Banco Central de Chile Documentos de Trabajo

Central Bank of Chile Working Papers

N° 307

Diciembre 2004

GENERAL EQUILIBRIUM MODELS: AN OVERVIEW

Rómulo Chumacero

Klaus Schmidt-Hebbel

Working Papers in PDF format can be downloaded free of charge from:

La serie de Documentos de Trabajo en versión PDF puede obtenerse gratis en la dirección electrónica: <u>http://www.bcentral.cl/esp/estpub/estudios/dtbc</u>. Existe la posibilidad de solicitar una copia impresa con un costo de \$500 si es dentro de Chile y US\$12 si es para fuera de Chile. Las solicitudes se pueden hacer por fax: (56-2) 6702231 o a través de correo electrónico: <u>bcch@bcentral.cl</u>.

<u>http://www.bcentral.cl/eng/stdpub/studies/workingpaper</u>. Printed versions can be ordered individually for US\$12 per copy (for orders inside Chile the charge is Ch\$500.) Orders can be placed by fax: (56-2) 6702231 or e-mail: <u>bcch@bcentral.cl</u>.



CENTRAL BANK OF CHILE

La serie Documentos de Trabajo es una publicación del Banco Central de Chile que divulga los trabajos de investigación económica realizados por profesionales de esta institución o encargados por ella a terceros. El objetivo de la serie es aportar al debate temas relevantes y presentar nuevos enfoques en el análisis de los mismos. La difusión de los Documentos de Trabajo sólo intenta facilitar el intercambio de ideas y dar a conocer investigaciones, con carácter preliminar, para su discusión y comentarios.

La publicación de los Documentos de Trabajo no está sujeta a la aprobación previa de los miembros del Consejo del Banco Central de Chile. Tanto el contenido de los Documentos de Trabajo como también los análisis y conclusiones que de ellos se deriven, son de exclusiva responsabilidad de su o sus autores y no reflejan necesariamente la opinión del Banco Central de Chile o de sus Consejeros.

The Working Papers series of the Central Bank of Chile disseminates economic research conducted by Central Bank staff or third parties under the sponsorship of the Bank. The purpose of the series is to contribute to the discussion of relevant issues and develop new analytical or empirical approaches in their analyses. The only aim of the Working Papers is to disseminate preliminary research for its discussion and comments.

Publication of Working Papers is not subject to previous approval by the members of the Board of the Central Bank. The views and conclusions presented in the papers are exclusively those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the position of the Central Bank of Chile or of the Board members.

Documentos de Trabajo del Banco Central de Chile Working Papers of the Central Bank of Chile Agustinas 1180 Teléfono: (56-2) 6702475; Fax: (56-2) 6702231 Documento de Trabajo Nº 307 Working Paper N° 307

GENERAL EQUILIBRIUM MODELS: AN OVERVIEW

Rómulo Chumacero Economista Senior Gerencia de Investigación Económica Banco Central de Chile Klaus Schmidt-Hebbel Gerente de Investigación Económica Banco Central de Chile

Resumen

Este artículo revisa la literatura sobre modelos de equilibrio general relevantes para la economía chilena, y las versiones revisadas de los trabajos presentados en la Conferencia del Banco Central de Chile sobre Modelos de Equilibrio General (MEG) para la economía chilena, que se publicarán en un libro del mismo nombre en inglés (editado por Rómulo Chumacero y Klaus Schmidt-Hebbel, 2005). El artículo proporciona una breve reseña del desarrollo y la aplicación de tres familias de MEG: MEG macroeconómicos, modelos de equilibrio general computable y modelos de generaciones traslapadas. Además se resume el alcance y los principales resultados de los doce modelos de equilibrio general incluidos en el volumen.

Abstract

This article reviews the literature on general equilibrium models, relevant to the Chilean economy, and revised versions of the papers presented at the Conference of General Equilibrium Models for the Chilean Economy organized by the Central Bank of Chile, that will be published in a book by the same name (edited by Rómulo Chumacero and Klaus Schmidt-Hebbel, 2005). This introductory chapter provides a brief overview of the development and application of three families of GEMs: macroeconomic GEMs, computable general equilibrium models, and overlapping generations models. We also summarize the scope and main results of the twelve GEMs that comprise the volume.

We thank Norman Loayza for useful comments and suggestions. Elías Albagli and David Rappoport provided efficient assistance.

E-mails: rchumace@bcentral.cl; kschmidt@bcentral.cl.

INTRODUCTION

The development of general equilibrium models (GEMs) goes back a long way in economics, both at a theoretical level and as a tool for empirical analysis. General equilibrium theory and modeling have proved to be relevant and useful for understanding economic interactions between markets and agents in complex modern economies and the determination of prices and quantities as a result of the latter interactions. Applied GEMs have been developed and used to address a wide range of theoretical questions and empirical/policy issues, in the fields of macroeconomics, international trade, public finance, and environmental analysis, among others. GEMs are used for many purposes, including simulation of policy changes and response to exogenous shocks, as well as forecasting (mostly macroeconomic) variables.

