van der Linde *et al.*, 2000).

In the present study, genetic correlation among lactation persistency measures in the first three parities ranged from 0.77 to 0.98, 0.65 to 0.98, and 0.58 to 0.98, respectively. Jakobsen *et al.*, (2002) reported that genetic correlations between lactation persistency measures ranged from -0.91 to 0.94. Cole and Null (2009) investigated milk yield persistency for five breeds of dairy cattle and reported that genetic correlation between milk yield persistency and 305-d milk yield ranged from -0.25 (Guernsey) to 0.19 (Ayrshire). In addition, Otwinowska-Mindur and Ptak (2015) reported that genetic correlation between lactation persistency measures ranged from 0.66 to 0.99.

Genetic correlation between lactation persistency measures and 305-d milk yield for the first, second, and third lactation ranged from 0.18 to 0.63, 0.32 to 0.75, and 0.41 to 0.70, respectively. The corresponding values for 100-d milk were -0.28 to 0.42, -0.21 to 0.37, and -0.13 to 0.14 for the first, second, and third lactation, respectively. These values confirm that there is a moderate genetic association between milk yield and persistency, indicating that animals having higher level of lactation persistency produce higher level of 100- and 305-d milk yield. Further, although, high genetic correlations were found between 100- and 305-d milk yields in the first three parities, 305-d milk yield showed a stronger genetic correlation with lactation persistency than it did with 100-d milk yield. This finding can be probably explained by the fact that milk yield in early lactation (first hundred days of lactation) has more variation than milk yield in mid (second hundred days of lactation) or late lactation (third hundred days of lactation). Following calving, daily milk yield increases rapidly, reach its peak up to 90 d post calving, remains high for a while and then gradually declined in the later stage of lactation. Among the measures of lactation persistency, P<sub>w</sub> showed the highest genetic correlation with 305-d milk yield in all lactations (0.63, 0.75, and 0.70 for the first, second, and third lactation, respectively), while P<sub>I</sub> showed the lowest (0.18, 0.32 and 0.41 for the first, second, and third lactation, respectively). Similar trend was found for genetic correlation among lactation persistency measures and 100-d milk yield. Van der Linde et al. (2000) reported that genetic correlations between milk yield and lactation persistency for the first and the second lactation were 0.25 and 0.53, respectively. Previous researchers have reported different ranges for genetic correlation between lactation persistency and milk yield (Jamrozik et al., 1998; Jakobsen et al., 2002; Biassus et al., 2010; Boujenane and Hilal 2012). Jamrozik et al. (1998) reported that genetic correlations between lactation persistency and 305-d milk yield ranged from 0.1 to 0.55. Jakobsen et al. (2002) reported

that genetic correlation between 305-d milk yield and lactation persistency ranged from 0.00 to 0.47. Canaza-Cayo *et al.* (2015) using nine different measures for milk yield persistency reported that heritability and genetic correlation for persistency and 305-d milk yield varied from 0.10 to 0.33, and from -0.98 to 1.00, respectively. Obviously, genetic correlations among various persistency measures as well as their correlations with milk yield vary considerably depending upon how the persistency measure is defined (Swalve and Gengler, 1999).

The results showed that the definition of lactation persistency could affect the estimated heritability, repeatability and the genetic correlations among various persistency measures, as well as their correlations with milk yield. The main objective of this study was to estimate (co)variance components needed for routine evaluations of lactation persistency. Genetic evaluations for productive traits are usually calculated using a repeatability animal model and the same model was used in this study to calculate heritabilities and repeatabilities. The moderate positive genetic correlation between lactation persistency and the 305-d milk yield indicates that selection for increasing milk yield would slightly improve lactation persistency as well.

## **Conflicts of interest**

The authors declare they have no conflicts of interest with regard to the work presented in this report.

## References

Atashi H, Moradi Shahrbabak M, Abdolmohammadi AR. Study of suggested measures of milk yield persistency and their relationships. Int J Agric Bio 2006; 8:387–390.

Biassus IDO, Cobuci JA, Costa CN, Rorato PRN, Neto JB, Cardoso LL. Persistence in milk, fat and protein production of primiparous Holstein cows by random regression models. Rev Bras Zootec 2010; 39:2617–2624.

Boujenan I, Hilal B. Genetic and non-genetic effects for lactation curve traits in Holstein-Friesian cows. Anim Prod 2012; 5:450–457.

