

# Optimizing acceptability of mango jam enriched with pectin from cacao husk (*Theobroma cacao L.*)

Angélica María Torregroza-Espinosa, Luty del Carmen Gomezcaceres-Pérez,  
Jhonatan Andrés Rodríguez-Manrique & Rolando José López-Martínez

Corporación Universitaria del Caribe-CECAR, Facultad de Ciencias Básicas Ingeniería y Arquitectura, Sincelejo, Colombia.  
[angelica.torregroza@cecar.edu.co](mailto:angelica.torregroza@cecar.edu.co); [luty.gomezcaceres@cecar.edu.co](mailto:luty.gomezcaceres@cecar.edu.co); [jhonatan.rodriguez@cecar.edu.co](mailto:jhonatan.rodriguez@cecar.edu.co); [rolando.lopez@cecar.edu.co](mailto:rolando.lopez@cecar.edu.co)

Received: June 18<sup>th</sup>, 2018. Received in revised form: December 12<sup>th</sup>, 2018. Accepted: February 21<sup>th</sup>, 2019.

## Abstract

This experiment was conducted in order to optimize and quantify the acceptability of mango jam enriched with pectin extracted from husk of cacao grown in the department of Sucre, Colombia. The experiment was performed using a two-factor, completely randomized design: pectin concentration (0.2%, 0.4%, 0.6%) and citric acid concentration (0.1%, 0.3%, 0.5%). All experiments were conducted in triplicate for each treatment, for a total of 27 experimental units. Variables such as fresh fruits (65.5%) and sugar (34.0%) were held constant. Data analysis was performed using an analysis of variance while the comparison of means was performed by the Tukey's range test. After 24 hours, a test for measuring product acceptability was conducted among 60 people using a 7-point hedonic scale. The survey yielded an acceptability value (I like moderately) of 6.7 for pectin concentrations of 0.2% and citric acid concentrations of 0.3%.

**Keywords:** pectin; hedonic test; response surface.

# Optimización de la aceptabilidad de una mermelada de mango enriquecida con pectina de cáscara de cacao (*Theobroma cacao L.*)

## Resumen

Con el fin de optimizar y cuantificar la aceptabilidad de una mermelada de mango enriquecida con pectina extraída de la cáscara de cacao cultivado en el departamento de Sucre, el experimento fue conducido bajo un diseño completamente al azar con arreglo factorial de dos factores: concentración de pectina (0.2%, 0.4%, 0.6%) y concentración de ácido cítrico (0.1%, 0.3%, 0.5%) con tres repeticiones por tratamiento, para un total de 27 unidades experimentales. Se mantuvieron fijas las variables fruta fresca 65,5% y azúcar 34,0%. El análisis de los datos se hizo mediante un Análisis de Varianza y una prueba de tukey para la comparación de medias. A las 24h de su elaboración se evaluó la aceptabilidad de la mermelada, empleando un panel de 60 personas y una escala hedónica de 7 puntos, donde se obtuvo un valor de 6.75, me gusta moderadamente; concentración de pectina y ácido cítrico: 0.2% y 0.3% respectivamente.

**Palabras clave:** pectina; test hedónico; superficie de respuesta.

## 1. Introduction

Jams are a traditional way to preserve and consume fruits and vegetables. In fact, there is a variety of preparations with different names regulated by the relevant laws and regulations including jam, jelly, compote, etc. However, all of them are made of a gelled mixture of sugar and fruit pulp with the appropriate consistency. These products come in different presentations (whole, chopped or crushed fruits)

with different amounts of added sugar and ingredients [1].

Fruit jam is a product of a paste-like or gelatinous consistency that has been produced by the cooking and concentration of healthy fruits combined with water and sugar. The most salient characteristics of the jam is its bright and attractive color, and it should look gelled without much rigidity. The elaboration of jams is a way of preserving fruit pulps by the action of sugars and high levels of acidity [2].

