

THIRST RESPONSE TO POST-EXERCISE FLUID REPLACEMENT NEEDS AND CONTROLLED DRINKING¹

Catalina Capitán-Jiménez, M.S., and Luis Fernando Aragón-Vargas, Ph.D.

Original submission: 08/04/2016; resubmitted: 12/08/2016;
accepted: 12/16/2016; published: 12/24/2016.

Abstract

Perceived thirst (TP) was evaluated as a dependent variable: can it distinguish among several levels of acute dehydration, is it reliable, and how does it respond to the ingestion of a fixed water volume post exercise? In a repeated-measures design, eight physically active students (24.5 ± 3.6 years, mean \pm SD), reported to the laboratory on four non-consecutive days. They remained at rest or exercised at $32 \pm 3^\circ\text{C}$ db and $65 \pm 6\%$ rh to a randomly assigned dehydration equivalent to 1, 2, and 3% of body mass (BM). Following exercise, participants ingested a fixed water volume of 1.20% BM in 30 minutes; urine output, TP and plasma volume changes were assessed every 30 minutes over 3 hours. Post-exercise TP was not different before and after showering ($p = 0.860$), but it was significantly different among conditions (TP = 2.50 ± 0.45 , 4.44 ± 0.72 , 6.38 ± 0.82 , and 8.63 ± 0.18 for 0, 1, 2, and 3% BM, $p = 0.001$). TP was associated with net fluid balance ($r_{\text{part}} = -0.62$, $p < 0.0001$) but, soon after drinking, TP was the same regardless of dehydration ($p > 0.05$). Thirst perception is valid and reliable in the absence of drinking but it responds inappropriately to water intake.

Keywords: *Dehydration, fluid intake, thirst perception, water-electrolyte balance, humans*

¹ Original submission in English. Available also in the Spanish-translated version in this journal.

When humans exercise in the heat, they may incur dehydration to an extent that impairs performance; on the other hand, it is possible for triathletes, marathon, and ultramarathon runners to drink too much, resulting in asymptomatic and even symptomatic hyponatremia (Sawka et al., 2007). Different fluid replacement guidelines have been developed over time, trying to find a well-documented balance between the extremes of drinking too much and drinking too little. These guidelines, however, have been strongly criticized, to the extreme of suggesting they are more influenced by commercial interests than science (Cohen, 2012).

Meanwhile, drinking according to thirst has been advocated as the perfect solution to supplying needed fluids to exercising humans, but the advice has been handed out with scant experimental support. At the same time, there is evidence that thirst may not be enough (Maughan, Shirreffs, Merson, & Horswill, 2005; Passe, Horn, Stofan, Horswill, & Murray, 2007; Shirreffs, Armstrong, & Chevront, 2004; Solera-Herrera & Aragón-Vargas 2006), if *enough* means achieving zero net fluid balance at the end of observation, not only on average but for each individual. When the issue at hand is performance, there are very few studies supporting the claim that drinking to thirst during exercise is the best strategy for athletes (e.g. Goulet, 2011). To date, drinking to thirst remains an appealing yet not well-supported strategy for adequate hydration during and after exercise.

The physiological mechanisms associated with the detection and correction of cellular and extracellular fluid losses have been widely studied in animals and humans, but mostly in sedentary conditions (Adolph & Dill, 1938; Corbit, 1968; Denton et al, 1999; Egan et al., 2003; Fitzsimons, 1961; Johnson, 1990; Johnson & Thunhorst, 1997;

Obika, Idu, George, Ajayi, & Mowoe, 2009; Sagawa et al., 1992). While some of these mechanisms defend homeostasis by limiting additional fluid or sodium losses, it is only through the integration of input from several sources that the brain produces the neural state associated with thirst which will, in turn, cause the behaviors to replace water and sodium loss. Thirst is a perception, “the subjective experience evoked by fluid deficits” (Engell et al., 1987, p. 229) or, as explained by Johnson (2007), a motivational mechanism for the acquisition and consumption of water, created in the brain as the synthesis of multiple sources of information, both physiological and psychological.