Canaza-Cayo AW, Lopes PS, da Silva MVGB, de Almeida Torres R, Martins MF, Arbex WA, Cobuci JA. Genetic parameters for milk yield and lactation persistency using random regression models in Girolando cattle. Asian-Australas J Anim Sci 2015; 28:1407-1418.

Cole JB, Null DJ. Genetic evaluation of lactation persistency for five breeds of dairy cattle. J Dairy Sci 2009; 92:2248–2258.

Dekkers JCM, Ten Hag JH, Weersink A. Economic aspects of persistency of lactation in dairy cattle. Livest Prod Sci1998; 53:237–252.

Gengler N. Persistency of lactation yields: A review. Proc. International Workshop on Genetic Improvement of Functional Traits in Cattle. Gembloux, Belgium. Interbull Bulletin No. 12. 1996; Uppsala, Sweden. pp.

ICAR (International Committee for Animal Recording). 2011. Guidelines approved by the General Assembly held in Riga on June 2010. Section 2.1, pages 23–56, in ICAR Rules, Standards and Guidelines for Recording Milk and Milk Constituents. Accessed Mar. 8, 2013. http://www.icar.org/Documents/Rulesandregulations/Guidelines/Guidelines\_2011.pdf.

Jakobsen JH, Madsen P, Jensent J, Pedersen J, Christensen LG, Sorensent DA. Genetic parameters for milk production and persistency for Danish Holsteins estimated in random regression models using REML. J Dairy Sci. 2002; 85:1607–1616.

Jakobsen JH, Madsen P, Jensent J, Pedersen J, Christensen LG, Sorensent DA. Genetic parameters for milk production and persistency for Danish Holsteins estimated in random regression models using REML. J Dairy Sci. 2002; 85:1607–1616.

Jamrozik J, Jansen G, Schaeffer LR, Liu Z. Analysis of persistency of lactation calculated from a random regression test-day model. Interbull Bulletin No. 17. 1998; Uppsala, Sweden. pp. 64-69.

Johannsson I, Hansson A. 1940. Causes of variation in milk and butterfat yield of dairy cows. Kungl Lantbr Akad Tidskr 1940; 79:1-127.

Macciotta NPP, Dimauro C, Catillo A, Coletta A, Cappio-Borlino

A. Factors affecting individual lactation curve shape in Italian river buffaloes. Livest Sci 2006; 104:33-37.

Meyer K. WOMBAT—A tool for mixed model analyses in quantitative genetics by restricted maximum likelihood (REML). Journal of Zhejiang University Science B 2007; 8:815–821.

Muir BL, Fatehi J, Schaeffer LR. Genetic relationships between persistency and reproductive performance in first-lactation Canadian Holsteins. J Dairy Sci 2004; 87:3029–3037.

Otwinowska-Mindur A, Ptak E. Genetic analysis of lactation persistency in the Polish Holstein-Friesian cows. Anim Sci Pap Rep 2015; 33:109-117.

Rekik B, Ben Gara A. Factors affecting the occurrence of atypical lactations for Holstein-Friesian cows. Livest Prod Sci 2004; 87:245-250.

Rekik B, Ben Gara A, Ben Hamouda M, Hammami H. Fitting lactation curves of dairy cattle in different types of herds in Tunisia. Livest Prod Sci 2003; 83:309-315.

Solkner J, Fuchs WA comparison of different measures of persistency with special respect to variation of test-day milk yields. Lives Prod Sci 1987; 16:305–319.

Strabel T, Jamrozik J. 2006. Genetic analysis of milk production traits of Polish Black and White cattle using large-scale random regression test-day models. J Dairy Sci 2006; 89:3152–3163.

Swalve HH, Gengler N. Genetics of lactation persistency. British Society of Animal Science. Occasional

Publication 24, 1999; pp.75-82.

Swalve HH. Genetic relationships between test-day milk production and persistency in dairy cattle performance records. In: Proc. 5th World Congress on Genetics Applied to Livestock Production, 1994; Guelph, Canada, 18, 467–470.

Tekerli M, Akinci Z, Dogan I, Akcan AM. Factors affecting the shape of lactation curve of Holstein cows from the Balikesir Province of Turkey. J Dairy Sci 200; 83:1381-1386

van der Linde R, Groen A, de Jong G. Estimation of genetic parameters for persistency of milk production in dairy cattle. Interbull Bulletin No. 25. 2000; Uppsala, Sweden. pp.113–116.

Wood PDP. Algebraic model of the lactation curve in cattle. Nature 1967; 216:164–165.