Production components, grain yield and water use efficiency of irrigated cowpea
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Abstract

Cowpea cultivars, which are indicated for irrigated cropping, are recommended without proper assessment of their productive potential and water use efficiency in response to water regimes in the soil from different production environments. The aim of this study was to evaluate the production components, the yield performance and the efficiency of water use of cowpea cultivars in response to the application of irrigation depths under the soil and climate conditions of Bom Jesus, PI. The experiment was performed from June to August 2011 in a soil classified as sandy-textured Fluvic Neosol. The study was conducted in a randomized blocks design, with four replicates. The treatments were arranged in subdivided plots (irrigation depths in plots and cultivars in subplots). The cultivars BRS Aracê (semi-prostrate) and BRS Tumucumaque (semi-erect) were submitted to five irrigation depths (108.2, 214.7, 287.9, 426.1 and 527.7 mm). The number of pods per plant and the weight of one hundred grains are the main components related to grain yield. The cultivar BRS Tumucumaque presented a better yield performance (1697.2 kg ha⁻¹) than the cultivar BRS Aracê (1233.8 kg ha⁻¹), with the application of the irrigation depths of 527.7 and 522.4 mm, respectively. The highest water use efficiency (0.420 kg m⁻³) is obtained with the application of the irrigation depth of 108.2 mm in both cultivars.
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Introduction

Irrigation is a viable alternative to improve the cowpea (Vigna unguiculata [L.] Walp.) yield in the Brazilian semi-arid region due to the irregular rainfall in this region. According to Capra & Scicolone (2007), the irrigation is responsible for the consumption of 50 to 85% of the available water resources in semi-arid areas. In traditional and high technology production systems, it is essential to know the modifications in the production components and grain yield of modern cultivars in response to the application of irrigation (Bezerra et al., 2009).

To obtain higher yields of cowpea it is necessary to adopt good management practices aimed at improving the efficiency of irrigation. According to Bastos et al. (2008), considering the phenological stages of cowpea, the reproductive period (flowering and grains filling) constitutes the stage of higher water demand. The new cultivars of cowpea usually present a high response to irrigation; however, they are recommended without proper assessment of their productive potential and water use efficiency under different conditions of water availability in the soil.

To increase crop yield, reduce production costs and increase farmers’ income, it is essential to adopt technologies such as an adequate management of irrigation and fertilization (Oliveira et al., 2011). However, the average
grain yield (1,200.0 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) in this regime is below the average that could be obtained with adequate management during the vegetative and reproductive phases. This information was confirmed by Souza et al. (2011), who studied the water use efficiency of corn and cowpea crops under exclusive and intercropping systems in the Brazilian semi-arid region. They concluded that the cowpea crop responds positively to irrigation water application.

According to Mousinho et al. (2008), the irrigated cultivation of cowpea in the state of Piauí is viable, regardless of the date of sowing and water capacity available in the soil. However, it is necessary to define optimal irrigation depths and evaluate their impacts on the production components, grain yield and water use efficiency under the specific soil and climate conditions of each production environment.

Therefore, the aim of this study was to evaluate the production components, grain yield and water use efficiency of two cowpea cultivars submitted to irrigation depths under the soil and climate conditions of Bom Jesus, Piauí.

**Materials and Methods**

The experiment was carried out at Sitio São Luiz, located at 3 km from Bom Jesus- PI (9º05’20,4’’ S, 44º20’55,1’’ W and altitude of 283 m), from June to August, 2011, in a soil classified as fluvic Neosol (Embrapa, 2006). The average annual rainfall is defined by the continental equatorial regime, with annual isohyets around 700 to 1,200 mm. The rainy season extends from November to May, and January, February and March are considered the wettest quarter. According to Thornthwaite & Mather (1955), the region climate is defined as dry sub-humid (Andrade Júnior et al., 2004).

