

## Shape Preserving Rational Cubic Interpolation

MUHAMMAD SARFRAZ

*Department of Mathematics, University of the Punjab, Quaid-i-Azam Campus  
Lahore-54590, Pakistan*

AMS Subject Class. (1991):65D, 41A

Received March 12, 1993

### 0. INTRODUCTION

A rational cubic function, to produce a spline with shape control, was described and analysed in [17]. It provides a  $C^2$  computationally simpler alternative to the exponential spline-under-tension [1, 10, 13, 18]. Regarding shape characteristics, it has two shape control parameters associated with each interval which can be used to change the shape of the curve, both locally and globally, in a variety of ways. This rational spline scheme and other similar schemes like  $GC^2$  Nielson's scheme [4], in general, do not preserve the shape of the given data. To achieve the shape preservation characteristics, the user needed to play with the shape parameters on distinct areas of the curves which, of course, is not a convenient job. In the last decade the problem of shape preserving interpolation has been considered by a number of authors. For example, monotonicity and/or convexity preserving scalar and parametric curves have been discussed in [2, 3, 5, 8, 9, 11, 12]. Their authors use rational quadratic/cubic/rational cubic functions in the theory. For brevity, the reader is being referred to [6, 7, 14, 15, 16] for some other shape preserving schemes.

Being an important problem of the Scientists, it is useful to use the  $C^1$  interpolation in [17] and develop a scheme so that the shape of the data is preserved. This paper is meant to give the parametric description of the shape preserving curves and begins with some preliminaries about the rational cubic interpolant. The shape parameters in the description of the interpolant are utilized and bounds are constructed on them, to produce pleasing graphical results, in Section 2. These bounds are dependent on the given data. The description of the tangent vectors, which are consistent and dependent on the given data, is also made in Section 2.

1.  $C^1$  PIECEWISE RATIONAL CUBIC HERMITE INTERPOLANT

A piecewise rational cubic Hermite parametric function  $P \in C^1[t_0, t_n]$ , with parameters  $v_i, w_i, i = 0, \dots, n-1$ , is defined for  $t \in [t_i, t_{i+1}]$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, n-1$ , by

$$P(t) = P_i(t; v_i, w_i) = \frac{(1-\theta)^3 F_{i+1} + \theta(1-\theta)^2(v_i F_i + h_i D_i) + \theta^2(1-\theta)(w_i F_{i+1} - h_i D_{i+1}) + \theta^3 F_i}{(1-\theta)^3 + v_i \theta(1-\theta)^2 + w_i \theta^2(1-\theta) + \theta^3} \quad (1)$$

where the notations  $F_i$  and  $D_i \in \mathbb{R}^N$  are, respectively, the data values and the first derivative values at the knots  $t_i$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, n$  with  $t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n$ ,  $h_i = t_i - t_{i-1}$ ,  $\theta = (t - t_{i-1})/h_i$  and  $v_i, w_i \geq 0$ .

The function  $P(t)$  has the Hermite interpolation properties that

$$P(t_i) = F_i \quad \text{and} \quad P^{(1)}(t_i) = D_i, \quad i = 0, \dots, n. \quad (2)$$

The  $v_i$  and  $w_i$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, n-1$ , will be used so that the shape of the data is preserved. The case  $v_i = w_i = 3$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, n-1$ , is that of cubic Hermite interpolation and the restriction  $v_i, w_i \geq 0$  ensures a positive denominator in (1).