In the Sanitary Food Regulation, it is considered as "Jam",

**How to cite:** Torregroza-Espinosa, A.M., Gomezcaceres-Pérez, L.delC., Rodríguez-Manrique, J.A. and López-Martínez, R.J., Optimizing acceptability of mango jam enriched with pectin from cacao husk (*Theobroma cacao L.*). DYNA, 86(208), pp. 292-296, January - March, 2019

they are the products obtained by cooking fruit, vegetables or tubers (whole or fractionated), their juices and/or pulps, with sugars (sugar, dextrose, invert sugar, glucose syrup or its mixtures) with or without the addition of other sweeteners, additives or ingredients. They include jams, sweets, jellies, candied, glazed, crystallized or frosted fruits, drained and syruped [2].

Jams are also an alternative for fruit preservation, especially highly perishable fruit species. The preparation of this product is based on the conservation of a concentrated substrate with a minimum 65% of soluble solids and acid pH. The product is stored at room temperature for several months previous to mild thermal treatment, as long as it is protected from air by using hermetic seals [3].

Jams are made in both home and industrial scale since time immemorial. Making jams is quite simple and consists of prolonged cooking along with a significant amount of sugar. About 65-100 percent of raw materials, usually fresh fruits, must be at optimum ripeness point as well as crushed and sieved, however, the details of the preparation process depend on the recipe and the fruits used.

Jam production has made great progress at the technical level and research continues to be done in order to make improvements on the end product, especially in terms of organoleptic properties and sanitary conditions, as well as improved preparation techniques for better performance.

Undoubtedly, today's consumer demand for new and improved food products, together with the ever-increasing changes in tastes and preferences increasingly forces markets to innovate in terms of product development. The need for innovation not only encompasses food safety but also sensory quality. Therefore, the food industry must meet consumer demands by improving the sensory quality of food products, which eventually will result in an increase in the level of the acceptability of foods made of specific ingredients, without significantly increasing production costs [5].

Food processing requires analyzing the influence of a number of variables. The formulation of products enriched with pectin from cacao requires a multivariable approach, therefore, the use of response-surface methodology (RSM) provides a valuable tool to streamline variables such as pectin concentration and citric acid concentration [6-8].

On the other hand, in the exploitation of cocoa only the seed is used economically, which represents approximately 10% of the weight of the fresh fruit, which suggests that the remaining 90% is the husk that is not being harvested. This circumstance has resulted in serious environmental problems such as the appearance of foul odors and the deterioration of the landscape, as well as disposal problems. The waste generated consists mostly of the husk, which is also considered a focus for the propagation of *Phytophthora* spp, the main cause of economic losses of cocoa activity [9].

This has motivated the development of studies at the field level in order to increase the commercial value and diversify the use of cocoa shells, whose traditional use is as an input for animal feed and soil recovery. Cocoa shells have been proposed as a commercial source of pectins, due to their relative low cost [9].

The purpose of this study was to improve the level of acceptability of mango jam enriched with pectin cacao husk

(*Theobroma Cacao L.*) by using response surface methodology.

## 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1. Description of pectin from cacao husk

Pectin used in this experiment was obtained from husks of cacao grown in the locality of Colosó, Colombia. The samples were prepared according to the method used by Srirangarajan and Shrikhande [10] with slight modifications being made, together with a modified McCready's method [11] where extraction is made at acidic pH of 4 and a temperature of 90 °C. Pectin used has free acidity 0.558 meq free carboxyls/g pectin, equivalent weight 1800.05 mg pectin/meq NaOH, methoxyl content 6.81%, esterification degree 79.94% EST, D-Galacturonic acid content 48.51% AG.

### 2.2. Preparation of mango jam

Mango jam was prepared according to the method described by D.K. Tressler [12] by replacing water with mango pulp and using different concentrations of pectin and citric acid (0.2%, 0.4%, 0.6% y 0.1%, 0.3%, 0.5%, respectively). The variables for fresh fruit (65,5%) and sugar (34,0%) remained fixed. The procedure was as follows: the pulp was heated with constant stirring until reaching a temperature ranging from 71 °C to 82 °C. A mixture of pectin and sugar (5-8 times the weight of pectin) was slowly added to the preparation. Then the mixture was heated until it reached the boiling point and the remaining sugar was added. Once sugar was dissolved, the mixture was heated until it reached a temperature of 106 °C. Next, citric acid was added while heating the mixture for 5 minutes. Then the mixture was hot-filled in plastic containers and covered.