To define the fertility levels and the physical-water characteristics of the soil, ten simple and deformed soil samples were collected for each composite sample, in the layers of 0 to 0.2m and 0.2 to 0.4m. Soil preparation was performed through plowing and harrowing which were sufficient for adequate soil disruption. Basic (45 kg ha\(^{-1}\) P\(_2\)O\(_5\) and 40 kg ha\(^{-1}\) K\(_2\)O) and top-dressing fertilization (30 kg ha\(^{-1}\) N), performed 15 days after emergence, were carried out based on the chemical analysis of the experimental area (Table 1), following the nutritional requirements of cowpea (Embrapa, 2003). Two months before sowing, liming was performed with the application of 1 Mg ha\(^{-1}\) dolomitic limestone.

| Depth (m) | OM (g/kg) | pH | H\(_2\)O | P (mg/dm\(^3\)) | K (mg/dm\(^3\)) | Ca (mg/dm\(^3\)) | Mg (mg/dm\(^3\)) | Na (mg/dm\(^3\)) | Al (mg/dm\(^3\)) | H+Al (mg/dm\(^3\)) | S (mg/dm\(^3\)) | CEC (mmolc/dm\(^2\)) | V (%) | m |
|-----------|-----------|----|---------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|-----|-----|
| 0-0.2     | 3.69      | 5.27 | 7.83    | 0.14            | 0.02           | 0.15            | 0.02           | 0.15           | 2.07           | 1.14           | 3.22           | 35.56           | 10.28              |     |     |
| 0.2-0.4   | 1.14      | 4.97 | 2.06    | 0.05            | 0.02           | 0.02           | 0.02           | 0.02           | 1.71           | 0.52           | 2.24           | 23.41           | 27.83              |     |     |

Source: Water and Soil Laboratory of Embrapa Meio-Norte

The experiment was conducted in a complete randomized block design, with treatments arranged in subdivided plots (irrigation depths in the plots and cultivars in the subplots). Each block consisted of five plots of 12 x 12 m, where the irrigation depths were arranged. Each subplot (cultivars) of 6 x 12 m from which the plants were removed for production evaluations and production components.

Two manual weedicings maintained the experimental area free and allowed the fully establishment of the crop. The phytosanitary treatment was performed with two insecticide applications (mixture of Fention (Lebaycid 500) + Abamectin (Vertimec 50)); 28 days after planting (DAP); and a fungicide application (RECOP - copper oxychloride) 35 DAP.

The cultivars BRS Aracê (semi prostrate) and BRS Tumucumaque (semi erect) were evaluated. Sowing was carried out on June 17, 2011, with a manual planter, with 0.8 m between rows. Fifteen days after sowing, thinning was performed in the rows of plants, leaving five plants per linear meter. The irrigation system was set up with sprinklers, 12 x 12 m spaced, with irrigation depths based on the daily reference evapotranspiration (ET\(_o\)), determined by the Penman - Monteith method (FAO - 56) (Allen et al.,...
Based on the percentages of 30% (Range 1), 60% (Range 2), 90% (Range 3), 120% (Range 4) and 150% (Range 5) arranged randomly in the field. The climatic data of air temperature, relative air humidity, wind speed and global solar radiation, in which ETo was estimated and precipitation recorded during the experimental period were obtained from the INMET automatic agrometeorological station installed at UFPI, 1 km far from the experimental area.

The irrigation depths were applied following a fixed irrigation shift. To adjust the irrigation to soil water storage capacity, irrigation ranges 1, 3 and 5 were irrigated every Monday, Wednesday and Friday. Thus, on Monday, it was applied the ETo accumulated on Friday, Saturday and Sunday; on Wednesdays, the ETo accumulated on Monday and Tuesday and on Fridays, the ETo accumulated on Wednesday and Thursday. For the ranges 2 and 4, on Tuesday, it was applied the the ETo accumulated on Saturday, Sunday and Monday; on Thursdays, the ETo accumulated on Tuesday and Wednesday and, on Saturdays, the ETo accumulated on Thursday and Friday. The differentiated irrigation depths were applied after 24 days of sowing, and this procedure was adopted for the stabilization of the crop in the first days after germination.

The uniformity evaluation of the application of water regimes was made through the installation of a mesh of twelve PVC collectors, 3 x 3 m spaced, in the central portion of all irrigation ranges. The coefficient of uniformity of Christiansen (CUC) was estimated with the volumes collected at the end of each irrigation (Frizzone, 1992). The water content of the soil was monitored using the gravimetric method, where soil samples were collected at depths of 0 to 0.2 and 0.2 to 0.4 m with three replicates within each irrigation range. These samples were immediately taken to the laboratory, weighed and taken to the oven for drying during 48 hours. The collections were performed 24 hours before and after the irrigation to assess the maximum and minimum values of water content in the soil, imposed with the application of each irrigation range, throughout the crop cycle.