A crucial question is whether thirst *per se*, understood as the drive to drink, is accurate and strong enough to result in the replacement of sweat losses from exercise and to maintain euhydration. Most studies related to this question have relied on monitoring voluntary fluid intake during exercise, as a surrogate measure of thirst (Passe et al., 2007; Peacock, Thompson, & Stokes, 2012; Peacock, Thompson, & Stokes, 2013; Rivera-Brown et al., 1999; Rivera-Brown, Ramirez-Marrero, Wilk, & Bar-Or, 2008; Scaglioni, 2008; Shirreffs et al., 2005; Wilk, Rivera-Brown, & Bar-Or, 2007). Voluntary fluid intake is, however, influenced by external factors such as the so-called Hawthorne effect (a change in behavior induced by the awareness of being observed, McCarney et al., 2007), ambient conditions and, of course, beverage temperature and composition (Hubbard et al., 1984; Hubbard, Szlyk & Armstrong, 1990; Rivera-Brown et al., 1999; Rivera-Brown, Ramirez-Marrero, Wilk & Bar-Or, 2008; Szlyk, Sils, Francesconi, Hubbard, & Armstrong, 1989).

Several researchers have combined subjective reports of thirst with measures of voluntary fluid intake during or after exercise (Brown, McCarty, & Millard-Stafford,

2011; Maresh et al., 2004). This latter approach makes sense, but it has an important limitation, namely, the possible confounding between cause and effect: higher thirst may drive a larger fluid intake, but fluid intake may in turn shut off thirst, independent of hydration status.

The complexity of this topic warrants addressing one question at a time. This is more feasible with a post-exercise rehydration protocol than looking at hydration during exercise. The purpose of the present study was to evaluate thirst solely as a dependent variable: first, to verify if perceived thirst (PT) can clearly distinguish among several levels of acute dehydration. Second, to evaluate the reliability for the thirst scale used (Engell et al., 1987). Finally, to assess how PT responds over time to the ingestion of a fixed volume of water post exercise. This information was expected to shed some light on the plausibility of thirst functioning as a good measure of rehydration needs after exercise and sweat loss.

Methods

Eight apparently healthy, physically active students (4 males, 4 females) age = 24.50 ± 3.60 y.o., weight = 73.09 ± 12.67 kg, and height = 1.69 ± 0.06 m (mean \pm S.D.) provided written informed consent prior to participation in this study, as approved by the University of Costa Rica Ethics and Science Committee, #VI-6446-2009. The experiment was part of a larger study designed to understand the diuretic response to a constant load of water. Each participant visited the laboratory on four different non-consecutive days, one for each dehydration condition, in a repeated-measures design; the order of tests was randomized.

Procedures

Pre-dehydration and dehydration procedures for this type of study are commonly used (see Capitán-Jiménez & Aragón-Vargas, 2012). Briefly, each participant reported to the laboratory at 7 a.m. after an overnight fast (at least 10 hours without solids or liquids). To estimate baseline hydration status upon arrival, he/she provided a urine sample which was analyzed for urine specific gravity (USG) with a manual refractometer (ATAGO®, model URC – Ne, d 1.000-1.050, Minato-ku, Tokyo, Japan), and discarded. After completely emptying their bladders, participants were weighed nude to the nearest 10 grams on a calibrated scale (e-Accura®, model DSB291, Qingpu, Shanghai, China). This fasting body mass (BM_{fast}) was used to calculate the water volume to be ingested by each individual. After sitting quietly for 15 minutes in a comfortable chair, a 5 mL blood sample was obtained by venipuncture, and a subjective perception of thirst (TP) was obtained from the response to the question *How thirsty are you?* on a 9-point scale (1 = not thirsty at all; 9 = very, very thirsty), developed by Engell et al. (1987). They ingested a standardized breakfast (750 kilocalories: 24.6% fat, 20.7% protein, and 54.7% carbohydrate; 250 mL of fluid, 1500 mg sodium), and proceeded to rest for 30 minutes.

A second nude body weight was obtained at the end of the rest period (pre-exercise body mass, BM_{pre}), together with another thirst perception score. When the individual protocol did not require dehydration (0%BM), the participant rested for an additional 45 minutes outside the chamber; if the protocol for the day called for dehydration, he/she started intermittent exercise (25 minutes exercise, 5 minutes rest) alternating between pedaling on a cycle ergometer (Monark® 818c, Vansbro, Sweden)

and jogging on a treadmill (SportsArt® model 3250, Tainan City, Taiwan), as long as necessary to achieve a dehydration equivalent to 1, 2, or 3% BM_{pre} ; two types of exercise were chosen to reduce the possibility that participants would quit prematurely because of the pain or fatigue associated with only one exercise mode. Body mass was measured at the end of every 25 minutes of exercise with participants nude and dry. This dehydration protocol was performed in a controlled environment chamber ($32 \pm 3^{\circ}\text{C}$ dry bulb and $65 \pm 6\%$ relative humidity); exercise intensity was 70% to 85% of maximum heart rate (estimated from $220 - \text{age}$) and controlled with a heart rate monitor (Polar® Electro Oy model A1, Kempele, Finland).