Following international experience, general equilibrium analysis and modeling are increasingly applied as tools that assist in better understanding the Chilean economy. GEMs are developed and used in Chile on a wide range of policy questions and areas, including macroeconomic, trade, environmental, and tax policy. The purpose of this book-the first of its kind in Chile–is to publish a representative collection of recent GEM research and applications that illustrate the usefulness and relevance of frontier general equilibrium tools to better understand the aggregate structure and policy response of the Chilean economy. They should be of interest to academics and policymakers in Chile and elsewhere for several reasons.

First, Chile is known for implementing bold and innovative economic policies. Chile's political bodies, its leaders, and its society at large, have seriously considered policy reforms proposed by economists. The profession has returned this trust by providing careful assessments of policies, formalizing and quantifying their potential effects by using the types of models presented in this book.

Second, this volume publishes a variety of methodological choices that are available to address key issues. The thoughtful combination of empirical and theoretical considerations informs the user about model strengths and weaknesses and the types of questions that they are able to address.

Third, the volume also presents some novel methodological contributions to the empirical and theoretical literature on general equilibrium models. Issues such as how to better characterize the dynamic interactions of agents or how to combine theoretical and econometric models, along with a presentation of the limitations of some of the modeling choices available are of interest to a wide readership with academic and applied interests.

Finally, by putting together a broad sample of frontier GEM research and applications on policy issues, we expect to motivate future research on these issues and on new policy areas and questions not previously subject to general equilibrium modeling.

What is a GEM and where are the boundaries between a GEM and a non-general equilibrium model? Here it should suffice to say that we limit our review to models that deal with interactions between and outcomes of economic decisions by different aggregations of agents and in different markets, representing a dominant part of an economy. However we would like to note that in practice these limits are diffuse and therefore our decisions about inclusion or exclusion of particular models in this review can be arbitrary.

The next section provides a brief overview of the development and application of three families of GEMs that are of relevance to this book. We then refer selectively to GEMs developed previously for Chile. Against this historical background, we summarize the scope and main results of the GEMs presented in each of the chapters of this book. We close with concluding remarks.

1. GENERAL EQUILIBRIUM MODELING

General equilibrium analysis marks an old tradition in economics that started in the nineteenth century. General equilibrium modeling developed in the inter-war period and was spurred by mathematical and computational advances since the 1960s. Next we describe the international development of three important families of models: macroeconomic GEMs, computable general equilibrium (CGE) models, and overlapping generations (OLG) models. Also here we note that the boundaries between families of models – and our decision about including or excluding particular models – can be arbitrary.¹

1.2 Macroeconomic GEMs

Analyzing aggregate economic phenomena from a general equilibrium perspective began with Walras's publication of *Elements* in the late nineteenth century (Walras, 1874). However, it was not until Keynes' *General Theory* that general equilibrium analysis was first developed to understand macroeconomic fluctuations in general and the Great Depression in particular (Keynes, [1936] 1964). Modeling goods, financial, and labor markets allowed for a unified treatment of short and medium-term effects of macroeconomic policies on output, providing a cornerstone of the ongoing debate between different schools of macroeconomic thought.²

Keynes's qualitative framework triggered a new generation of ideas and literature that aimed at providing structure and formality to macroeconomic general equilibrium analysis. Hicks (1936) made a major contribution in specifying a system of simultaneous equations for different markets. The first treatment of dynamic general equilibrium analysis can be traced back to Hicks' subsequent work (1939), as well as La Volpe's (1936) study, in which current behavoir is influenced by backward-and forward-looking expectations. Arrow and Debreu (1954) made pathbreaking progress in the treatment of uncertainty in general equilibrium analysis, by modeling contingent asset claims required for market completion.

¹ For example, a multi-sector dynamic stochastic model with nominal, real, and financial variables and full specification and households disaggregated by overlapping age groups would comprise all model families and hence could be included in any family. Our minimum requirement for a macroeconometric models is inclusion of endogenous real (and typically also nominal) variables with (at least) an equilibrium condition for aggregate goods markets. Behavioral models of macroeconometric models are typically validated by econometric estimation from time-series data but at times calibrated to time-series data or estimated from cross-section data. Macroeconomic models that focus either on aggregate quantities and prices or wages do not qualify for inclusion. Our minimum requirement for a CGE model is a multi-sector specification with endogenous general-equilibrium determination of sector-level and aggregate quantities and prices. Our minimum requirement for an OLG model is a multi-cohort specification with endogenous general-equilibrium determination of sector-level and aggregate quantities and prices. Our minimum requirement for an OLG model is a multi-cohort specification with endogenous general-equilibrium determination of sector-level and aggregate quantities and prices. Our minimum requirement for an OLG model is a multi-cohort specification with endogenous general-equilibrium determination of sector-level and aggregate output or on CGE and OLG models may be empirically validated by econometric estimation, calibration from input-output matrices or household surveys, or econometrically estimated from time-series or cross-section data.

² Blanchard (2000) and Morishima (2003) provide recent overviews of the development of macroeconomic and general-equilibrium modeling.