For  $v_i, w_i \neq 0$ , (1) can be written in the form

$$P_i(t; v_i, w_i) = R_0(\theta; v_i, w_i) F_{i-1} + R_1(\theta; v_i, w_i) V_i + R_2(\theta; v_i, w_i) W_i + R_3(\theta; v_i, w_i) F_i, \quad (3)$$

where

$$V_i = F_{i-1} + h_i D_{i-1}/v_i, \quad W_i = F_i - h_i D_i/w_i, \quad (4)$$

and  $R_j(\theta; v_i, w_i)$ ,  $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$ , are appropriately defined rational functions with

$$\sum_{j=0}^3 R_j(\theta; v_i, w_i) = 1. \quad (5)$$

Moreover, these functions are rational Bernstein-Bézier weight functions which are non-negative for  $v_i, w_i > 0$ . Thus in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $N > 1$  and for  $v_i, w_i > 0$ , we have:

**PROPOSITION 1.** (*Convex hull property*) *The curve segment  $P_i$  lies in the convex hull of the control points  $\{F_{i-1}, V_i, W_i, F_i\}$ .*

It is well known that rational Bézier curves enjoy the variation diminishing property:

**PROPOSITION 2.** (*Variation diminishing property*) *The curve segment  $P_i$  crosses any (hyper) plane of dimension  $N-1$  no more times than it crosses the*

control polygon joining  $F_{i-1}, V_i, W_i, F_i$ .

A proof of Proposition 2 can be found in [17].

## 2. DERIVATIVE APPROXIMATIONS AND THE SHAPE CONSTRAINTS

In order to construct  $C^1$  shape preserving rational cubic interpolation curve  $P(t)$  defined by (3) the first thing is to determine the tangent vectors  $D_i$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, n$ , at interpolating points, then constraints on the shape parameters will be imposed appropriately. Let

$$\left. \begin{aligned} F_i &= (x_i, y_i) \\ D_i &= (D_i^x, D_i^y) \\ \Delta_i &= (\Delta_i^x, \Delta_i^y) \end{aligned} \right\}, \quad (6)$$

where

$$\Delta_i^x = (x_i - x_{i-1})/h_i, \quad \Delta_i^y = (y_i - y_{i-1})/h_i. \quad (7)$$

Let

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \beta_{1,i} &= \Delta_i^x \Delta_{i+1}^y - \Delta_i^y \Delta_{i+1}^x \\ \beta_{2,i} &= D_i^x \Delta_i^y - D_i^y \Delta_i^x \\ \beta_{3,i} &= \Delta_i^x D_{i+1}^y - \Delta_i^y D_{i+1}^x \\ \beta_{4,i} &= D_i^x D_{i+1}^y - D_i^y D_{i+1}^x \end{aligned} \right\}. \quad (8)$$

Let  $\Delta_i = (F_i - F_{i-1})/h_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , then the tangent vectors  $D_i$ 's at  $t_i$ 's will be defined as:

$$D_i = \alpha_i \Delta_i + \beta_i \Delta_{i+1}, \quad \alpha_i, \beta_i > 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1, \quad (9)$$

where  $\alpha_i = 0$  if and only if  $F_i, F_{i+1}, F_{i+2}$  are collinear and  $\beta_i = 0$  if and only if  $F_{i-2}, F_{i-1}, F_i$  are collinear. The  $\alpha_i$ 's and  $\beta_i$ 's are defined as follows:

For an open curve define

$$\alpha_i = |\beta_{1,i+2}| / (|\beta_{1,i}| + |\beta_{1,i+2}|) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_i = 1 - \alpha_i, \quad i = 2, \dots, n-2. \quad (10)$$

The tangent vectors  $D_0, D_1, D_{n-1}$  and  $D_n$  can be determined by the formulae given in [6].

For a closed curve,  $F_i$ 's are considered cyclic, i.e.,  $F_{i+n} = F_i$ , for all  $i$ . Thus formulae (10) are extended for  $i = 0, \dots, n$ .

Now we come to the constraints on the shape parameters. It was shown in [17] that these shape parameters control the curve in different ways provided the derivatives are bounded. Our problem is now to seek for appropriate minimal bounds on  $v_i$ 's and  $w_i$ 's so that the resultant curve preserves the shape

of the data.