### 2.3. Sensory evaluation

After 24 hours, a test for measuring product acceptability was conducted among 60 people using a 7-point hedonic scale, where 1 stands for "I dislike very much" and 7 stands for "I like very much". The methodology recommended by Costell and Duran [13], described below, was used:

#### 2.3.1. Approach

The objective in applying the sensory test was to determine acceptability through the degree of satisfaction. The amount of sample evaluated per treatment by the panelists was 10 g. The presentation was in transparent, disposable plastic plates, coded with three-digit numbers, plus a glass of still table water as a mouth-cleaning vehicle. The evaluation temperature was that of the environment (20-24°C) [14].

#### 2.3.2. Planning

The degree of satisfaction test [9] was used to evaluate the optimal treatment with greater acceptability using a 7-level hedonic scale (1: I dislike it very much and 7: I like it

very much). The affective test employed did not require trained panelists, the selection of panelists was required to be consumers of the type of product. In addition, a pre-selection survey was used [14-16] from which a group of 60 consumers of both sexes aged between 18 and 30 years belonging to the Caribbean University Corporation-CECAR was formed.

2.3.3. Implementation

The environmental, informative and practical aspects were in the evaluation conditions as close as possible to those surrounding the consumer's target group of the research for preference or acceptance studies [13,14].

2.4. Data processing and statistical analysis

The experiment was conducted using a two-factor, completely randomized design: pectin concentrations (0.2%, 0.4%, 0.6%) and citric acid concentrations (0.2%, 0.4%, 0.6%). All experiments were conducted in triplicate for each treatment, for a total of 27 experimental units. The level of acceptability of the product is measured by the response variable.

Data analysis was done using a two-way analysis of variance in order to compare the concentrations of pectin and citric acid and determine if interaction occurs between them. The comparison of means test was performed by the Tukey's range test with significance level of 5%, together with model assumption tests (normality, independence and homogeneity of variance). Response surface methodology (RSM) and a  $\alpha=0.05$  significance level were used to optimize product acceptability. The analysis was carried out using R Studio 1.0.44 software.

3. Results and discussion

Sixty people were selected in order to evaluate 27 samples prepared according to the proposed treatments. Table 1 shows the results of the acceptability test for mango jam enriched with pectin from husks of cacao. The results show that the treatment with lower acceptability (3.67 average) was that with 0.6% pectin and 0.5% citric acid (I dislike slightly), while the treatment with the highest acceptability (6.75 average) was that with 0.2% pectin and 0.3% citric acid concentrations (I like very much).

The results obtained reveal that the treatment using pectin and citric acid concentrations of 0.2% and 0.3% respectively, had the highest acceptability level, which agrees with those reported for low-calorie mango jam [17], and for light peach jam [18]. In the same way, it agrees with that reported in the measurement of the acceptability of a strawberry jam added with pectin from cocoa peel that had a value of 6.27 [9]. The amount of citric acid that should be added to a jam will depend on the acidic pH values of the fruit used [19]. In this case, mango has pH values ranging between 3.5-4.1 [20] therefore the amount of citric acid to be added ranges from 0.2% to 0.4%. According to \*ICONTEC's standard NTC 572 [21], the amount of pectin to be added to jams will depend on Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP) as well as the pectin levels present in the fruit used. In the case of mango jams, a maximum 0.5% pectin level is recommended [19].

R Studio 1.0.44 software was used to measure the results of the analysis of variance (ANOVA) for product optimization by surface-response, as shown in the Table 2.