A thirst score was obtained upon completion of the exercise or prolonged resting period, and each participant was instructed to take a cold shower and to completely empty his/her bladder in a 750 mL plastic container. This urine was weighed on a food scale (OHAUS® Compact Scales, model CS2000, Parsippany, NJ, USA) to the nearest 1 g; no fluid intake was allowed at this time. All participants were weighed again nude and dry at this point to obtain post-exercise body mass (BM_{post}). They sat down and a 20G intravenous catheter (Vacutainer®, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) was placed in the antecubital vein for repeated blood sampling, using a heparin seal. After sitting quietly for 10 minutes, a new thirst score and 5 mL blood sample were obtained, and each participant started his/her rehydration process.

Participants ingested a volume of water (temperature = $4.97 \pm 0.72^{\circ}\text{C}$) equivalent to 1.20% BM_{fast} , regardless of the condition, divided into three equal volumes, one every 10 minutes. Upon completing ingestion, they started a three-hour monitoring period at rest. Blood samples were obtained upon completing fluid intake

and 60, 120 and 180 minutes later after sitting for at least 10 minutes. They emptied their bladders into labeled plastic containers upon completion of water ingestion (time 0), and every 30 minutes over three hours. The containers were weighed to the nearest 1 g, and the volume was recorded assuming 1 g is equivalent to 1 mL. Thirst perception was recorded at time 0 and every 30 minutes over three hours; all thirst perception ratings were obtained at ambient temperature ($26.0 \pm 0.9^{\circ}\text{C}$, $72.0 \pm 5.5\%$ r.h.), outside the environmental chamber.

Blood hemoglobin was analyzed with a Sysmex[®] (Sant Just Desvern, Spain) XE-2100 and XS-1000 using protocol IN-064; hematocrit with protocol IN-063, and total red blood cell count with protocol IN-066, all of them at an internationally certified laboratory. Resulting values were used to calculate plasma volume (PV) change according to Dill and Costill (1974).

Net fluid balance (NFB) was calculated for each 30-minute interval of the monitoring period relative to BM_{pre} , using body mass measurements, fluid intake and urine output.

Statistical analysis

We calculated descriptive statistics (mean and standard deviation) for age, body mass, and height in order to characterize the participants. We checked all variables for normality.

To verify that all participants showed the same characteristics under each condition, but achieved the desired differences, we performed several repeated-measures one-way analyses of variance for baseline USG, pre-exercise body mass, pre-exercise thirst, exercise time, actual dehydration incurred, and prescribed water intake.

To assess the reliability of the thirst scale we performed a repeated-measures two-way analysis of variance (4 conditions by 2 measurements) using only the post-exercise thirst scores obtained 30 minutes apart, before and after a cold shower. We calculated the intra-class correlation coefficient (ICC) for test-retest reliability between these two thirst scores.

We performed a two-way analysis of variance with repeated measures on both condition and time for each dependent variable: thirst perception, plasma volume change, and net fluid balance. Post-hoc analyses were performed using a Bonferroni adjustment for multiple comparisons. We calculated the partial correlation coefficients and their statistical significance to assess the association among the same three dependent variables: TP, PV, and NFB. After confirmation, we tested a multiple regression model using thirst perception as the dependent variable, and plasma volume, net fluid balance, condition, measurement time, and subject as the potential predictors.

A statistical power analysis was performed *a priori* for the thirst variable. Calculated for a difference of 2 arbitrary units, 8 participants predicted a power of 0.860

Results

Table 1 shows the reference values for each condition. There were no significant differences for baseline body mass, baseline urine specific gravity, pre-exercise thirst perception, or prescribed water intake ($p > 0.05$). Exercise time and actual dehydration were, however, significantly different ($p < 0.0005$), in line with the study design. An *a*

posteriori power analysis using the urine volumes showed a power of 0.880 for eight subjects and a volume difference of 200mL.

Table 1 Reference values for each condition, prior to rehydration. Mean \pm S.D.

