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Abstract

Commelina benghalensis has been reported in folkloric medicine to poses
antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory and abortifacient properties. However, neither
phytochemical nor antimicrobial evaluation of this plant has been reported in
literature. The extracts from shade dried plant material yielded greater
percentages of extractives from the same amount of plant material than the
extracts from freshly collected plant material. Dry plant material produces 4,35%
for ethanol extract and 5.53% for aqueous extract > that from the fresh plant
material; 1,20% for ethanol extract and 1,24% for aqueous extract. The
phytochemical screen led to the discovery that Commelina benghalensis
contains 10 pharmacologically active chemical compounds and all these were
present in the ethanol extracts while only 5 of these were present in the
aqueous extracts thus rendering the ethanol extracts more pharmacologically
active than the aqueous extracts. The ethanol extracts are superior in
performance to the aqueous extracts. In both ethanolic extracts, activity against
Candida albicans > Escherichia coli > Staphylococcus aureus. The ethanol
extracts showed relatively comparable activities to gentamycin and nystatin
which are locally available standard antimicrobial agents on the market.
Aqueous extracts showed virtually no activity against the Staphylococcus
aureus, Escherichia coli and Candida albicans strains.
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Resumen

Commelina benghalensis es una planta herbácea que para la medicina
tradicional posee propiedades antimicrobianas, anti-inflamatorias y
abortificantes. No obstante, no se tienen informes en la literatura de
evaluaciones sobre el efecto antimicrobiano de sus extractos. Se evaluaron
extractos de etanol y agua de la planta total, fresca y secada a la sombra. Los
extractos de la planta seca aportaron los rendimientos de 4,35% para el alcohol
y 5,53% para el agua y la planta fresca 1,20% para el alcohol y 1,24 para el
agua. El tamizaje fitoquímico demuestra la posible presencia de 10 grupos
diferentes de productos naturales para los extractos de alcohol y 5 para los de
agua. Los extractos de alcohol por lo tanto tienen más posibilidades de poseer
actividad biológica de interés. Los extractos de alcohol son más activos como antimicrobianos siendo el orden de actividad *Candida albicans > Escherichia coli > Staphylococcus aureus*. La actividad es comparable a gentamicina y nystatin utilizados como controles positivos. Los extractos acuosos no mostraron actividad frente a los tres microorganismos evaluados.
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**Introduction**

In an attempt to preserve traditional medicine knowledge and its use for finding active chemical structures for medicine, it is necessary to have co-operative efforts between modern and traditional health workers and researchers (HAMILL *et al.*, 2000, 2003). In Uganda, as in other developing countries, traditional medicine occupies a central place among rural communities but enough information is not available about the chemical composition and real biological possibilities of most of the plants traditionally in use (TABUTI, 2003).

*Commelina benghalensis* es un anual o perenne herb con tallos carnosos que se ensenfian diariamente en los nódulos y crece como un seto en los países tropicales y subtropicales incluyendo oriente, occidente, central y sur de Africa. En Uganda, *Commelina benghalensis* en el Distrito de Kalangala es utilizada por los Baganda por machacar toda la planta fresca, como con un mortero y el pilón, o meñique y empacada en la piel o sobre una herida como una herida ecélica (HAMILL *et al.*, 2000, 2003). *Commelina benghalensis* ha un nivel mínimo de actividad fitoquímica o biológica reportada con resultados que parecen confirmarse; *Commelina benghalensis* L. ha sido colectado en la selva en Bulamogi y utilizado para tratar la locura por hervir las hojas en carne (TABUTI *et al.*, 2003).

En China, el plant es utilizado tradicionalmente como un diurético, febrifugo (para tratar la fiebre) y como un anti-inflamatorio. (HONG *et al.*, 2000); en Pakistán es utilizado como un pasto de ganado y también comido por humanos como una verdura. Es también utilizado medicinalmente pero como un laxante, para tratar inflamaciones de la piel así como la lepra (QAISER *et al.*, 1975). La gente de Nepal come las hojas jóvenes como una verdura, usa un pasmo de la planta para tratar quemaduras y el fluido de las raíces es utilizado para tratar la indigestión (MANANDHAR *et al.*, 2002).

**Materials and methods**

**Collection and preparation of dried plant material:** el material de planta fresco fue colectado de la selva y fue autenticado por el Departamento de Botánica de la Universidad de Mbarara de Ciencia y Tecnología (MUST). Fue colectado durante el mes de noviembre de 2008. El material de planta fue secado en la sombra y no en...
sun so as to protect the thermo labile components if present from being chemically transformed. The plant material was then reduced in size by crushing it into smaller pieces using the hand. After the plant material had been dried, it was kept in a proper container until the time of the extraction (Fig. 1).