ANOVA for optimization of sensory acceptability suggests that pectin and citric acid concentrations as well as some interactions play an important role in maximizing sensory acceptability, since p-values are less than 0.05 with a level of significance of 5% [22]. The analysis of variance also allowed to obtain a model that accounts for 95.26% of the variability in acceptability levels [14] states that the closer R<sup>2</sup> is to 100% the more the model adjusts to experimental data.

Table 1. Average Acceptability Test results

| UE | [ ] pectin | [ ] Citric acid | Total Acceptability | Average Acceptability |
|----|------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| 1  |            |                 |                     |                       |
| 2  |            | 0,1             | 380                 | 6,33                  |
| 3  |            |                 |                     |                       |
| 4  |            |                 |                     |                       |
| 5  | 0,2        | 0,3             | 405                 | 6,75                  |
| 6  |            |                 |                     |                       |
| 7  |            |                 |                     |                       |
| 8  |            | 0,5             | 321                 | 5,35                  |
| 9  |            |                 |                     |                       |
| 10 |            |                 |                     |                       |
| 11 |            | 0,1             | 352                 | 5,87                  |
| 12 |            |                 |                     |                       |
| 13 |            |                 |                     |                       |
| 14 | 0,4        | 0,3             | 396                 | 6,60                  |
| 15 |            |                 |                     |                       |
| 16 |            |                 |                     |                       |
| 17 |            | 0,5             | 311                 | 5,18                  |
| 18 |            |                 |                     |                       |
| 19 |            |                 |                     |                       |
| 20 |            | 0,1             | 283                 | 4,72                  |
| 21 |            |                 |                     |                       |
| 22 |            |                 |                     |                       |
| 23 | 0,6        | 0,3             | 255                 | 4,25                  |
| 24 |            |                 |                     |                       |
| 25 |            |                 |                     |                       |
| 26 |            | 0,5             | 220                 | 3,67                  |
| 27 |            |                 |                     |                       |

Source: The authors

Table 2. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for product optimization by surface-response

| Source                           | Gl | SCC      | CM        | Reason -F | Value-P |
|----------------------------------|----|----------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| <b>Pectin</b>                    | 1  | 16,7621  | 16,7621   | 269,79    | 0,0000  |
| <b>Citric acid</b>               | 1  | 3,6992   | 3,6992    | 59,54     | 0,0000  |
| <b>Pectin*Pectin</b>             | 1  | 2,98215  | 2,98215   | 48,00     | 0,0000  |
| <b>Pectin*Citric acid</b>        | 1  | 0,003675 | 0,003675  | 0,06      | 0,8102  |
| <b>Citric acid * Citric acid</b> | 1  | 2,7744   | 2,7744    | 44,65     | 0,0000  |
| <b>Total error</b>               | 21 | 1,30473  | 0,0621298 |           |         |
| <b>Total</b>                     | 26 | 27,5262  |           |           |         |

Source: The authors

Table 3.  
Optimized response of the factors for maximum acceptability

| Factor      | Low | High | Optimum  |
|-------------|-----|------|----------|
| Pectin      | 0,2 | 0,6  | 0,263909 |
| Citric acid | 0,1 | 0,5  | 0,235061 |

Source: The authors




Figure 1. Response surface for maximum stability of mango jam.

Source: The authors

Statistical data analysis resulted in the following model. Table 3 shows the optimal formulation to optimize sensory acceptability.

$$\text{Acceptability} = 4,54417 + 9,40625P + 8,10833AC - 17,625P^2 - 0,4375PAC - 17,0AC^2$$

P = pectin concentration (%), and AC = citric acid concentration (%).

The values of the formulation to optimize sensory acceptability by response surface methodology up to a 6.73852 value tend to resemble the results obtained from the sensory test. Fig. 1 shows response-surface with a slight local variation as stated by Loo [14]. The results also suggest that as the concentrations of pectin and citric acid approach the optimum values of 0.263 and 0.235%, respectively, the greater the increase in acceptability values.

The response surface and level curves shown in Fig. 1 suggest that as the percentage of pectin approaches the optimum value (0.25% - 0.3%), with a citric acid concentration close to 0.25%, the greater the increase in acceptability values. The optimum acceptability value was set at 6.73852.