Variable	0% BM	1% BM	2% BM	3% BM	p-value
Baseline USG	1.015 \pm 0.005	1.019 \pm 0.005	1.018 \pm 0.007	1.016 \pm 0.007	0.392
BMpre (kg)	73.66 \pm 12.66	74.03 \pm 12.97	73.59 \pm 12.84	74.31 \pm 12.58	0.132
Pre-exercise thirst (a.u.)	2.12 \pm 1.55	1.62 \pm 0.51	2.12 \pm 0.83	2.37 \pm 1.60	0.199
Exercise time (min)	0	32.5 \pm 10.0	73.6 \pm 12.8	87.5 \pm 13.3	< 0.001
Actual dehydration (%BM)	0.26 \pm 0.10	1.07 \pm 0.10	1.85 \pm 0.16	2.93 \pm 0.23	< 0.001
Prescribed water intake (mL)	877 \pm 152	880 \pm 154	877 \pm 154	886 \pm 151	0.136
Water temperature ($^{\circ}$ C)	5.0 \pm 0.9	5.0 \pm 0.7	4.9 \pm 0.8	5.0 \pm 0.5	0.945

Figure 1 shows post-exercise thirst perception. There was no significant interaction between condition and measurement time ($p = 0.620$). In addition, thirst perception was not different before taking a shower from 30 minutes later after showering ($p = 0.860$). The condition main effect was significant (thirst perception = 2.50 ± 0.45 , 4.44 ± 0.72 , 6.38 ± 0.82 , and 8.63 ± 0.18 for 0, 1, 2, and 3% dehydration, $p = 0.001$). Finally, the intra-class correlation coefficient (ICC) between both post-exercise thirst scores (a test-retest correlation) was $r = 0.973$, $p < 0.0001$.

When the complete time of monitoring was analyzed, thirst perception showed a significant interaction between time and condition ($p < 0.0001$, figure 2). The time main effect was significant ($p < 0.0001$), as well as the condition main effect ($p = 0.0001$). At the end of exercise, both the 3% ($8.63 [8.19, 9.06]$, mean [95%CI]) and the 2% ($6.38 [4.43, 8.35]$) conditions were different from 0% ($2.62 [1.45, 3.80]$), but 1% was not ($4.25 [2.37, 6.13]$). Post-hoc analysis for each condition over time showed a significant increase in thirst after exercise relative to pre-exercise ($p < 0.05$), and a return to pre-exercise thirst scores immediately after rehydration, for all except the 0% dehydration condition.




Fig 1 Post-exercise thirst perception. Bars represent means \pm SD. (a) different from 0% and 1%, $p < 0.05$. (b) different from 0% and 1%, $p < 0.005$




Fig 2 Thirst perception over time, by condition. Points are mean values; upper bars represent group standard errors of measurement. (a) Different from pre-exercise ($p < 0.05$). (b) Different from 0% and 1% ($p < 0.05$) (c) Different from 3% ($p < 0.05$)

Table 2 shows plasma volume changes for the different conditions. There was no significant interaction between condition and time of measurement ($p = 0.883$). There was no condition main effect ($p = 0.064$), but the main effect of measurement time was significant: ($p < 0.0001$). Plasma volume was higher at all times after rehydration, compared to baseline ($p < 0.05$). It was also higher at 60, 120 and 180 minutes compared to post-exercise.

Table 2 Plasma volume change over time. Mean \pm S.D.

Condition	Baseline	After exercise ^a	After rehydration	60 min after rehydration ^b	120 min after rehydration ^b	180 min after rehydration ^b
0% BM	0	3.75 \pm 4.61	15.41 \pm 6.75	17.41 \pm 17.55	16.96 \pm 12.40	11.51 \pm 6.58
1% BM	0	3.94 \pm 6.02	11.26 \pm 11.36	13.18 \pm 6.77	12.68 \pm 9.69	12.57 \pm 10.38
2% BM	0	1.20 \pm 6.09	9.60 \pm 9.90	12.20 \pm 14.25	8.30 \pm 5.51	4.59 \pm 5.32
3% BM	0	-2.29 \pm 6.26	5.15 \pm 15.17	7.64 \pm 11.96	6.68 \pm 10.96	5.65 \pm 9.45

(a) Different from baseline, $p < 0.05$. (b) Different from post-exercise, $p < 0.05$

Figure 3 shows net fluid balance. There was a significant interaction between time and condition ($p < 0.0001$), as well as significant main effects for both time ($p < 0.0001$) and condition ($p < 0.0001$). Net fluid balance was negative for all conditions after the exercise time, but it remained lower than zero after fluid intake only for the 1%, 2% and 3% dehydration conditions, with the exception of the first hour in the 1% dehydration condition. The 0% condition maintained a positive or neutral fluid balance until the end of monitoring. At this point, mean NFB was -290 g, with a 95% Confidence Interval from -627 to 47g. Net fluid balance was different among conditions at all time points except that the difference between 0% and 1% disappeared from the measures at 60 minutes and beyond. Regarding plasma volume changes, there was no significant interaction between condition and time of measurement ($p = 0.883$). There

was no condition main effect ($p = 0.064$), but the main effect of measurement time was significant: ($p < 0.0001$).