The production components were: number of pods per plant (NPP), pod length (PL, cm), number of grains per pod (NGP), weight of one hundred grains (W100G, g), pod yield (Kg ha⁻¹), grain yield (GY, kg ha⁻¹) and water use efficiency (WUE, kg m⁻³). The WUE was obtained by the ratio between GY (kg ha⁻¹) and the applied irrigation depth (m³ ha⁻¹).

Before the statistical analysis, a residue analysis was performed for all the characters to evaluate the existence of discrepant data, heteroscedasticity of variance, adjustment of the errors to an approximately normal distribution (Nogueira, 2007). The regression was used in the analysis of variance following the method proposed by Garcia et al. (2002), Pimentel-Gomes (2000), Zimmermann (2004). The Tukey’s test was applied at 5% probability for the comparison of the means related to the cultivar factor.

**Results and Discussion**

Mean and total decendial values of air temperature, relative air humidity, solar radiation, wind speed and precipitation during the experiment were 26.50°C, 46.72%, 76.5 MJ m⁻², 1.47 m s⁻¹ and 0.0 mm, respectively. At the end of the cultivation cycle, the irrigation depths were 108.2 (D1), 214.7 (D2), 287.9 (D3), 426.1 (D4) and 527.7 mm (D5) (Table 2). It is worth mentioning that during the experimental period there was no rainfall, so that the productive response occurred only due to the irrigation depths.

As the differentiation of the irrigation depths was only imposed after 24 days after sowing (DAS), it was not observed sensible variations in water content in the soil with their application during this period. However, after this period, there was a gradual increase of the irrigation depths, as a consequence of the accumulated application of ETo replacement during the experimental period (Figure 1). In the pre-flowering phenological phase of cowpea, the occurrence of water deficiency in the soil does not result in a high reduction of grain yield, ensuring a certain mechanism of adaptation to drought in some genotypes (Santos et al., 2010).

Among the evaluated components, only the pod length (PL) did not respond to irrigation, cultivars and interaction (Table 3). It can be inferred that there were no water deficit situations that affected this component of production.
Tagliaferre et al. (2013), studying the agronomic characteristics of cowpea related to irrigation depths and nitrogen levels, did not observe variation in this production component. A similar situation was observed by Oliveira et al. (2011) who studied the response of cowpea to irrigation depths and phosphorus doses in Roraima. Studying cowpea yield in Paraíba, Santos (2013) reported that the cultivar BRS Marataoã did not differ statistically from cultivars BRS Potiguar and Miranda IPA-207, where the overall mean of this variable was 18.65 cm. That result was similar to the ones found in this study (19.08 cm) (Table 4).

The NPP, NGP and GY varied in response to the irrigation (D) and cultivar (C) factors separately; while the W100G and PY showed significant interaction (p < 0.05) between the two factors. The WUE for grain production of the cultivars did not vary significantly (p < 0.05) (Table 4). The cultivar BRS Tumucumaque was superior to BRS Aracê for NPP (8.08) and W100G (22.26 g), which guaranteed higher average in pod yield (1447.3 kg ha⁻¹) and grain yield (1077.48 kg ha⁻¹) (Table 4), regardless the irrigation depth. Semi-erect cultivars have a superior productive potential in relation to the semi-prostates because they present leaf and shoot architecture which favors the capture of solar radiation by the plant, increasing the efficiency of the physiological and metabolic processes (Cardoso et al., 2005). NPP is the main responsible for the expression of grain yield in cowpea (Cardoso & Ribeiro, 2013).

The variation of the production components, pod yield, grain yield and water use efficiency in response to the application of the irrigation depths in the cultivars BRS Aracê and BRS Tumucumaque are shown in Figure 2, whose regression equations and respective R² are found in Table 5.

The number of pods per plant increased linearly with the increase of irrigation depth for both cultivars (Figure 2A). However, the cultivar BRS Tumucumaque presented higher NPP than the cultivar BRS Aracê in all irrigation depths. The increases were linear and showed that the largest irrigation depth (527.7 mm) provided the
Table 4. Number of pods per plant (NPP), pod length (PL, cm), number of grains per pod (NGP), weight of one hundred grains (P100G, g), pod yield (PY, kg ha⁻¹), grain yield (GY, kg ha⁻¹) and water use efficiency (WUE, kg m⁻³). Bom Jesus, PI, 2011.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cultivar</th>
<th>NPP</th>
<th>W100G</th>
<th>PL</th>
<th>NGP</th>
<th>PY</th>
<th>GY</th>
<th>WUE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BRS Aracê</td>
<td>6.56</td>
<td>18.25</td>
<td>18.90</td>
<td>12.68</td>
<td>1272.3</td>
<td>934.97</td>
<td>0.329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRS Tumucumaque</td>
<td>8.08</td>
<td>22.26</td>
<td>19.27</td>
<td>11.53</td>
<td>1447.3</td>
<td>1077.48</td>
<td>0.364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall mean</td>
<td>7.32</td>
<td>20.25</td>
<td>19.08</td>
<td>12.10</td>
<td>1359.8</td>
<td>1006.22</td>
<td>0.347</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means followed by the same letter do not differ from each other at 5% probability by the Tukey’s test.