Patinkin (1956) further formalized macroeconomic general equilibrium models, by explicitly deriving demand and supply equations from microeconomic fundamentals, embedded in value and firm theory, respectively. Tinbergen's (1939) pioneering model building, extending from the 1930s through the 1960s, and the Cowles Commission's support for modeling contributed to huge advances in the development of applied macroeconometric models for forecasting and policy analysis. Parallel progress in computing power led to the building and use of large-scale simultaneous-equation macroeconomic models, often comprising hundreds of equations. A paramount example is the Federal Reserve Bank-MIT-Penn model for the United States (Zellner, 1969). This class of Keynesian models, which saw their heyday in the 1960s and 1970s, was widely used for macroeconomic analysis and projections.

Another strand of Keynesian models is represented by the two-gap models for open developing economies, which generalize the Harrod-Domar growth model. Under fixed prices, a binding foreign resource constraint restricts growth either through investment or imports (Chenery and Bruno, 1962; McKinnon, 1964; Chenery and Strout, 1966). A large number of both macroeconometric and multi-sector planning models that were built from the 1960s through the 1980s for developing countries had the two-gap model at their core.

An extreme strand of Keynesian macroeconomic modeling based on price rigidities and unemployment are the GEMs of market disequilibrium (Barro and Grossman, 1971; Benassy, 1982). This mostly theoretical – and largely abandoned – literature takes price rigidity to the limit, deriving the spillover effects of disequilibrium from one to other markets in a Walrasian multi-market framework.

A quite different approach to macroeconomic general equilibrium is represented by financial programming models developed at the IMF in the 1960s. The core of the latter models is comprised by flow budget constraints for the government and the external sector (the balance-of-payments restriction), a goods-markets (pr saving-investment) equilibrium condition, a money supply equation, and a few behavioral equations. Financial programming models are applied still to date for budgetary and monetary programming purpose by some countries and in country work at the IMF.

The families of Keynesian macroeconomic models – and the financial programming models as well – generally lack microeconomic foundations, were not consistent with intra and intertemporal budget constraints, did not treat expectations in a satisfactory way, and had no welldefined steady-state equilibrium. With hindsight, they were severely affected by the Lucas (1976) critique, implying that their specification was not useful for analyzing the effects of policy changes, as forward-looking agents would modify their behavior as a response to them.

A paradigmatic shift in macroeconomics – and hence in macroeconomic general equilibrium modeling – came with the rational expectations revolution. Based on Muth's (1961) insightful but long-neglected notion, Lucas (1972) and Sargent (1973) stress that in dynamic environments with forward-looking agents, the mechanism through which expectations are formed has to be explicitly stated. Luca's (1976) critique to econometric policy evaluation and Lucas and Sargent's (1981) manifesto sealed the fate of the once-powerful traditional large-scale macroeconometric models in favor of internally consistent and micro-founded macroeconomic models. These advances fostered research on theoretical tools needed to understand and characterize the equilibrium outcomes of new models and to develop numerical techniques necessary to solve, simulate, and estimate them. Technological progress and the accessibility of cheaper and faster

computational methods have also played an important role in characterizing key properties of increasingly complex structures.

Consideration of a stochastic environment, microeconomic foundations, and rational expectations gave rise to the new literature on real-business cycle (RBC) models, pioneered by Kydland and Prescott (1982) and Long and Plosser (1983). MRBC models developed explanations for short-term fluctuations driven largely by technological shocks.

The New Keynesian literature incorporated rational expectations into macroeconomic models with nominal rigidities, such as staggered wage contracts (Taylor, 1981), staggered prices (Calvo, 1983), menu costs (Mankiw, 1985), efficiency wages (Shapiro and Stiglitz, 1984), or other real rigidities to account for short-term deviations form full employment (Clarida, Galí, and Gertler, 1999).

Obstfeld and Rogoff's (1995) *Redux* model paved the way for the quick development of micro-founded rational-expectations model in open economies – what came to be known as the new open economy macroeconomics (Lane, 2001).

A major disadvantage of many empirical structural models based only on microeconomic fundamentals – reflected in a sparse specification that avoids ad hoc variable inclusion – is their poor tracking of short-run dynamics and unsatisfactory short-term predictive ability. This (and Sims 1980 critique of large-scale macroeconometric models) has led to the development of non- (and semi-) structural vector autoregression models (VARs), based on statistically observed dynamic relations among a small number of key macroeconomic variables. VARs are popularly used for generating impulse responses to temporary shocks, variance decompositions, and short-term projections, but because they lack behavioral structure, they are not useful for understanding structural relations, generating long-term projections, or simulating permanent changes in predetermined variables. Hence, VARs are empirically useful but not more than complementary tools to structural general equilibrium models for empirical analysis.

Recent progress in macroeconomic general equilibrium modeling is represented by midsized open economy models that combine a rich stochastic structure with rational expectations and microeconomic foundations. Some of them also include imperfect competition in goods, labor, asset, and financial markets, with nominal or real rigidities (or both) in the short run. Examples of this so-called new neoclassical synthesis with Keynesian elements, include Smets and Wouters (2003) and Laxton and Pesenti (2003).