Adjusted R^2 for the multiple regression model (Figure 4) was 0.64 ($p < 0.0001$); NFB was a significant predictor ($F = 28.125$, $p < 0.0001$), but PV was not ($F = 0.284$, $p = 0.595$). The model also included subjects, condition, and time of measurement. Partial correlation coefficients were significant between TP and NFB (-0.62 , $p < 0.0001$) and between PV and NFB (0.31 , $p = 0.001$), but not between PV and TP (-0.04 , $p = 0.572$).




Fig 3 Net fluid balance over time, by condition. Points are mean values; upper bars represent group standard errors of measurement. Shaded area represents time of fluid ingestion. After exercise $NFB < 0$ for all conditions, $p < 0.05$. **(a)** different from the reference value (BM_{pre} , $p < 0.05$). **(b)** different from all other conditions ($p < 0.05$). **(c)** different from 2% and 3% ($p < 0.05$)




Fig 4 Multiple regression model (n=160). Thirst perception at the end of exercise in the heat as the dependent variable. Predictors were NFB, PV, subject, condition, and measurement time. $R^2_{adj} = 0.64$, $p < 0.0001$. Solid line is the line of adjustment; dotted lines represent 95% CI.

Discussion

This study looked at the thirst response to ingesting a pre-determined, constant volume of water after exercising in the heat to different levels of dehydration. First, we confirmed that the subjective perception of thirst after exercise was able to detect levels of hypohydration equivalent to 2% BM or greater: the scores for both 3% and 2% dehydration were different from 0%, while 3% was also different from 1%. Then we evaluated the reliability of Engell's thirst perception scale immediately after exercise by using a novel test-retest approach: rather than the conventional separation of 24 hours between tests—a protocol that in the present study would introduce extraneous, undesired variability—we separated the tests by only 30 minutes. Our protocol required each participant to take a cold shower, provide a urine sample, undress for a nude body weight measurement, and have a catheter placed in the forearm between the two post-

exercise TP tests, for all the 0, 1, 2, and 3% dehydration conditions in the study. This introduced enough “noise” to distract the participants without changing the physiological variables of interest. In the absence of water ingestion, TP results were reliable (ICC $r = 0.973$). In addition, the difference between the two scores was not significant ($p = 0.862$).

Engell et al. (1987) obtained two measures for each sensation, one when subjects first reached their target dehydration, and a second one the following morning, 12 to 15 h later. They stated that most sensations were significantly correlated using the test-retest method, but unfortunately no correlation was reported for “feel thirsty”, our measure of interest; moreover, the period between tests may have added differences in the actual hypohydration at the time of the second measurements. We are not aware of other studies reporting the reliability of Engell’s thirst scale.

Our main finding was that thirst perception decreased quickly with drinking regardless of dehydration, reaching pre-dehydration levels ($TP = 2.53 \pm 0.85$) immediately after ingestion of about 880 mL and remaining there for the entire three hours of monitoring. In the 3% BM dehydration condition, water intake represented only 40.4% of fluid loss and achieved a NFB of ≈ -1.3 kg, which is far from euhydration. Therefore, while we found that thirst is strongly associated with an objective measure of hypohydration, i.e., net fluid balance, in the absence of water intake, the association weakens when subjects who are significantly dehydrated drink an insufficient amount. Using the same TP scale, Maresh et al. (2004) reported thirst being significantly reduced (from ≈ 5.5 pre exercise to ≈ 3.2 post exercise) in previously hypohydrated subjects who exercised in the heat for 90 min while drinking *ad libitum*; fluid intake was high, but

they were still hypohydrated by about 3%BM at the end of exercise. In another study comparing the rehydration properties of coconut water and other drinks (Pérez-Idárraga & Aragón-Vargas, 2014), subjects ingested four aliquots equivalent to 30% of sweat loss each after exercising in the heat to 2.0% BM dehydration. Thirst perception was higher immediately after exercise but returned to baseline after drinking the first aliquot. Those two studies with different designs support our finding that thirst is quickly turned off after drinking water, even when the amount is insufficient to return to euhydration. Our study is in agreement with the statement by Chevront and Kenefick (2014), who pointed out that different receptors “trigger thirst satiety well before volume is fully restored” (p. 271); our findings, however, extend the results to show that this is not a transient effect, but one that lasts three hours.

