

Obesity and happiness: does gender matter?

Ehsan Latif^{*}

Department of Economics, Thompson Rivers University, Canada

Received: 3 August 2013 Revised: 15 January 2014 Accepted: 22 January 2014

Abstract

This study used longitudinal Canadian data from the National Population Health Survey (NPHS), covering a period of 1994-2006, to examine the impact of obesity on happiness. In order to control for unobserved individual-specific fixed effects, this study utilized the fixed effects method. The study found that obesity significantly reduces happiness for females, while it has an insignificant impact on happiness for males. The study further found that the negative impact of obesity on happiness is concentrated among females in prime working age group and also among females with a higher level of education.

Keywords: obesity, BMI, happiness, Canada *JEL Classification Codes*: 110, 131

1. Introduction

A recent OECD statistics suggest that 2 out of 3 men are overweight and that 1 in 4 people are obese in Canada. The same report projected that overweight and obesity rates are expected to grow by 8% during 2010-2010 (OECD, 2012). Overweight and obesity increase risk of a number of chronic conditions, such as cancer, stroke, diabetes, asthma, hypertension, and arthritis (World Health Organization, 2011). A number of studies have examined the labor-market-related cost associated with obesity, such as impact on employment prospect and wages (Cawley J., 2004; Averett & Korenman, 1996). A neglected area of research is the impact of obesity on individual happiness. So far, only a few studies in the Economics of Happiness have delved into this issue. A study by Stutzer (2007), using cross-sectional data from Swiss Health Survey 2002, revealed that obesity decreased the subjective well-being of individuals who reported limited self-control. Using cross-sectional data from the British Household Panel Surveys (BHPS) and from the German Socioeconomic Panel Surveys (GSOEP), Oswald & Powdthavee (2007) found that BMI is negatively correlated with life satisfaction. In a recent study, Katsaiti (2012) used panel data from the German Socio-Economic Panel (GSOEP), UK British Household Panel Survey (BHPS), and Australia

^{*} E-mail: elatif@tru.ca.

Citation: Latif, E. (2014) Obesity and happiness: does gender matter?, *Economics and Business Letters*, 3(1), 59-67.

Household, Income and Labour Dynamics in Australia (HILDA) and found that, in all three countries, obesity had a negative effect on the subjective well-being of individuals.

The present study contributes to the literature in the following ways. First, unlike other studies, this study conducted sub-group analyses based on gender, age, and education; second, to the best of the author's knowledge, this is the first Canadian study related to this issue; third, unlike other study that used panel data, this study used an individual fixed-effects approach to control for unobserved individual-specific fixed effects.

This paper presents the following structure: Section 2 deals with the data and methodology, Section 3 presents the results, and Section 4 concludes.

2. Data

This study applied Canadian panel data from the National Population Health Survey (NPHS), covering a period of 1994-2006. The NPHS collects information related to the health and socio-demographic profiles of the Canadian population. The NPHS has three components: households, health institutions, and the North; this study used data from the household component.¹ Starting in 1994/1995, the survey for the household component is conducted every two years. This study restricts the sample to individuals ages 16 and 64, yielding 28,952 person-wave observations.

The dependent variable of this study is happiness, which is measured using the following ordered responses: 1) so unhappy in life, 2) very unhappy, 3) somewhat unhappy, 4) somewhat happy, and 5) happy in life.

The independent variable, obesity, is a dichotomous variable indicating whether or not a person is obese. An individual is considered obese when his or her Body Mass Index (BMI), a measurement obtained by dividing a person's weight in kilograms by the square of the person's height in meters, equals or exceeds 30 (Health Canada, 2003). The model includes a number of socio-demographic determinants of happiness, such as age, marital status, education, health, home ownership, location, household income, and unemployment status. Age is a continuous variable while the age squared is included in the model to capture the non-linear impact of age on happiness. Marital status is a dummy variable with three categories: single, married, and widow/separated/divorced. The base category is single. Education is a four-category dummy variable with categories of less than secondary, secondary graduate, some post-secondary, and college/university education. The base category is less than secondary. Health status is another dummy variable with five categories: poor, fair, good, very good, and excellent health. The base category is poor health. The dummy variable of home ownership measures whether or not an individual owns a home. The independent variable of location measures whether or not an individual lives in an urban area. The variable of household income is a continuous variable that measures the total income of all household members. The dummy variable of unemployment indicates whether or not the individual is unemployed.