If the control polygon joining  $F_{i-2}, F_{i-1}, F_i, F_{i+1}$  is convex, then so is the curve segment (1). In case the control polygon joining  $F_{i-2}, F_{i-1}, F_i, F_{i+1}$  is not convex, then the curve segment (1) will also be not convex and it will have only one inflection point but not any singular point or any extra inflection point. If  $F_{i-2}, F_{i-1}$  and  $F_i$  (or  $F_{i-1}, F_i$  and  $F_{i+1}$ ) are collinear, then the curve segment (1) degenerates into a linear segment.

Consider the equation

$$\Delta_i = \hat{\alpha}_i D_{i-1} + \hat{\beta}_i D_i. \quad (11)$$

It can be solved for  $\hat{\alpha}_i$ 's and  $\hat{\beta}_i$ 's, if we are given curve segment (1). Obviously there are following four possible cases:

CASE 1. If  $\hat{\alpha}_i \hat{\beta}_i$  is positive, then their solution determines the constraints on shape parameters as follows:

$$v_i \geq \beta_{4,i} / \beta_{2,i}, \quad w_i \geq \beta_{4,i} / \beta_{3,i}. \quad (12)$$

CASE 2. If  $\hat{\alpha}_i \hat{\beta}_i$  is negative, then solution will be determined by replacing  $D_{i-1}$  by  $\tilde{D}_{i-1}$ , where  $\tilde{D}_{i-1}$  is the reflection in the line containing  $F_{i-1}$  and  $F_i$ . The constraints on shape parameters are then obtained as follows:

$$v_i = \hat{\beta}_{4,i} / \beta_{2,i}, \quad w_i = \hat{\beta}_{4,i} / \beta_{3,i}. \quad (13)$$

CASE 3. If  $\hat{\alpha}_i \hat{\beta}_i$  vanishes, then the constraints are  $v_i = 3 = w_i$ .

CASE 4. Fourth possibility is, obviously, that there is no solution for  $\hat{\alpha}_i$  and  $\hat{\beta}_i$ . This happens when the tangent vectors  $D_{i-1}$  and  $D_i$  are collinear. The curve segment (1) will have only one inflection provided these derivatives are in the same direction and hence the user can utilize the values in (13). In case of opposite direction but same absolute value, the values  $v_i = 1 = w_i$  will produce a conic segment.

### 3. SOME REMARKS

This section is devoted for some very important remarks about the theory developed in Section 2. These remarks are as follows:

*Remark 1.* In the formulae (12) and (13), the shape parameters  $v_i, w_i$  have been determined by looking at the components  $\beta_{1,i}, \beta_{2,i}, \beta_{3,i}, \beta_{4,i}$ , of the vector products  $\Delta_i \times \Delta_{i+1}, D_i \times \Delta_i, \Delta_i \times D_{i+1}, D_i \times D_{i+1}$  respectively. It should be

noted that the signs of these vector quantities are significant for the shape construction of the picture which has been explained above.

*Remark 2.* The restriction  $v_i = w_i = r_i$  (say), in Case 1 above, recovers the convexity preserving method of Sarfraz in [14] as the constraints

$$r_i \geq \max \{ \beta_{4,i} / \beta_{2,i}, \beta_{4,i} / \beta_{3,i} \}, \quad (14)$$

which are similar to those in [14], can be obtained from (12).

*Remark 3.* The scheme can also be considered for the data when it arises from a function, i.e., for the scalar case. The restriction  $v_i = w_i = r_i$  (say) is also required in this case and it can be considered as an application of interpolation scheme  $(t, p(t))$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  to the values  $(t_i, F_i) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and derivatives  $(1, D_i) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, n$ . It can also be noted that  $\Delta_i = (1, \Delta_i)$ . Therefore the convexity constraints (12), in this case, are respectively

$$r_i \geq \max \left\{ \frac{D_{i+1} - D_i}{\Delta_i - D_i}, \frac{D_{i+1} - D_i}{D_{i+1} - \Delta_i} \right\}. \quad (15)$$

These constraints are same as in [3]. Hence the convexity preserving method of Delbourgo and Gregory [3], for scalar curves can be obtained as a very special case. Similarly, monotonicity of [3] can also be achieved as the special case of this scheme.