1.2 Computable General Equilibrium Models

Beyond macroeconomics, a family of models termed computable general equilibrium (CGE) models focuses on issues related to resource allocation across different supply sectors, relative prices of goods and factors of production, and welfare levels of different income groups. Economy-wide planning models – developed between the 1950s and 1970s – were predecessors to CGE models. Planning models – used for national purposes in countries with a large government role in determining sector prices and quantities – combined macroeconomic (and particularly fiscal) policy analysis with aggregate and sector-level budgeting and planning. Multi-sector planning models were based on social-accounting matrices, integrating fiscal, balance-of-payments and national accounts. Many planning models for developing countries embedded two-gap models for a binding foreign resource constraint. Planning models typically lacked microeconomic foundations

at the level of economic agents and endogenous price determination, but some were based on explicit optimization of a central-planning objective function.³

CGE models with endogenous prices grew out of the multi-sector planning models of the 1960s.⁴ Johansen (1960) developed the first empirical model with a multi-sector structure and endogenous prices to analyze economic growth in Norway. Harberger (1962) followed suit, providing the first numerical application to tax policy analysis in a two-sector model. Scarf (1967) contributed advances in the development of algorithms for solving increasingly complex models. Since then, the development of CGE models has grown exponentially. Their fields of application include fiscal policy and optimal taxation (for example, Slemrod, 1983), trade policy (Devarajan and Rodrik, 1989), income distribution (Bandara, 1991), sector development (such as Robinson and others, 1993, for agriculture), and environmental issues (Kokoski and Smith, 1987).

More recent CGE models on trade issues have provided measurements of the effects of lower bilateral and multilateral tariffs stemming form regional free-trade agreements, particularly within the European Union. These models allow the assessment not only of aggregate trade, productivity, and the output effects of trade integration, but also of welfare, transfer, and labor mobility effects, both across sectors and across workers with different skills (for example, Rollo and Smith, 1993; Keuschnigg and Kohler, 2000). CGEs in environmental issues include measurements of integenerational and multisector effects of policies such as cutting tolerated toxic emissions levels, raising contamination (green) taxes, and levying mining extraction (Bohringer and Rutherford, 1996; Rutherford, 2000; Jensen, 2000).

Standard CGE models disaggregate by supply sectors, industries, regions, and countries, providing a system of sector demand and supply equations. Sector equilibrium conditions, with appropriate treatment of interdependence and aggregate consistency, determine the economy's general equilibrium. Once a base-case solution is found and numerically determined, the effects of particular policy changes on equilibrium prices and quantities-and on welfare levels of different population groups-can be assessed.

As in the case of all GEM families, CGE models have progressed significantly in their theoretical foundations and computational complexity over the last three decades. Micro-founded behavior has been embodied in the systems of supply and demand equations of CGEs since the late 1970s. This Walrasian characterization of an economy that considers micro-founded interactions of goods and factor markets can be traced back to contributions like de Melo's (1977) application to trade policy analysis. More recently, CGE development has shifted from traditional static to truly dynamic models consistent with intertemporal optimization (for example, Harrison and others, 2000; Dixon and Rimmer 2002; Bell, Devarajan, and Gersbach, 2003).

1.3 Overlapping Generations Models

Another family of GEMs encompasses overlapping generations (OLG) models, which analyze the general equilibrium properties and growth dynamics of economies inhabited by finitely lived population cohorts that differ in age. OLG models started with Samuelson's (1958) and Diamond's (1965) path-breaking theoretical work on two-cohort OLG models. Feldstein (1974)

³ Blitzer, Clark, and Taylor (1975) review a representative sample of economy-wide planning models.

⁴ Shoven and Whalley (1984) review the development of CGEs through the early 1980s. Devarajan and Robinson (2002) provide a recent survey of CGE models.

provided valuable insights on fiscal policy by analyzing intergenerational transfers and long-run effects of alternative fiscal policies in his simplified framework. Auerbach and Kotlikoff (1987) extended the basic OLG framework to consider a realistic setting of fifty-five annual overlapping generations and more developed preference and technology.

The latter OLG model and its extensions is still the tool of choice for quantifying dynamic macroeconomic effects of fiscal policy, demographic change, and pension systems. In the realm of fiscal policy, an important application of OLG models is generational accounting, an OLG variant used in assessing the fiscal sustainability and intergenerational income and welfare effects of different government programs. Following the initial work by Auerbach, Gokhale, and Kotlikoff (1994), generational accounting has been applied to assess fiscal policies in a large number of countries (Kotlikoff and Raffelhüschen, 1999). Since the 1990s OLG applications have been developed to assess the dynamic effects of pension systems and reforms for a large number of countries (for example, Huang, Imrohoroglu, and Sargent, 1997). The major progress in software development and computational power has facilitated the application of increasingly complex OLG country models.