3. Empirical methods

This study used the following empirical model:

$$H_{it} = \beta_0 + X_{it}\beta_x + \beta_u O_{it} + \mu_i + \varepsilon_{it}$$
⁽¹⁾

¹ The North component refers to the survey carried out in Yukon and Northwest Territories situated in the Northern part of Canada.



where H_{ijt} represents outcome (happiness score) for individual i in year t. X_{it} represents a vector of observed individual-specific characteristics such as age, squared age, marital status, education, health, location, home ownership, household income, and unemployment status.² O_{it} indicates the obesity status of individual i in year t. μ_i represents individual-specific unobserved fixed effect, while ϵ_{it} is the error term.

Application of the Ordinary Least Square (OLS) method is a simple way of estimating equation 1. However, the pooled OLS method does not control for individual-specific unobserved fixed effects, and consequently, the estimates using the pooled OLS will not be consistent. To solve this problem, following Oswald & Powdthavee (2008), this study will utilize an individual-specific fixed effect method. This method factors out unobserved individual-specific fixed effects from the happiness equation.³ There may also be problem of dual causality as only age and gender are exogenous variables by nature. To test whether there is evidence of endogeneity, the study will run the Hausman test. If the Hausman test suggests presence of endogeneity, the study will utilize panel fixed effect instrumental variable method.

To check the robustness of the results, the study will re-estimate all models using BMI as an independent variable instead of Obesity.

4. Results

Table 1 shows the descriptive statistics. Following Baltagi (2005), the study used an F test to examine the presence of unobserved individual specific heterogeneity. The F tests confirmed the presence of unobserved individual specific heterogeneity and thus fixed effects regression were chosen over the pooled OLS method. To test whether there is a problem of dual causality, the study utilized the Hausman test. The Hausman test suggests that endogeneity is not a problem for the samples used in this study. So the study decided to use fixed effects method to examine the relationship between obesity/BMI and happiness. The results of the fixed-effect regressions are provided in Table 2. The second column of Table 2 shows the results for the overall sample. The results suggest that obesity has a negative but insignificant impact on happiness. Other important results include the following: being married has a significant positive impact while being widowed/separated/divorced has a significant negative effect on happiness, health has a significant positive impact on happiness, household income positively impacts happiness, while unemployment significantly reduces happiness. The third column shows the results of the male sample. The results in this sample suggest that, like the results of the overall sample, obesity has a positive but insignificant impact on happiness. Other results of the male sample are almost identical to the findings from the overall sample with the exceptions that household income and unemployment are not significant. The third column of Table 2 provides the results for the female sample. The results suggest that obesity significantly reduces happiness. Other results of the female sample are similar to the findings from the overall sample.

Thus, the results suggest that obesity has a significant negative impact on the happiness of females while it has insignificant effects on males' happiness. To further examine this issue, the study conducted sub-group analyses based on age and gender. The results, shown in Table 3, suggest that obesity has a significant negative impact on happiness for females aged between 25 and 54. In all other age categories, obesity has an insignificant

³ The fixed effect method is not effective in the presence of unobserved time variant factors. In such cases, the instrumental variable method needs to be applied. However, the NPHS data set does not provide valid instruments, and consequently this study could not use the instrumental variable method.



 $^{^{2}}$ In this study, unemployed is defined as an individual who has no job, but who is willing to work and is looking for job.

impact on happiness for both male and female samples. The results further suggest that, among the education categories, obesity has a significant negative effect on happiness only for females who have some post-secondary education.

To test robustness of these results, the study utilized fixed effects regressions with BMI as an independent variable. The results, shown in Table 4, suggest that BMI has a positive but insignificant impact on happiness for males while BMI has significant negative impact happiness for females. Thus the results suggest that 1unit increase in BMI will reduce happiness for females by .003 units. The results of the sub group analyses based on age and education are shown in Table 5. These results are qualitatively almost similar to the findings from the models using Obesity as an independent variable.

Variable	Overall	Male	Female
	Sample	Sample	Sample
Obese	.171	.18	.16
	(.003)	(.004)	(.004)
Age	45.24	44.01	46.70
-	(.101)	(.145)	(.136)
Single	.16	.19	.13
	(.003)	(.005)	(.003)
Married	.71	.73	.69
	(.003)	(.005)	(.005)
Divorced/ Widow	.13	.08	.18
	(.002)	(.003)	(.004)
Less Than Secondary Education	.15	.15	.16
	(.003)	(.004)	(.003)
Secondary Graduate Education	.16	.15	.17
	(.003)	(.004)	(.004)
College- University Education	.43	.44	.41
	(.004)	(.006)	(.005)
Some Post -Secondary Education	.26	.26	.26
	(.003)	(.005)	(.004)
Home Ownership	.77	.77	.76
	(.004)	(.005)	(.004)
Residing in Urban Area	.80	.79	.80
	(.003)	(.004)	(.004)
Household Income	\$62,384	\$66,813	\$57,149
	(356.99)	(562.12)	(395.25)