**Collection and preparation of fresh plant material:** the plant material was collected from the wild during the morning of the day of extraction. It was then authenticated by the botany department of MUST. Size reduction was performed by chopping the plant material into smaller pieces using a chopping blade. The plant material was then placed in a suitable container, ready for use that very afternoon for the extraction process.

**Ethanol extraction (absolute alcohol):** 100g each of the dried plant material and fresh plant material were weighed using a weighing balance. The plant material was then chopped into smaller pieces using a pair of scissors and placed separately into round bottomed flasks. The flasks were then filled with absolute alcohol; 850ml for the dry plant material and 500ml for the fresh plant material. The dry plant material needed more alcohol because it had to first absorb it then have an additional volume for wetting and soaking. These were left to stand for a period of 1 week. Volumes of solvents and weights of raw material were recorded in Table 1.

![Image: Plant of Commelina benghalensis](image)

**Figure 1.** Plant of _Commelina benghalensis_

**Concentration of the extracts:** after 1 week, the two alcoholic extracts were obtained by sieving to separate the neat extract from the residue. This residue was then rinsed three times with portions of 50ml ethanol to ensure total extraction was done. The neat extracts were then distilled separately to mobil residues and dried to dryness in a stove at a temperature of 50°C to obtain a dried extracts.

The percentage of extractives was calculated. The results were then recorded in Table 2.
Aqueous extraction (distilled water)

Maceration: 58g of the dried plant material and 100g of the fresh plant material were weighed using a weighing balance. The plant material was then chopped into smaller pieces using a pair of scissors and placed separately into round bottomed flasks. The flasks were then filled with distilled water; 300 ml for the dry plant material and 200 mls for the fresh plant material. Again, the dry plant material needed more solvent (water) because it had to first absorb it then have an additional volume for wetting and soaking. These were left to stand for 1 day. Volumes of solvents and weights of raw material were recorded in Table 3.

Concentration of the extract: after 1 day, the two aqueous extracts were obtained by sieving to separate the neat extract from the residue. This residue was then rinsed three times with portions of 50ml distilled water to ensure total extraction was done. The neat extracts were then dried to dryness in a stove at a temperature of 50˚C to obtain dried extracts. The weight of the extract alone was determined and the percentage of extractives was calculated. The results were then recorded in Table 4.

Phytochemical screen: the four dried extracts were re-dissolved in 50ml of their respective solvents to obtain stock solutions for the phytochemical screen and antimicrobial assays. The strengths of the solutions were then calculated and recorded in tabular form (Table 5). In the phytochemical screen, 14 tests were performed on the ethanol extracts while 6 tests were performed on the aqueous extracts. For the extracts from the dried plant material, 2ml of the ethanol extract were placed in 14 test tubes and tested for the presence or absence of different chemical constituents. Similarly, 2ml of the aqueous extract were placed into 6 test tubes and tested for the presence or absence of different chemical constituents. The same procedure was followed for the extracts from the fresh plant material.

Reactions to be done with etanol extract

Ethanol extract is divided into fractions:

2 mL to test Fheling Reag. For reducing agents determination.

2 mL for foaming test (saponins).

2 mL for ninhidrine test (amino acids)

2 mL to test phenols and tannins with ferric chloride solution.

2 mL to test quinones with Borntrager assay.
6 mL divided into 3 portions to test alkaloids with Dragendorff, Mayer and Wagner reagents.

2 mL for resin assay.

2 mL to determine lactone ring with Baljet reagent.

2 mL to determine triterpenoids and steroids with Liebermann-Burchard test.

2 mL to test flavonoids through out Shinoda assay.

**Reactions to be done with aqueous extract**

6 mL divided into 3 portions to test alkaloids with Dragendorff, Mayer and Wagner reagents.

2 mL to test phenols and tannins with ferric chloride solution.

2 mL to test flavonoids through out Shinoda assay.

2 mL to test Fehling Reag. For reducing agents determination.

2 mL for foaming test (saponins).

2 mL for mucilage assay.

1-2 drops for bitter and astringent principles assay.

The results obtained were then recorded in Table 6.

**Antimicrobial assay on the plant extracts**

Test microorganisms were obtained from the Department of Microbiology of Mbarara University of Science and Technology and were: *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC25923), *Candida albicans* (was an isolate from a patient) and *Escherichia coli* (ATCC 25922). Control antibiotics (Gentamycin for the bacteria and Nystatin suspension for the fungi) were used.