#### 4. Conclusions

The treatment with 0.2% pectin concentration and 0.3% citric acid concentration had the highest level of sensory acceptability. The optimal formulation (0.2639% pectin concentration and 0.235% citric acid concentration) resulted in an optimum sensory acceptability of 6.738.

#### References

[1] Barbieri, S., De Oliveira, C., Bueno, R., Monteiro, H., a Cavichiolo, C. and Meira, J., Pulp and jam of gabirola (*Campomanesia xanthocarpa* Berg): characterization and rheological properties, *Food Chemistry*, 263, pp. 292-299, ISSN 0308-8146, 2018.

[2] López, M., Mercado, J., Martínez, G. y Magaña, J., Formulación de una mermelada a partir de pulpa y cáscara de tunas (*Opuntia* spp.) elaborada a nivel planta piloto. *Acta Universitaria*, 21(2), pp. 31-36, 2011.

[3] Desrosier, N., *Conservación de alimentos*. México: Editorial CECOSA. 1999, 468 P.

[4] Potter, N. and Hotchkiss, J., *Ciencia de los alimentos*. España: Editorial Acribia. 1999, 667 P.

[5] Witting, E. y Villaroel, M., *Fibra dietética en Iberoamérica: tecnología y salud; obtención, caracterización, efecto fisiológico y aplicación en alimentos*. Varela Editora e Liuraria LTDA. 2001, 357 P.

[6] Choi, D., Resurrección, A. and Phillips, R., Optimization of sensory characteristics and consumer acceptability for peanut-based extruded snack products using response surface methodology. In: *Technical Program Listing 2002 Annual Meeting - Anaheim, California*. EEUU, 2002.

[7] González, M., Ferrero, B. and Cabezudo, M., Optimización del batido de vainilla mediante la metodología de superficie de respuesta. *Alimentación, Equipos y Tecnología* 6, pp. 77-80, 2000.

[8] Huor, S., Ahmed, E., Rao, P. and Cornell, J., Formulation and sensory evaluation of a fruit punch containing watermelon juice. *Journal of Food Science* 45, pp. 809-813, 1980.

[9] Barazarte, E., Sangronis, E. y Unai, E., La cáscara de cacao (*Theobroma cacao* L.): una posible fuente comercial de pectinas. *Órgano Oficial de la Sociedad Latinoamericana de Nutrición*, 58, pp. 64-70, 2008.

[10] Srirangarajan, A. and Shrikhande, A., Characterization of mango peel pectin. *J. Food Sci*; 42(1), pp. 279-280, 1977.

[11] McCready, R.M. and Pectin, J.M., *Methods in food analysis*. Second ed. Academic Press, New York, pp. 565-599, 1970.

[12] Tressler, D. and Woodroof, J., *Food products formulary*. Vol. 3. The Avi Pub Company, INC, Connecticut - USA, 1976.

[13] Costell, E. y Durán, L., El análisis sensorial en el control de calidad de los alimentos. IV. Realización y Análisis de datos. *Revista Agroquímica y Tecnología de Alimentos* 22, pp. 1-21, 1982.

[14] Loo, E., Tesén, A. y Valdez, J., Optimización de la aceptabilidad general mediante pruebas afectivas y metodología de superficie de respuesta de una bebida a base de una mezcla seca de polvo de cacao. *Scientia Agropecuaria* 4, pp. 191-197, 2013.

[15] Zook, K. and Wessman, C., The selection and use of judges for descriptive panels. In: Gacula, M.C. (Ed.) *Descriptive Sensory Analysis in Practice*. Capítulo 1.4, pp. 56-61, 1977.

[16] Cross, H., Moen, R. and Stanfield, M., Training and testing of judges for sensory analysis of meat quality. *Food Technology* 32(7), pp. 48-54, 1978.