In the present study, net fluid balance (a measure of hydration status) showed a very strong inverse association with thirst, while plasma volume change and thirst perception showed no association. Our multiple regression model confirmed NFB as a significant predictor of thirst, but not PV. This has already been noted by others: Engell et al. (1987) assessed thirst and measured fluid intake and many blood parameters during and after exercise in dry heat at 0, 3, 5, and 7%BM hypohydration (0.9, 4.0, 5.9, and 7.3%BM at the end of testing), concluding that hypovolemia contributes minimally to fluid intake (the contribution of perceived thirst was not reported). In their study, actual hypohydration showed a strong, direct association with thirst, and also with fluid intake.

Maresh et al. (2004) examined the responses of ten subjects walking in the heat for 90 minutes on four different occasions: previously euhydrated without fluid intake,

previously hypohydrated ($\approx -3.8\%$ BM hypohydration) without fluid intake, previously euhydrated and drinking during exercise, or previously hypohydrated and drinking during exercise. Pre-exercise thirst was significantly higher for the hypohydrated conditions than for euhydration. Post-exercise thirst was even higher in the hypohydrated condition when subjects were not allowed to drink, but when fluid intake was allowed, post-exercise thirst was not different from that at pre-exercise euhydration. Thirst was found to respond predictably to dehydration, but plasma volume changes were not different between pre-exercise hydration conditions. Our results confirm a clear association between actual hypohydration and thirst perception before subjects were allowed to drink, and even an association between these two variables over the course of the entire experiment, while plasma volume was only weakly associated with thirst. This is not surprising, as Chevront and Kenefick (2014) point out that volume-mediated activation of thirst requires large plasma volume changes (about 10% of blood volume loss).

Thirst is considered by some as too elusive a variable, impossible to measure accurately (Greenleaf, 1992). Others take advantage of this characteristic and use the term imprecisely to suit their arguments, meaning anything from “dry mouth” to “what we actually drink”. It is not surprising then that Greenleaf (1992) stated at the beginning of his seminal paper *Problem: thirst, drinking behavior, and involuntary dehydration* that “the debate concerning the meaning of thirst is endless, so the emphasis here will be on actual fluid intake that can be measured.” (p. 645). Thirst perception, however, can be measured reliably, although only in humans, as Johnson (2007) points out. The paper by Engell et al. (1987) is a good example; their scale has been widely used by others

(Armstrong et al., 2014; Maresh et al., 2001; Maresh et al., 2004; Riebe et al., 1997), although there are good alternatives as the one described by Stachenfeld (2014). It may be argued that as any self-reported measure, TP could be sensitive to extraneous variables. Nevertheless, since the present experiment was part of a larger study designed to understand the diuretic response to a constant load of water, we consider that the participants had many different things to pay attention to which distracted them from the actual thirst reports—the main focus of this paper—and hence they were less likely to be distorted by subjectivity.

Thirst perception remains a difficult area of study. This study has an important limitation: despite using the most common thirst scale in the area, many participants reported the maximum values even when actual dehydration did not reach 3% BM. This “ceiling effect” complicates the analysis in an area of study where there are still many gaps. In addition, regardless of a calculated statistical power of 0.860 for the thirst measure, our sample size may be considered small by some. Nevertheless, the present results show statistically significant differences for self-reported thirst among objectively different dehydration levels, provided no fluid has been ingested. Further research is needed to clarify the reliability of thirst as a measure of hydration needs during and after exercise in the heat.

Conclusions

This study confirms that, in the absence of drinking, the subjective perception of thirst after exercise in the heat is able to detect dehydration equivalent to 2% BM or greater.

The measure is reliable and robust (not affected by taking a cold shower), and it shows a

clear, significant association with net fluid balance ($r_{\text{part}} = -0.62$, $p < 0.05$). Thirst perception is, however, disproportionately reduced in dehydrated subjects after acute ingestion of water. When the goal is to replace all fluid lost through sweating after exercising in the heat, we deem thirst to be far from perfect as it responds inappropriately to fluid intake.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by University of Costa Rica project VI-245-B0-315. Thanks to Catalina Fernandez for assistance with literature review.