Figure 2. Number of pods per plant (A), number of grains per pod (B), weight of 100 grains (C), pod yield (D), grain yield (E) and water use efficiency (F) over the irrigation depths applied in BRS Aracê (•) and BRS Tumucumaque (■). Bom Jesus, PI. 2011.

The cultivar BRS Aracê presented better performance than the cultivar BRS Tumucumaque in relation to NGP (Figure 2B). The maximum values obtained for NGP were 13.2 (BRS Aracê) and 12.6 (BRS Tumucumaque), with the application of the optimal irrigation depths.
Table 5. Regression equations and respective R² for number of pods per plant (NPP), pod length (PL, cm), number of grains per pod (NGP), weight of 100 grains (w100G, g), pod yield (PY, kg ha⁻¹), grain yield (GY, kg ha⁻¹) and water use efficiency (WUE, kg m⁻³) over irrigation depths (D, mm) for BRS Aracê and BRS Tumucumaque. Bom Jesus, PI, 2011.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cultivars</th>
<th>Equations</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>Xmax</th>
<th>Ymax</th>
<th>R²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BRS Aracê</td>
<td>NPP = 2.474** + 0.0136L**</td>
<td>527.7</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>391.7</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>0.9581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NGGP = 8.626** + 0.023L** – 0.3x10⁻⁴L²**</td>
<td>527.7</td>
<td>1952.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.9644</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PY = 281.848** + 3.1652L**</td>
<td>527.7</td>
<td>1952.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.9644</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GY = -21.346** + 4.806L** – 0.0046L²**</td>
<td>527.7</td>
<td>1233.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.9980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WUE = 0.4631** – 0.0004L**</td>
<td>527.7</td>
<td>1233.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.9938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRS Tumucumaque</td>
<td>NPP = 2.6647** + 0.0181L**</td>
<td>527.7</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>410.0</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>0.9497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NGP = 9.195** + 0.016L** – 0.2x10⁻⁴L²**</td>
<td>527.7</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.9245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W100G = 24.673** – 0.0077L**</td>
<td>108.2</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.8650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PY = 165.260ns + 4.097L**</td>
<td>527.7</td>
<td>2327.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.9864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GY = 174.590** + 2.8854L**</td>
<td>527.7</td>
<td>1697.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.9823</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WUE = 0.4521ns – 0.0003L**</td>
<td>527.7</td>
<td>1697.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.9823</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D: irrigation depth (mm); X: D value (mm) for larger/shorter Y; Xmax: D value (mm) for Ymax.

of 391.7 mm and 410 mm, respectively (Table 5). The overall mean for NGP was 12.68 for BRS Aracê and 11.53 for BRS Tumucumaque (Table 2).

Silva & Neves (2011), analyzing the production components and their correlations in cowpea genotypes in rainfed and irrigated crops, found a mean value for NGP of 15.91. However, Matos Filho et al. (2009) analyzing the productive potential of erect cowpea progenies, observed an overall mean for NGP lower than those observed in this study (7.15 grains per pod).

As the PL did not vary with the application of the irrigation depths, the NGP becomes dependent only on the W100G.

In study about population density, with IT87D-611-3 (erect), Bezerra et al. (2009) concluded that the regression analysis revealed non-significant F values for W100G in relation to plant population and row spacing, corroborating with the trend observed in this study for BRS Aracê cultivar. However, for BRS Tumucumaque, the variation of W100G in response to the application of the irrigation depths was adjusted to a linear polynomial equation (Figure 2C). The irrigation depth which provided the highest W100G (23.4 g) was 108.2 mm (Table 5).