**Preparation of stock solutions**

The stock solutions of crude extracts from ethanol and water were made by dissolving 0.5g of the dry extracts in 1ml of a suitable solvent. From the stock solutions serial dilutions were made to obtain the test solutions of concentration 1/2, 1/4, 1/8, 1/16 and 1/32, 1/64, 1/128.

**Procedure for antimicrobial tests**

The volumes of extracts that remained after the phytochemical screening were used for the antimicrobial assay. The stock solutions of crude extracts from
ethanol and water were made by dissolving 1ml of the dry extracts in 1ml of a suitable solvent. From the stock solutions serial dilutions were made to obtain the test solutions of concentration 1/2, 1/4, 1/8, 1/16 and 1/32, 1/64, 1/128. The antimicrobial assay was performed by the agar well diffusion method. The agar was melted, poured on to the Petri dish and left to solidify. Using sterile swabs, the organisms were smeared on the solidified agar by streaking.

*Candida albicans* was incubated on Saboroud Dextrose Agar (SDA), *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli* were grown on Mueller- Hinton agar (MHA) which had previously been streaked with microorganisms at a density adjusted to 0.5 McFarland standards (108 colony-forming units [CFU]/ml).

A well was prepared in the plates with the help of cork-borer (0.03cm). 100μl of different concentrations of the test compound was introduced into the wells. The plates were incubated for 24 hours at 37°C. *Candida albicans* was incubated for seventy two hours at 27°C.

For each microbial species, negative control was maintained where 100μl of ethanol alone without the drug was used for ethanol extract. Also, conventional drugs were used for positive controls. In the central hole of the different Petri dishes, the control was put for each organism, Gentamycin for *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus* and Nystatin for *Candida albicans*.

The results were recorded by measuring the diameter of the zones of growth inhibition surrounding the wells (cylinders). The net effect of the drug extracts was obtained by subtracting the diameter of the zone of inhibition due ethanol alone from the diameter of the zone of inhibition due to the drug extract plus ethanol. However for the aqueous extracts, there was no need for subtracting the zones of inhibition due to ethanol. This procedure was repeated for all the 4 plant extracts and the results obtained were recorded.

**Results**

Ethanol extraction (absolute alcohol)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Dry plant material</th>
<th>Fresh plant material</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight of plant material (g)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume of ethanol(mls)</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Table 2.** Showing concentration of the ethanol extracts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Dry plant material</th>
<th>Fresh plant material</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net weight of the dried extract (g)</td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td>1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of extractives (%)</td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td>1.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Aqueous extraction (distilled water)**

**Table 3.** Showing maceration of the aqueous extracts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Dry plant material</th>
<th>Fresh plant material</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight of plant material (g)</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume of water (mls)</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4.** Showing concentration of the aqueous extracts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Dry plant material</th>
<th>Fresh plant material</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net weight of the dried extract (g)</td>
<td>5.53</td>
<td>1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of extractives (%)</td>
<td>5.53</td>
<td>1.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Phytochemical screen**

**Table 5.** Calculation of the strengths in % w/v of the four extracts after redissolving in 50mls of the respective solvents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extract</th>
<th>Dry ethanol</th>
<th>Fresh ethanol</th>
<th>Dry aqueous</th>
<th>Fresh aqueous</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calculation (50/100) x 4.35</td>
<td>2.175</td>
<td>0.600</td>
<td>2.765</td>
<td>0.620</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 6.** Showing phytochemical screening for chemical constituents in the dry and fresh plant extracts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assay / test/reagent</th>
<th>Chemical groups</th>
<th>Dry plant extract</th>
<th>Fresh plant extract</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ethanol</td>
<td>aqueous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sudan</td>
<td>Oils and fats</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dragendorff’s</td>
<td>Alkaloids</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mayer’s</td>
<td>Alkaloids</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wagner’s</td>
<td>Alkaloids</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baljet</td>
<td>Lactones and coumarins</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lieberman-Burchard</td>
<td>Triterpenoids and steroids</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resin</td>
<td>Resins</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fehling’s</td>
<td>Reducing agents</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron (III) chloride</td>
<td>Phenols and tannins</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ninhydrine  | Amino acids  | +  | ++  | ++  | ++
Borntrager’s Quinones  | +++, -   | ++  | -   |
Shinoda Flavonoids  | ++  | -   | +   |
Taste Astringents  | +  | +   | +   | +
Foam saponins  | +  | +++  | ++  | +++

Key: (+) means the result is positive; (++) very positive; (+++) extremely positive ;(-) absent.