1.4 GEMs Today and into the Future

Today's dynamic general equilibrium models provide a powerful tool for analyzing the impact of different policies in more or less complex representations of real-world economies. The wide array of models available today offers different combinations of key desirable features, including treatment of dynamics, overlapping generations, heterogeneous agents, multiple sectors, and adequate treatment of uncertainty and expectations. The field still has plenty of room for progress, for example with regard to expectations formation, learning mechanisms, and the treatment of misspecified models.

The huge theoretical and technological progress in general equilibrium theory and applications since the mid-twentieth century has reaped key insights that would not have been possible to grasp by means of simpler models and their limited treatment of dynamics, agent heterogeneity, uncertainty, expectations, sector complexity, and multiple generations. GEMs have provided a framework with which to conduct a rich intellectual discussion of nonevident dangers and potentials of policy reforms and appear to be the twenty-first century's indispensable toolkit for evaluating, quantifying, and deciding economic policy alternatives.

2. GENERAL EQUILIBRIUM MODELING FOR CHILE

2.1 Macroeconomic GEMs

Macroeconomic modeling started in Chile in the 1960s, although its focus was almost exclusively on the country's historical macroeconomic policy concern: high inflation. The best minds of the day concentrated on explaining inflation as a structural or monetary phenomenon or, eclectically, as a result of combined structural, cost-push, and demand factors. Most empirical studies centered on either one reduced-form equation for inflation or a system of equations for aggregate inflation, sector inflation measures, and close inflation determinants, but they were not general equilibrium models (see, for example, Harberger, 1963; García, 1964: Lüders, 1968; Cauas, 1970; Behrman, 1973).

Two major macroeconometric models in the Keynes-Tinbergen-Klein tradition were developed by Vittorio Corbo and Jere Behrman around 1970.

Corbo's econometric study of Chilean inflation represents a major general equilibrium macroeconometric model for Chile (Corbo, 1971; Corbo, 1974). His 70-equation model, estimated on quarterly data for the 1960s, comprises aggregate supply and demand equations for goods markets, labor markets, and the money market, as well as auxiliary conditions and identities. The full model was used to simulate the macroeconomic effects of counterfactual wage, monetary, and investment policies during the 1960s.

Behrman's involvement with Chile during the 1960s and 1970s was reflected in many publications on multi-sector and macroeconometric models. ⁵ His 172-equation macroeconometric model for Chile includes 9 production sectors, aggregate demand components, endogenous money and inflation, as well as fiscal, monetary, and trade policy instruments. The model, estimated on 1945-1965 data, was used to simulate the effects of fiscal, monetary, foreign-sector, and labor and income policies (Behrman, 1976; Behrman 1977).

Lira (1975a; 1975b) developed a two-sector (copper and non-copper) macroeconometric model for aggregate demand components, output, money, and inflation. The model was applied to simulate counter-factual changes in copper market conditions and domestic policies in Chile during 1956-1968.

Schmidt-Hebbel (1981) developed a two-sector (traded and non-tarded goods) model, based on the Salter-Swan-Corden dependent economy model, with sluggish non-traded goods prices. The model was estimated on 1928-1932 data to explain the behavior of output and relative goods prices during the Great Depression in Chile.

Vial (1981) derived a macroeconometric model for a closed economy, with specification of aggregate demand components, labor supply and demand, output, inflation, and wages and estimated on 1960-1976 data. The model was used to simulate counter-factual fiscal, monetary, and exchange-rate polices during 1965-1970. The latter model was extended by Vial in several directions, including an open-economy version, and used for forecasting purposes as part of Project Link at the University of Pennsylvania. The properties and simulation results of several variants of this model for Chile were compared to similar models developed for other countries (Foxley and Vial, 1986; Vial, 1988; Adams and Vial, 1991). In the tradition of two-gap models, Vial and Le Fort (1986) estimate small models for output growth and aggregate demand components for Chile and other Latin American countries and apply them to simulate the restrictions on prospective 1985-1990 growth imposed by binding foreign-resource constraints.

Corbo (1985) developed a compact model based on a two-sector dependent-economy structure with Keynesian mark-up, an inflation-augmented Philips curve, and purchasing power parity (PPP) deviations of tradable goods, extending the Scandinavian model. The model focuses on price and wage dynamics in Chile.

Further progress was made in the 1990s toward developing macroeconomic GEMs for Chile and applying them to policy-relevant questions. Servén and Solimano (1991) develop an empirical macroeconometric model for Chile – with consistent budget constraints and equilibrium conditions for goods and labor markets – and simulate the dynamic path of inflation, the real exchange rate, and domestic and foreign debt in response to several shocks. Corbo and Solimano

⁵ In addition to his two books on Chile, Behrman published parts of his models and related work on Chile's economic sector and macroeconomy in international journals (Behrman, 1971; 1972a; 1972b; 1972c).

(1991) develop a similar macroeconometric model and apply it to simulate actual and counterfactual policies, including money-based stabilization in the mid 1970s, exchange-rate based stabilization in 1978-82, and exchange-rate depreciation in the mid 1980s.