References

- Adolph, E.F. & Dill, D.B. (1938). Observations on water metabolism in the desert. *American Journal of Physiology*, 123, 369-378. <http://ajplegacy.physiology.org/content/123/2/369>
- Armstrong, L. E., Johnson, E. C., Kunces, L. J., Ganio, M. S., Judelson, D. A., Kupchak, D. R., ... Williamson, K. H. (2014). Drinking to Thirst Versus Drinking Ad Libitum During Road Cycling. *Journal of Athletic Training*, 49(5), 624-631. doi: <https://doi.org/10.4085/1062-6050-49.3.85>
- Brown, M. B., McCarty, N. A., & Millard-Stafford, M. (2011). High-sweat Na⁺ in cystic fibrosis and healthy individuals does not diminish thirst during exercise in the heat. *American Journal of Physiology: Regulatory, Integrative and Comparative Physiology*, 301(4), 1177-1185. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpregu.00551.2010>
- Capitán-Jiménez, C., & Aragón-Vargas, L. F. (2012). Detection of Euhydration in Humans from the Diuresis Response to a Water Load. *Medicina Sportiva*, 16(1), 30-35. Retrieved from <http://www.kerwa.ucr.ac.cr/handle/10669/527?show=full>
- Chevront, S. & Kenefick, R. (2014). Dehydration: physiology, assessment, and performance effects. *Comprehensive Physiology* 4(1), 257-285. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1002/cphy.c130017>
- Cohen, D. (2012). The truth about sports drinks. *BMJ*, 345. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.e4737>

- Corbit, J. D. (1968). Cellular dehydration and hypovolaemia are additive in producing thirst. *Nature*, 218, 886–887. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1038/218886a0>
- Denton, D., Shade, R., Zamariippa, F., Egan, G., Blair-West, J., McKinley, M., & Fox, P. (1999). Correlation of regional cerebral blood flow and change of plasma sodium concentration during genesis and satiation of thirst. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 96(5), 2532-2537. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.96.5.2532>
- Dill, D. B., & Costill, D. L. (1974). Calculation of percentage changes in volumes of blood, plasma, and red cells in dehydration. *Journal of Applied Physiology*, 37(2), 247-248. Retrieved from <http://jap.physiology.org/content/jap/37/2/247.full.pdf>
- Egan, G., Silk, T., Zamariippa, F., Williams, J., Federico, P., Cunningham, R., ..., & Denton, D. (2003). Neural correlates of the emergence of consciousness of thirst. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 100(25), 15241-15246. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2136650100>
- Engell, D. B., Maller, O., Sawka, M. N., Francesconi, R. N., Drolet, L., & Young, A. J. (1987). Thirst and fluid intake following graded hypohydration levels in humans. *Physiology & Behavior*, 40(2), 229-236. doi: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-9384\(87\)90212-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-9384(87)90212-5)
- Fitzsimons J. T. (1961). Drinking by rats depleted of body fluid without increase in osmotic pressure. *Journal of Physiology*, 159(2), 297–309. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.1961.sp006809>
- Goulet, E. D. (2011). Effect of exercise-induced dehydration on time-trial exercise performance: a meta-analysis. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 45(14), 1149-1156. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjism.2010.077966>
- Greenleaf, J. E. (1992). Problem: Thirst, drinking behavior and involuntary dehydration. *Medicine and Science in Sports Exercise*, 24(6), 645-656. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1249/00005768-199206000-00007>
- Hubbard, R. W., Sandick, B. L., Matthew, W. T., Francesconi, R. P., Sampson, J. B., Durkot, M. J., ... Engell, D. B. (1984). Voluntary dehydration and alliesthesia for water. *Journal of Applied Physiology*, 57(3), 868-875. Retrieved from <http://jap.physiology.org/content/57/3/868>
- Hubbard, R. W., Szlyk, P. C., & Armstrong, L. E. (1990). Influence of thirst and fluid palatability on fluid ingestion during exercise. Gisolfi, C. V., & Lamb, D. R. (Eds.), *Perspectives in exercise science and sports medicine: Vol. 3 Fluid homeostasis during exercise* (pp. 39-95). Carmel, IN: Cooper Publishing Group.