Antimicrobial assay in Tables 7-10.

Table 7. Showing “in vitro” antimicrobial activity of the ethanol extract (2.175% w/v) from dried plant material

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Micro organism</th>
<th>Diameter of zone of growth inhibition of controls and serial dilutions (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gentamycin or Nystatin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Escherichia coli ATCC 25922</strong></td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 25923</strong></td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Candida albicans</strong></td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8. Showing “in vitro” antimicrobial activity of the ethanol extract (0.6% w/v) from fresh plant material

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Micro organism</th>
<th>Diameter of zone of growth inhibition of controls and serial dilutions (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gentamycin or Nystatin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Escherichia coli ATCC 25922</strong></td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 25923</strong></td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Candida albicans</strong></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9. Showing “in vitro” antimicrobial activity of the aqueous extract (2.765% w/v) from dry plant material

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Micro organism</th>
<th>Diameter of zone of growth inhibition of controls and serial dilutions (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gentamycin or Nystatin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Escherichia coli ATCC 25922</strong></td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 25923</strong></td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Candida albicans</strong></td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 10. Showing “in vitro” antimicrobial activity of the aqueous extract (0.62% w/v) from fresh plant material

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Micro organism</th>
<th>Diameter of zone of growth inhibition of controls and serial dilutions (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gentamycin or Nystatin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Escherichia coli</em> ATCC 25922</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Staphylococcus aureus</em> ATCC 25923</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Candida albicans</em></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The serial dilutions of absolute alcohol gave no zones of growth inhibition for all the three microorganisms. Hence the zones of inhibition observed for the serial dilutions are due to the plant extract alone.

Discussion

In African traditional medicine practice, southwestern Uganda inclusive, the traditional healers usually use the extracts from the freshly collected plant material to prepare their concoctions. However, the results obtained above indicate that the yield from extracts of the dried plant material; 4.35% for ethanol extract and 5.53% for aqueous extract is far greater than that from the fresh plant material; 1.20% for ethanol extract and 1.24% for aqueous extract simply because the quantity of water already present in the fresh plant material reduces the true weight of the material as compared to that of dried plant material. Only about 1/5 of the true weight of fresh material is used for the extraction. Hence using dried plant raw materials is more efficient for the extraction with ethanol because they contain trace amounts of water in their cells or tissues. It is also important to note that the yield from the aqueous extracts; 5.53% for dried plant material and 1.24% for the fresh plant material is far greater than that from the ethanol extracts; 4.53% for dried plant material and 1.20% for fresh plant material, thus indicating that perhaps water is a better solvent if one is aiming at obtaining large quantities of extractives. However, a high yield of extractives does not mean a higher biological activity.

From Table 6, it will be noted that the ethanol extracts give more positive tests for different chemical constituents; 11 for the ethanol extract of dried plant material and 12 for the ethanol extract of fresh plant material as opposed to 5 for each of the aqueous extracts of dried plant material and fresh plant material. The traditional healers make use of water primarily as a solvent but this study has shown that the ethanol extract of *Commelina benghalensis* contains more of the pharmacologically active secondary metabolites. This is because most of these secondary metabolites being organic in nature are soluble in ethanol
which is an organic (moderately polar) solvent and not in water, a more polar solvent. Hence successful extraction of phytochemical compounds from plant material is largely dependant on the type of the solvent used in the extraction procedure.

The results of phytochemical screening from Table 6 show that *Commelina benghalensis* contains 10 main secondary metabolites of pharmacological importance namely alkaloids, lactones and coumarins, triterpenes and steroids, resins, reducing agents, phenols and tannins, amino acids, quinones, flavonoids and saponins. No other group of natural products has contributed to medicines and pharmaceutical preparations than alkaloids. Diterpenoid alkaloids, commonly isolated from the plants of the Ranunculaceae, or buttercup family, are commonly found to have antimicrobial properties (OMULOKOLI et al., 1997). As a group, alkaloids display an exceptionally wide array of biological activities and a wide distribution. Flavonoids have important dietary significance because; being phenolic compounds they are strongly antioxidant and this probably explains why in Pakistan and Nepal the young leaves of *Commelina benghalensis* have been eaten as vegetables. Since they are known to be synthesized by plants in response to microbial infection, it should not be surprising that flavonoids have been found “in vitro” to be effective antimicrobial substances against a wide array of microorganisms (DIXON et al., 1983). Coumarin was found “in vitro” to inhibit *Candida albicans* (THORNES et al., 1997) and this probably explains why the ethanol extract from fresh plant material of *Commelina benghalensis* that contained coumarins was more active against *Candida albicans* than the other plant extracts. Many human physiological activities, such as stimulation of phagocytic cells, host-mediated tumor activity, and a wide range of anti-infective actions, have been assigned to tannins (HASLAM et al., 1996).