Quiroz (1991), one the first open economy dynamic stochastic general equilibrium (DSGE) models published worldwide, develops a multi-sector model with adjustment costs in the labor market to account for the dynamic properties of the Chilean real exchange rate. Subsequent DSGE models include Quiroz and others (1991) and Bergoeing and others (2002). Schmidt-Hebbel and Servén (1995, 1996) derive a dynamic deterministic general equilibrium model based on intertemporal optimization and short-term wage and price rigidities, which they use to simulate the dynamic macroeconomic effects of monetary and fiscal policy changes.

The Central Bank's structural model, termed MEP (*Modelo Estructural de Proyecciones*), is the Bank's current workhorse for macroeconomic projections (Central Bank of Chile, 2003). This quarterly model provides a rich dynamic structure for goods, labor, and financial markets, including a monetary policy reaction function, for Chile's small open economy, considering strong integration into the world's goods and financial markets. The model is in the tradition of neo-Keynesian monetary policy models á la Clarida, Galí, and Gertler (1999), with rigidities in goods and labor markets that allow one to account for short- and medium-term deviations from full-capacity employment and production. However, it lacks microeconomic foundations, consistent stock-flow relationships, and an endogenous steady state.⁶

2.2 Computable General Equilibrium Models

Chile's National Planning Office (ODEPLAN) and the Center for International Studies at MIT carried on from 1968 to 1970 a joint project of policy-oriented research. ⁷ A substantial focus of the latter project was on the development of a multi-sector linear programming model for Chile, based on Chile's input-output matrix and national accounts. The model is static and prices are exogenous. It is characterized by different combinations of binding foreign-exchange, domestic-saving, and foreign-investment constraints (in the tradition of the gap models) and is solved by linear-programming maximization of private consumption or GDP. The model was developed at ODEPLAN and subsequently at CEPLAN and CIEPLAN in several variants and used for policy evaluation and projection purposes. Clark and Foxley (1970, 1973), Clark, Foxley, and Jul (1973), and Foxley (1970, 1972, 1975) present and use the multi-sector programming model. Applications include derivation of optimal growth paths, simulation of alternative development and trade strategies, simulation of income and consumption redistribution, macroeconomic projection, and investment project evaluation.

Taylor (1973a), also as part of the ODEPLAN-MIT project, developed the first CGE model for Chile, for two sectors of production, three sectors of consumption, two types of capital, labor, and with a binding foreign-resource constraint. The model, based on static preferences and technology, is used for simulating the dynamic (30-year) response of the Chilean economy to trade

⁶ The development of a dynamic stochastic GEM for Chile is currently underway at the Central Bank of Chile. It combines short-term rigidities and monopolistic competition in goods and labor markets with micro-founded behavioral equations, consistent stock-flow relationships based on intertemporal budget constraints, rational expectations under uncertainty, and convergence to an endogenous steady-state equilibrium.

⁷ The volume by Eckaus and Rosenstein-Rodan (1973) comprises a significant part of the work that grew out of the ODEPLAN-MIT project.

reform and relaxation of foreign resource constraints. Using a variant of the preceding CGE model, Taylor (1973b) projects Chile's needs of foreign exchange.

Coeymans developed in the mid-1970s a large CGE model for Chile, with eighteen production sectors and labor markets. Among other applications, the model was used to assess the effects of trade and pension reforms on resource allocation, relative prices, and employment (Coeymans, 1978, 1980). Schmidt-Hebbel (1988) developed a four-sector dependent-economy CGE model to analyze the general-equilibrium effects of terms-of-trade shocks under a binding foreign resource constraint in Chile. Coeymans and Mundlak (1993) developed a 5-sector CGE model with goods and factor markets to analyze sectoral growth in Chile during 1962-82 and its sensitivity to changes in policies and external conditions. Coeymans and Larraín (1994) used a CGE model to simulate the growth effects of a potential free-trade agreement with the United States.

2.3 Overlapping Generations Models

The first OLG model for Chile was developed by Arrau (1991) – one of the first empirical OLG models to assess pension reform for any country in the world. Following Auerbach and Kotlikoff (1987), Arrau incorporates micro-founded equations, intertemporal consumption optimization, and a well-defined steady-state equilibrium for fifty-five cohorts. He calibrates the model to Chile and then uses it to analyze the dynamic effects of Chile's pension reform on output and welfare.

Cifuentes (1994) uses the Auerbach-Kotlikoff model, calibrated to Chile, to estimate intergenerational redistribution effects of the 1979 parametric reform (rise in retirement ages and changes in financing) of the then existing pay-as-you-go pension system. Subsequently Cifuentes (1995), also using the Auerbach-Kotlikoff model, simulates the dynamic and steady-state macro and welfare effects of implementing the pay-as-you-go system in Chile and the subsequent substitution of Chile's new fully-funded scheme for the pay-as-you-go system.

3. OVERVIEW OF BOOK CHAPTERS

The book edited by Chumacero and Schmidt-Hebbel (2005) compiles a wide variety of 12 GEMs for Chile, developed for and applied to a variety of questions and fields. Seven chapters assess macroeconomic policy changes and external shocks making use of macroeconomic GEMs. Of the latter, the first two chapters develop macroeconometric model that are flexible enough to characterize short and medium-term dynamics. The next five chapters introduce (deterministic or stochastic) dynamic GEMs grounded on microeconomic foundations.