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics

Source: National Population Health Survey (1994-2006)



Variable	Overall	Male	Female
	Sample	Sample	Sample
Obesity	015	.009	042*
2	(.013)	(.017)	(.019)
Age	.006	.011	0006
e	(.013)	(.016)	(.018)
Squared Age	00003	00001	00005
	(.00002)	(.00004)	(.00004)
Married	.045*	.039***	.049**
	(.017)	(.023)	(.025)
Widow/ Separated/Divorced	082*	099*	069**
-	(.025)	(.036)	(.034)
Secondary Grad.	.014	.027	.019
	(.045)	(.065)	(.062)
Some Post-Secondary Education	023	.019	053
	(.043)	(.063)	(.059)
College University Education	002	.061	050
	(.043)	(.063)	(.059)
Excellent Health	.130*	.110*	.149*
	(.015)	(.021)	(.021)
Very Good Health	.107*	.083*	.129*
	(.016)	(.023)	(.023)
Good Health	.072*	.049**	.091*
	(.018)	(.026)	(.026)
Fair Health	035	083	.002
	(.025)	(.064)	(.033)
Having Own Home	002	002	001
	(.012)	(.016)	(.017)
Living in Urban Area	.005	005	.015
	(.009)	(.012)	(.014)
Household Income	.012*	.008	.016*
	(.005)	(.006)	(.007)
Unemployed	041*	037	046**
	(.016)	(.022)	(.024)
Constant	4.30*	4.03*	4.76*
	(.672)	(.832)	(.953)
Province Control	Yes	Yes	Yes
Year Control	Yes	Yes	Yes
Overall R-Squared	.0492	.0255	.0281
Number of Observations	28952	13762	15190

Table 2: Regression results: determinants of happiness

Notes: Significance: * 1%, ** 5%

Omitted Variables: Single for Marital Status; Less than Secondary for Education; poor health for health status.



Table 3:	Impact of	Obesity on	Happiness	by age	and education
	1	2	11	10	

Categories	Male	Female
	sample	sample
Age: less than 25	219	112
Û	(.168)	(.240)
Overall R-Squared	.0109	.0059
Number of Observations	517	480
Age: between 25 and 54	.009	040*
	(.015)	(.016)
Overall R-Squared	.0255	.0281
Number of Observations	9800	9919
Age : more than 54	.067	.026
	(.042)	(.030)
Overall R-Squared	.0072	.0063
Number of Observations	3445	4791
Education: less than secondary	.022	.019
	(.039)	(.038)
Overall R-Squared	.0062	.0201
Number of Observations	2408	2751
Education: secondary graduate	.068	080
	(.039)	(.046)
Overall R-Squared	.0028	.0007
Number of Observations	1934	2356
Education: some post -secondary	.013	144*
	(.030)	(.036)
Overall R-Squared	.0329	.0028
Number of Observations	3583	4077
Education: college/university	027	.025
	(.025)	(.025)
Overall R-Squared	.0326	.0032
Number of Observations	5837	6006

Note: Significance: * 1%, ** 5%

Full regressions are not shown to save space. The models include following controls: age, age squared, married, widow/ divorced, secondary graduate, post-secondary, college- university, household income, urban location, own home, provinces, and year dummies.



Variable	Overall	Male	Female
	Sample	Sample	Sample
BMI	0008	.007	003**
	(.0014)	(.005)	(.001)
Age	.005	.009	001
	(.010)	(.012)	(.016)
Squared Age	00002	.00003	00005
	(.00002)	(.00003)	(.00003)
Married	.042*	.035***	.047**
	(.015)	(.019)	(.023)
Widow/ Separated/Divorced	084*	103*	070**
I	(.019)	(.026)	(.028)
Secondary Grad.	.016	.033	.018
5	(.038)	(.054)	(.054)
Some Post-Secondary Education	023	.020	054
5	(.031)	(.046)	(.043)
College University Education	002	.062	052
5	(.032)	(.047)	(.044)
Excellent Health	.129*	.109*	.148*
	(.012)	(.018)	(.019)
Very Good Health	.106*	.082*	.127*
J	(.013)	(.018)	(.018)
Good Health	.071*	.047*	.092*
	(.013)	(.019)	(.019)
Fair Health	032**	081*	.007
	(.016)	(.023)	(.022)
Having Own Home	001	001	002
-	(.010)	(.014)	(.014)
Living in Urban Area	.005	006	.014
	(.009)	(.012)	(.013)
Household Income	.019*	.013***	.025*
	(.005)	(.007)	(.007)
Unemployed	042*	039**	046**
	(.013)	(.018)	(.022)
Constant	4.21*	3.90*	4.57*
	(.406)	(.491)	(.689)
Province Control	Yes	Yes	Yes
Year Control	Yes	Yes	Yes
Overall R-Squared	.0497	.0281	.0260
Observations	28952	13762	15190

Table 4: Regression results: determinants of happiness

Notes: Significance: * 1%, ** 5%, ***10%

Omitted Variables: Single for Marital Status; Less than Secondary for Education; poor health for health status.