Terpenes or terpenoids are active against bacteria, fungi, viruses, and protozoa and it has been reported that 60% of essential oil derivatives examined to date were inhibitory to fungi while 30% inhibited bacteria (CHAURASIA et al., 1977).

Tables 7I and 8 indicate that serial dilutions of the ethanol extracts give a progressive reduction in the zones of inhibition and this is due to a reduction in the concentration of the chemical constituents.

From Table 7, comparing the dilution of 1/32 with the neat extract, *Escherichia coli* gives activity of 50%, *Staphylococcus aureus* 56% and *Candida albicans* 91%. Comparing the neat extract with the standard drug, *Escherichia coli* gives activity of 40%, *Staphylococcus aureus* 37.5% and *Candida albicans* 54.5%. This indicates that the activity of the extract against *Candida albicans > Escherichia coli > Staphylococcus aureus*. Hence the ethanol extract from the
dried plant material of *Commelina benghalensis* is mostly active against *Candida albicans*.

From Table 8, comparing the most dilute solution (1/128) with the neat extract, *Escherichia coli* gives activity of 47%, *Staphylococcus aureus* 63.6% and *Candida albicans* 52.6%. Comparing the neat extract with the standard drug, *Escherichia coli* gives activity of 42%, *Staphylococcus aureus* 24% and *Candida albicans* 95%. This indicates that the activity of the extract against *Candida albicans > Escherichia coli > Staphylococcus aureus.* Hence the ethanol extract from the fresh plant material of *Commelina benghalensis* is mostly active against *Candida albicans*. The very high activity in comparison to the standard drug is probably because it is the only extract of the four that contains coumarins which have been found to inhibit *Candida albicans* “in vitro” (THORNES et al., 1997).

Tables 9 and 10 indicate that serial dilutions of the aqueous extracts lose their activity completely. This is because the aqueous extracts have very few chemical constituents (only 5) as seen earlier and diluting them profoundly diminishes their pharmacological properties.

From Table 9, it will be noted that the activity of the aqueous extract from dried plant material of *Commelina benghalensis* is 24% for *Escherichia coli* and 27% for *Staphylococcus aureus*. Hence the activity of the extract against *Staphylococcus aureus > Escherichia coli*.

No activity was observed against *Candida albicans*. Hence the active compounds against *Candida albicans* were not extracted by water and activity against bacteria reduced by 40-50% and was only present in high concentrations of the extract.

Table 10 indicates that the activity of the aqueous extract from fresh plant material of *Commelina benghalensis* against *Staphylococcus aureus* is only 25% of the standard drug. No activity was observed against *Candida albicans* and *Escherichia coli*.

In general terms, results from the antimicrobial assay indicate that the ethanol extracts are superior in performance to the aqueous extracts. This has been attributed to the better solubility of active components in ethanol, an organic solvent. The ethanol extracts showed relatively comparable activities to Gentamycin and Nystatin which are locally available standard antimicrobial agents on the market. Ethanol extracts of the plant allow extraction of chemical components that are active against *Candida albicans* and less active against *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus*. These chemical compounds are not extracted with water but with ethanol. So the ethanol extract is the best if the
plant is going to be used for antimicrobial purposes. The traditional claims attributed to this herbal drug by the local people for the treatment of wounds, burns, conjunctivitis and ear infections are justified by the different degrees of antimicrobial activities exhibited by the aqueous extracts against *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus*.

These observations can be rationalized in terms of:

- The polarity of compounds being extracted by the solvent.
- The intrinsic bioavailability of extracted compounds.
- The ability of these compounds to dissolve or diffuse in the different media used in the assay.

It is also very important to note that in African traditional medicine practice, the traditional healers usually use the extracts from the freshly collected plant material to prepare their concoctions. However, the results obtained in this study indicate that the yield from extracts of the dried plant material; 4.35% for ethanol extract and 5.53% for aqueous extract is far greater than that from the fresh plant material; 1.20% for ethanol extract and 1.24% for aqueous extract simply because the quantity of water already present in the fresh plant material reduces the true weight of the material as compared to that of dried plant material. Only about 1/5 of the true weight of fresh material is used for the extraction. Hence using the shade dried plant material yields more extractives than using freshly collected plant material.
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