- Johnson, A. K. (1990). Brain mechanisms in the control of body fluid homeostasis. Gisolfi, C. V., & Lamb, D. R. (Eds.), *Perspectives in exercise science and sports medicine: Vol. 3. Fluid homeostasis during exercise* (pp. 347-424). Carmel, IN: Cooper Publishing Group.
- Johnson, A. K. (2007). The sensory psychobiology of thirst and salt appetite. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 39(8), 1388-1400. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1249/mss.0b013e3180686de8>
- Johnson, A. K., & Thunhorst, R. L. (1997). The neuroendocrinology of thirst and salt appetite: visceral sensory signals and mechanisms of central integration. *Frontiers and Neuroendocrinology*, 18(3), 292-353. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1006/frne.1997.0153>
- Maresh, C. M., Gabaree-Boulant, C. L., Armstrong, L. E., Judelson, D. A., Hoffman, J. R., Castellani, J. W., ... Casa, D. J. (2004). Effect of hydration status on thirst, drinking, and related hormonal responses during low-intensity exercise in the heat. *Journal of Applied Physiology*, 97(1), 39-44. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1152/jappphysiol.00956.2003>
- Maresh, C. M., Herrera-Soto, J. A., Armstrong, L. E., Casa, D. J., Kavouras, S. A., Hacker, F. T. Jr., ... Scheett, T. P. (2001). Perceptual responses in the heat after brief intravenous versus oral rehydration. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 33(6), 1039-1045. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1097/00005768-200106000-00025>
- Maughan, R. J., Shirreffs, S. M., Merson, S. J., & Horswill, C. A. (2005). Fluid and electrolyte balance in elite male football (soccer) players training in a cool environment. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 23(1), 73-79. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640410410001730115>
- McCarney, R., Warner, J., Iliffe, S., Van Haselen, R., Griffin, M., & Fisher, P. (2007). The Hawthorne Effect: a randomised, controlled trial. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, 7, 30. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2288-7-30>
- Obika, L. F., Idu, F. K., George, G. O., Ajayi, O. I., & Mowoe, R. S. (2009). Thirst perception and drinking in euhydrate and dehydrate human subjects. *Nigerian Journal of Physiological Sciences*, 24(1), 25-32. <https://doi.org/10.4314/njps.v24i1.46377>
- Passe, D., Horn, M., Stofan, J., Horswill, C., & Murray, R. (2007). Voluntary dehydration in runners despite favorable conditions for fluid intake. *International Journal of Sport Nutrition and Exercise Metabolism*, 17(3), 284-295. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1123/ijsnem.17.3.284>

- Scaglioni, P. (2008). Ingesta voluntaria de líquido y cambio en el gusto con opción de dos bebidas durante entrenamiento en ciclismo. *Pensar En Movimiento: Revista del ciencias del ejercicio y la salud*, 6(1), 24-33. doi: <https://doi.org/10.15517/pensarmov.v6i1.369>
- Shirreffs S. M., Aragon-Vargas L. F., Chamorro M., Maughan R. J., Serratos L., & Zachwieja J. J. (2005). The Sweating Response of Elite Professional Soccer Players to Training in the Heat. *International Journal of Sports Medicine*, 26(2), 90-95. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2004-821112>
- Shirreffs, S. M., Armstrong, L. E., & Chevront, S. N. (2004). Fluid and electrolyte needs for preparation and recovery from training and competition. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 22(1), 57-63. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1080/0264041031000140572>
- Solera-Herrera, A., & Aragón-Vargas, L. F. (2006). Deshidratación y sobrehidratación voluntarias durante el ejercicio en el calor: posibles factores relacionados. *Pensar en Movimiento: Revista De Ciencias Del Ejercicio y La Salud*, 4(1), 22-33. doi: <https://doi.org/10.15517/pensarmov.v4i1.410>
- Stachenfeld, N. S. (2014). The Interrelationship of Research in the Laboratory and the Field to Assess Hydration Status and Determine Mechanisms Involved in Water Regulation During Physical Activity. *Sports Medicine*, 44(1), 97-104. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-014-0155-0>
- Szlyk, P. C., Sils, I. V., Francesconi, R. P., Hubbard, R. W., & Armstrong, L. E. (1989). Effects of water temperature and flavoring on voluntary dehydration in men. *Physiology & Behavior*, 45(3), 639-647. doi: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-9384\(89\)90085-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-9384(89)90085-1)
- Wilk, B., Rivera-Brown, A. M., & Bar-Or, O. (2007). Voluntary drinking and hydration in non-acclimatized girls exercising in the heat. *European Journal of Applied Physiology*, 101(6), 727-734. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-007-0539-z>