Table 5: Impact of BMI on Happiness by age and education

Categories	Male	Female
0	sample	sample
Age: less than 25	002	.029
0	(.021)	(.022)
Overall R- Squared	.0102	.0043
Number of Observations	517	480
Age: between 25 and 54	.007	029*
-	(.015)	(.001)
Overall R- Squared	.0211	.0220
Number of Observations	9800	9919
Age : more than 54	.017	.006
	(.016)	(.004)
Overall R- Squared	.0076	.0070
Number of Observations	3445	4791
Education: less than secondary	.007	.005
	(.006)	(.004)
Overall R- Squared	.0049	.0203
Number of Observations	2408	2751
Education: secondary graduate	.008	009
	(.005)	(.006)
Overall R- Squared	.0027	.0006
Number of Observations	1934	2356
Education: some post -secondary	.006	011*
	(.004)	(.004)
Overall R- Squared	.0286	.0051
Number of Observations	3583	4077
Education: college/university	.006	.001
	(.004)	(.003)
Overall R- Squared	.0317	.0030
Number of Observations	5837	6006

Note: Significance: * 1%, ** 5%

Full regressions are not shown to save space. The models include following controls: age, age squared, married, widow/ divorced, secondary graduate, post-secondary, college- university, household income, urban location, own home, provinces, and year dummies.

5. Conclusion

This study used longitudinal Canadian data from the National Population Health Survey (NPHS), covering a period of 1994-2006, to examine the impact of obesity on happiness. In order to control for unobserved individual-specific fixed effects, this study utilized the fixed effects method. The study found that obesity significantly reduces happiness for females, while it has an insignificant impact on happiness for males. The study further found that the negative impact of obesity on happiness is concentrated among females in prime working age group and also among females with a higher level of education. A robustness check using BMI as an independent variable also found similar results.

A limitation of the paper is that the BMI was calculated using self-reported rather than measured height and weight data. Studies suggest that subjects tend to overestimate height and underestimate weight and thus underestimate BMI (Stewart et al., 1987; Hill and Roberts, 1998). If data were available, then future studies may use the measured BMI variable and also may take into account the possible reverse causality issue. Further studies are needed to examine why obesity has a negative impact on some groups than on others.



Acknowledgements. I like to thank the anonymous referee for valuable comments. I also like to thank Dr. Charlie Victorino, Analyst, Prairie Research Data Centre, for his help during my work on this project at the Research Data Centre.

References

- Averett, S. and Korenman, S. (1996) The economic reality of the beauty myth, *Journal of Human Resources*, 31(2), 304-330.
- Baltagi, B. (2005) *Econometric analysis of panel data*, third edition, John Wiley & Sons Ltd., England.
- Cawley, J. (2004) The impact of obesity on wages, *Journal of Human Resources*, 39(2), 451-474.
- Greve, J. (2008) Obesity and labor market outcomes in Denmark, *Economics and Human Biology*, 6(3), 350–362.
- Health Canada (2003) *Canadian guidelines for body weight classification in adults*, Ottawa: Health Canada.
- Hill, A. and Robert, J. (1998) Body mass index: a comparison between self-reported and measured height and weight, *Journal of Public Health Medicine*, 20, 206-210.
- Katsaiti, M.S. (2012) Obesity and happiness, Applied Economics, 44(31), 4101-4114.
- OECD (2012) Obesity and the Economics of Prevention: Fit not Fat, [www.oecd.org/health/fitnotfat]
- Oswald, A.J. and Powdthavee, N. (2008) Does happiness adapt? A longitudinal study of disability with implications for economists and judges, *Journal of Public Economics*, 92(5-6), 1061-1077.
- Oswald, A. and Powdthavee, N. (2007) Obesity, unhappiness, and the challenge of affluence: theory and evidence, *Economic Journal*, 117, 441- 459.
- Stewart, A.W., Jackson, R.T., Ford, M.A. and Beaglehole, R. (1987) Underestimation of relative weight by use of self-reported height and weight, *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 125(1), 122-126.
- Stutzer, A. (2007) *Limited self-control, obesity and the loss of happiness*, IZA Discussion Papers 2925, Institute for the Study of Labor IZA.
- World Health Organization (2011) *Global status report on non-communicable diseases 2010*, Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization.