There was a decrease in W100G with the increase in soil water availability due to the increasing application of the irrigation depths, indicating that, for this cultivar, there is a compensation between W100G and NGP. In other words, the higher the NGP the lower the W100G. In a study of irrigation depths versus nitrogen levels in cowpea, Tagliaferre et al (2013) observed that the lowest W100G values were close to the results found by other researchers (23.5 g), close to the highest W100G obtained in the present research (23.4 g) (Table 5).

Results similar to those observed by Santos et al. (2009), were observed for EPACE-10 (20.1g), in a study with cowpea varieties in the micro-region of Cariri Paraibano.

For both cultivars, PY was adjusted to an increasing linear function in response to the irrigation depths (Figure 2D), a trend that was also observed by Ferreira et al. (2010). For BRS Aracê (1952.1 kg ha⁻¹) and BRS Tumucumaque (2327.2 kg ha⁻¹), the highest PY was obtained with the largest irrigation depth (527.7 mm) (Table 5), indicating that this one has greater productive potential, due to the superiority in terms of NPP and W100G.

The lowest PY for BRS Aracê was obtained with the application of 108.2 mm (591.1 kg ha⁻¹), which represents a reduction of 69.7% in relation to the PY obtained with the application of the largest irrigation depth (1952.1 kg ha⁻¹), whereas with BRS Tumucumaque this reduction was much higher (72.4%).

The highest PY values obtained with the application of the largest irrigation depth (527.7 mm) for both cultivars were lower than the average obtained by Andrade Junior et al. (2000) who, when evaluating the effects of applying different irrigation depths in cowpea cultivars in Pamaiba-PI, reached maximum PY values of 3389.5 kg ha⁻¹ applying irrigation depth of 394.4 mm. These differences are due to the distinct productive potential of the cultivars, as well as the management practices which were
The GY showed a quadratic behavior for BRS Aracê and linear for BRS Tumucumaque with increasing application of irrigation depths (Figure 2E). The cultivar BRS Aracê presented maximum GY (1233.8 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) with application of the 522.4 mm (Table 5). A similar result was observed by Gualter et al. (2008), for the cultivar BRS Guaribá irrigated by conventional spraying, with GY of 1174 kg ha\(^{-1}\).

The highest GY for the cultivar BRS Tumucumaque was 1697.2 kg ha\(^{-1}\), with an irrigation depth of 527.7 mm (Table 5). On the other hand, the lowest GY for this cultivar was 486.8 kg ha\(^{-1}\), with application of 108.2 mm (Figure 2E). There was a reduction of 71.3% in GY with the reduction of the largest irrigation depth (D5) to the shortest (D1), due to the decrease in water content in the soil (from 15% to 7%), as well as water availability (from 88% to 24%) and reduction of soil water potential (from -11 kPa to -80 kPa) (Table 2). The cultivar BRS Tumucumaque responded to the application of more frequent irrigation depths and kept the water content in the soil close to the total available water, as observed by Machado et al. (2008).

The values of WUE obtained with the application of the largest irrigation depth (527.7 mm) were 0.252 and 0.294 kg m\(^{-3}\), whereas for the shortest irrigation depth (108.2 mm) it was 0.420 kg m\(^{-3}\), for BRS Aracê and BRS Tumucumaque, respectively (Figure 2F). That is, the WUE is higher with the application of shorter irrigation depths and keeps the water content in the soil close to the total available water, as observed by Machado et al. (2008).

BRS Tumucumaque is more efficient in the conversion of water to grains than BRS Aracê, since with the same volume of applied water it produces more grains (Figure 2E and 2F), due to its greater productive potential. Close values of WUE in cowpea cultivars (mean of 0.520 kg m\(^{-3}\)) were obtained by Cardoso & Melo (2010). The WUE tends to decrease with the increase of the applied water depth (Souza et al., 2011). These authors observed that although the irrigation of 100% of E\(_{To}\) provided higher grain yield, the water use efficiency analysis showed that the depth of 75% was more efficient, with 0.313 kg m\(^{-3}\) of applied water.

**Conclusions**

The number of pods per plant and the weight of one hundred grains are the main factors related to grain yield. The cultivar BRS Tumucumaque presented a better yield performance (1697.2 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) than BRS Aracê (1233.8 kg ha\(^{-1}\)), with the application of irrigation depths of 527.7 and 522.4 mm, respectively. The highest water use efficiency (0.420 kg m\(^{-3}\)) is obtained with the application of the irrigation depth of 108.2 mm in both cultivars.
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