#### 4. CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS

$C^1$  rational cubic Hermite interpolant with two shape parameters has been utilized to obtain a  $C^1$  convexity and/or monotonicity preserving plane curve method. Data dependent shape constraints are derived on the shape parameters to assure the shape preservation of the data. Choice of the tangent vectors, which are consistent and dependent on the data, has also been made. The shape preserving scheme, in this paper, not only recovers the curve methods in [3,14], but also gives alternative to the methods in [5,6,7,11].

The shape preserving scheme has also been looked at the aspect of producing conic sections. The constraints for parabolic, hyperbolic and elliptic arcs can be discovered as a subsequent work, which is under consideration of the author. Moreover, circular arcs for this rational interpolation scheme can also be investigated.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author thanks for the referee's highly valuable comments and suggestions in the improvement of this paper.

## REFERENCES

1. CLINE, A., Curve fitting in one and two dimensions using splines under tension, *Comm. ACM* **17** (1974), 218–223.
2. DELBOURGO, R. AND GREGORY, J.A.,  $C^2$  rational quadratic spline interpolation to monotonic data, *IMA J. Num. Anal.* **3** (1983), 141–152.
3. DELBOURGO, R. AND GREGORY, J.A., Shape preserving piecewise rational interpolation, *SIAM J. Sci. Stat. Comput.* **6** (1985), 967–976.
4. FOLEY, T.A., Interpolation with interval and point tension controls using cubic weighted  $\nu$ -splines, *ACM Trans. Math. Softw.* **13** (1987), 68–96
5. FRITSCH, F.S. AND CARLSON, R.E., Monotone piecewise cubic interpolation, *SIAM J. Num. Anal.* **17** (1980), 238–246.
6. GOODMAN, T.N.T. AND UNSWORTH, K., Shape preserving interpolation by parametrically defined curves, *SIAM J. Num. Anal.* **25** (1988), 1–13.
7. GOODMAN, T.N.T. AND UNSWORTH, K., Shape preserving interpolation by curvature continuous parametric curves, *Comput. Aided Geom. Des.* **5** (1988), 323–340.
8. GREGORY, J.A., Shape preserving splines interpolation, *Computer Aided Design* **18** (1986), 53–57.
9. MCALLISTER, D.F., PASSOW, E. AND ROULIER, J.A., Algorithms for computing shape preserving splines interpolation to data, *Math. Comp.* **31** (1977), 717–725.
10. NIELSON, G.M., Some piecewise polynomial alternatives to splines under tension, in Barnhill, R.F., eds., *Comput. Aided Geom. Des.*, Academic Press, New York, 1974.
11. PASSOW, E., Monotone quadratic spline interpolation, *J. Approx. Theory* **19** (1977), 143–147.
12. PASSOW, E. AND ROULIER, J.A., Monotone and convex spline interpolation, *SIAM J. Num. Anal.* **14** (1977), 904–909.
13. PREUSS, S., Properties of splines in tension, *J. Approx. Theory* **17** (1976), 86–96.
14. SARFRAZ, M., Convexity preserving piecewise interpolation for planar curves, *The Bulletin of the Korean Mathematical Society* **29**(2) (1992), 193–200.
15. SARFRAZ, M., Monotonicity preserving interpolation with tension control using quadratic by linear functions, to appear in *Journal of Scientific Research* **20**(1 & 2) (1992).
16. SARFRAZ, M., Monotonicity preserving piecewise rational interpolation, to appear in *The P.U. Journal of Mathematics* **26**(1 & 2) (1993)
17. SARFRAZ, M., A rational cubic spline with biased, point and interval tension, *Computer & Graphics* **16**(4) (1992), 427–430.
18. SCHWEIKERT, D., An interpolation curve using splines in tension, *J. Math. and Phys.* **45** (1966), 312–317.