The subsequent three chapters develop multi-sector CGE models. Of these, the first two assess changes in trade policy and the third analyses a fuel tax increase. The book's two final chapters assess the general-equilibrium effects of labor taxation (based on a dynamic GEM) and of tax incentives to voluntary retirement savings (using an OLG model).

3.1 Macroeconomic GEMs

Corbo and Tessada (2005) develop a small-open-economy macroeconometric model for the output gap, the monetary policy rate, inflation, and the real exchange rate. The model, estimated on quarterly data, is used to simulate the dynamic response of endogenous variables to external shocks and inflation shocks. The results show that negative foreign output and foreign capital inflow shocks have negative effects on domestic output and inflation, which are much stronger in the case

of the capital inflow shock; these effects are partly offset by the central bank's endogenous monetary easing. A positive inflation shock-which triggers a contractionary monetary response is more persistent and has larger output costs the larger (smaller) is the backward-looking (forward-looking) root of inflation.

García and others (2005) develop a seventy-two equation macroeconometric forecasting model that extends the Central Bank's MEP model (Central Bank of Chile, 2003). Their framework comprises a detailed specification of goods markets (including individual aggregate demand and supply components and inflation components), financial and monetary markets (including a monetary policy rule), labor markets, and auxiliary equations and identities. The model includes steady-state conditions and is estimated on quarterly data. The chapter also discusses the main stylized facts of Chile's economy and the transmission mechanisms of monetary policy reflected by the model. The model is applied to report simulations of the main macroeconomic variables to a temporary monetary shock, which are compared to the impulse responses of a VAR model. The chapter reports simulations of the dynamic response to permanent shocks to government spending and international prices.

Gallego, Schmidt-Hebbel, and Servén (2005) develop a dynamic deterministic open economy macroeconometric model to simulate the effects of external shocks and policy changes. The model is based on intertemporal consumption and production optimization, five types of assets (including money), heterogeneous consumers and firms, short-run nominal price and wage rigidities that allow for short-term unemployment, and an endogenous full-employment steady-state equilibrium. The thirty-two equation model is calibrated on plausible parameter values and econometric estimations based on quarterly data. The simulation results report the dynamic response of endogenous variables to the combination of adverse external shocks, expansionary fiscal policy, and contractionary monetary policy observed in 1997-99, which contributed to Chile's 1998-99 recession.

Chumacero and Fuentes (2005) combine time series and DSGE models to evaluate the determinants of Chile's growth process since 1960. Their DSGE model incorporates the relative price of investment with respect to consumption goods, terms of trade, and distortionary taxes, and they use it to replicate impulse response functions found in the data. In particular, their simulations suggest that distortionary fiscal policies may offset the benefits of improvements in the quality of capital and increase the economy's volatility.

Duncan (2005) develops a DSGE model to replicate several features of the Chilean economy since 1986. The open economy model is based on intertemporal optimization by representative agents, with money included as an argument in household utility. Calibration of the model is based on plausible parameter values and macroeconomic time series estimates. Calibration of the model is based on providing an explanation for what has been termed the price puzzle – that is, the positive comovement between the interest rate and inflation. The simulations, which support the price puzzle suggest that this relationship is caused by the dominance of the Fisher effect, strengthened by the presence of a Taylor rule that depends positively on inflation deviations. Impulse responses from a VAR reasonably match the simulations based on the structural DSGE model.

Bergoeing and Soto (2005) consider several DSGE models and evaluate the empirical relevance of nominal rigidities and macroeconomic policies for the behavior of consumption, investment, inflation, and factor market prices. The models share several features, including intertemporal optimization by representative agents in a closed economy. They differ in the extent

of technology shocks, the existence of real rigidities (namely, labor market rigidities and government expenditure), the inclusion of money (through a cash-in-advance constraint), and the existence of nominal rigidities. The authors compare the models' ability to match the business cycle features of the Chilean economy: the economy with government expenditure and labor indivisibility best fits the data.

Chumacero (2005) presents a small economy DSGE model to assess the effects of alternative policies. The parameters of the model are chosen so as to replicate the parameter estimates of an identified VAR model for the Chilean economy. Several novel methodological aspects concerning the link between theoretical and empirical modeling are discussed. A distinguishing feature of this model is that it explicitly introduces foreign investors and solves their optimization problem.

3.2 Computable General Equilibrium Models for Trade Policy and Environmental Taxation

Harrison, Rutherford, and Tarr (2005) develop a twenty-four sector, eleven region CGE model for the world economy populated by Chile and its ten main trading partners, based on a previous world CGE model of similar structure but without Chile as one of the world regions (Harrison, Rutherford, and Tarr, 1997). Model equations are derived from static consumer and producer optimization. The model is calibrated to 1996 world data from the Global Trade Analysis Project, but it allows for different values for the elasticity of substitution between imports from Chile and other countries. The model is applied to quantify changes in consumer welfare caused by a large number of trade reforms in Chile and at the regional and world levels. Calculations are based on trade creation gains, trade deviation losses, and (in the case of trade agreements) market access gains, with different replacement taxes. The results show that Chile's strategy of additive regionalism (in which it enters successive regional trade and free trade agreements) dominates unilateral trade opening, that joining NAFTA dominates joining Mercosur, and that its losses from joining Mercosur at moderate uniform tariffs become small gains at a lower uniform tariff.