[17] Olivares, A., Valdiviezo, A., Uriburu, M. y Ramón, A., *Formulación de mermeladas dietéticas de arándano (*Vaccinium Corymbosum* L.) y mango (*Mangifera Indica* L.)*. *Diaeta* 33(152), Ciudad Autónoma de Buenos Aires set, 2015.

[18] Vera, M., *Elaboración de mermelada light de durazno; memoria para optar al título de ingeniero en alimentos* Santiago de Chile, 2012.

[19] Coronado, M. y Hilario, R., *Elaboración de mermeladas procesamiento de alimentos para pequeñas y micro empresas agroindustriales* Centro de Investigación, Educación y Desarrollo, CIED, Perú, 2001.

[20] Quintero, V., Giraldo, G., Lucas, J. y Vasco, J., Caracterización fisicoquímica del mango común (*Mangifera indica* L.) durante su proceso de maduración. *Biotecnología en el Sector Agropecuario y Agroindustrial*, 11(1), pp. 10-18, Cauca, 2013.

[21] Norma técnica NTC colombiana 285, *Frutas procesadas: mermeladas y jaleas de frutas*.

[22] Méndez, I., *Metodología de superficie de respuesta*. Universidad Autónoma de México. México, 2007, 367 P.

**A.M. Torregroza-Espinosa**, is a BSc in Agro-industrial Engineering in 2008, from Universidad de Sucre, Colombia, and has a MSc. in Agrifood from Universidad de Córdoba in 2013. Currently pursuing a PhD in Project Management at Universidad Americana de Europa, Cancun, Mexico. Research professor in the Departments of Industrial Engineering and Systems Engineering at School of Basic Sciences, Engineering and Architecture, Corporación Universitaria del Caribe - CECAR - Junior Researcher. ORCID: 0000-0002-8948-0914

**LC. Gomezcaceres Pérez**, is a BSc. in Microbiology in 1986, from the Universidad Metropolitana, Colombia. She also has a graduate degree in Microbiology Quality Assurance in 2004, from the Colegio Mayor de Antioquia, as well as MSc. in Tropical Agriculture from Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas da Amazônia, Brazil in 2010. Currently pursuing a PhD in Project Management at Universidad Americana de Europa, Cancun, Mexico. Research professor in the Departments of Industrial Engineering and Systems Engineering at Corporación Universitaria del Caribe - CECAR - Colombia. Research associate.  
ORCID: /0000-0001-9409-4009

**J.A. Rodríguez-Manrique**, he is a BSc. in Agro-industrial Engineering in 2012, from Universidad de Sucre, Colombia. He has a graduate degree in Business Management in 2015 and a MSc. in Agri-food Sciences from Universidad de Córdoba, Colombia in 2017. He is currently pursuing a PhD in Project Management at Universidad Americana de Europa, Cancun, Mexico. From 2012 to 2014, he held important positions in companies related to agribusiness, especially in the poultry industry, as well as logistics and mass consumption and distribution companies. Since 2015, he serves as assistant professor in the Department of Basic Sciences at Corporación Universitaria del Caribe - CECAR. His research interests lie in the fields of food science and technology, modeling and simulation of manufacturing processes, multivariate time series analysis and forecasting, and artificial intelligence.  
ORCID: 0000-0002-7378-9968

**R.J. López-Martínez**, is a BSc. in Agro-industrial Engineering in 2009, from Universidad de Sucre, Colombia. Currently MSc. in Agrifood at Universidad de Córdoba, Colombia. He serves as tenured professor in the Department of Basic Sciences at Corporación Universitaria del Caribe - CECAR.  
ORCID: 0000-0002-2230-9821



UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE COLOMBIA

SEDE MEDELLÍN  
FACULTAD DE MINAS

Área Curricular de Ingeniería  
Química e Ingeniería de Petróleos

Oferta de Posgrados

Maestría en Ingeniería - Ingeniería Química  
Maestría en Ingeniería - Ingeniería de Petróleos  
Doctorado en Ingeniería - Sistemas Energéticos

Mayor información:

E-mail: qcaypet\_med@unal.edu.co  
Teléfono: (57-4) 425 5317