O'Ryan, de Miguel, and Miller (2005) apply their CGE model (termed ECOGEM) to evaluate the aggregate and sector effects of increasing fuel taxes. ECOGEM (O'Ryan, Miller, and de Miguel, 2003) is a multi-sector model based on static optimization by households and firms, with heterogeneous consumers divided into income classes, heterogeneous labor, and complex production, several taxes and transfers, and endogenous foreign trade. The model also considers environmental damage (air pollution) stemming form the emission of various pollutants by energy-using production sectors (with no effect on household utility). The version of ECOGEM used here, based on Chile's 1996 social-accounting matrix, comprises seventeen production sectors, two classes of labor, and five household sectors. The authors use the model to simulate the effects of an environmental policy in the form of higher fuel taxes, with the increased government revenue either financing higher investment or reducing trade tariffs. The results show a major decline in air pollution in Santiago; a reduction in GDP, income, and welfare of all households; and changes in resource allocation and household income distribution. The latter effects are ameliorated when the increased fuel tax revenue is offset by lower trade tariffs.

Holland and others (2005) develop a CGE model to quantify the aggregate and sectoral effects of eliminating price bands and tariffs on agricultural products. This multisector model is based on static optimization by households and firms and on urban-rural household and unemployment differences with urban-rural migration and imperfect labor mobility. The model used here encompasses fifty production sectors and is calibrated according to the international GTAP4 (1995) and Chile's CASEN (1996) databases. The model is applied to simulate the effects

to removing price bands on wheat, sugar, and oils (which protect domestic production of the latter commodities) and of full elimination of agricultural tariffs. Removing the three price bands is shown to lead to a small welfare gain and major changes in production and imports of the three affected sectors. However, according to their model, elimination of all agricultural tariffs lead to lower welfare, which reflects the negative influence of non-removable distortions (such as urban unemployment and imperfect labor mobility), which more than offsets the efficiency gains of tariff reduction.

3.3 GEMs for Labor Taxes and Retirement Incentives

Bergoeing, Morandé and Piguillem (2005) derive a dynamic deterministic GEM to explain the changes in contributions of capital, labor, and total factor productivity (TFP) to growth that were observed in 1981-2002, with a focus on the recent 1998-2002 subperiod. The model is based on representative-agent with intertemporal consumption and leisure optimization (nesting static profit maximization by a representative firm) in a closed full-employment economy with taxation of labor and capital. Model calibration is based on historical data and plausible values for deep parameters. The paper reports five simulation exercises, based on different combinations of labor and capital tax rates and TFP growth rates. An increase in labor taxes, interpreted as the combination of higher minimum wages and anticipated larger hiring costs, best matches the contributions of growth determinants-particularly employment-to growth in 1998-2002.

Finally, Cifuentes (2005) develops an OLG model to evaluate the general equilibrium effects of Chile's tax incentives for voluntary retirement savings, which have been in place since 1981 but were extended in 2002. His model, based on Cifuentes and Valdés-Prieto (1997), extends the standard Auerbach and Kotlikoff (1987) framework by introducing sixty overlapping cohorts, heterogeneous households that differ in subjective discount rates and education (and thus income) levels, and differences in marginal income tax rates - which are all key features for realistically assessing voluntary retirement savings. Model calibration is based on relevant data from macroeconomic and microeconomic databases and previous studies. Partial equilibrium, steadystate general equilibrium, and dynamic transition general equilibrium results are reported for different model calibration choices. Voluntary retirement savings are shown to raise voluntary (and mandatory) pension funds savings, capital, income, and welfare in the new steady state (that is, in the very long term). The dynamic transition simulation results also show that voluntary retirement savings cause a monotonic rise in retirement savings and capital. Given the higher value-added taxes required to offset lower income taxes in the first decades, however, the welfare of the transition cohorts-particularly the low-income groups that do not benefit from voluntary retirement savings-declines.

4. CONCLUDING REMARKS

The broad scope of the issues covered and the wide spectrum of methodological choices presented in this volume show how active the profession is in providing a better characterization of the Chilean economy and more precise evaluation of policy reforms. Vigorous contrast of different perspectives and rigorous academic debate of these topics are invaluable for taking more informed policy decisions.

Models are useful if they can accurately describe the problem at hand and if they can explain why variables of interest respond in a given way to a disturbance. Purely empirical models sometimes provide a good statistical characterization of the data, but they are usually silent regarding the economic structure that governs data processes. On the order hand, stylized theoretical models may be rich in structure but poor in accommodating observed behavior. We believe that the use of GEMs that combine both dimensions is important. The profession requires tools that not only conform to the data, but are also able to explain the causal relations behind the data. This volume shows a sample of the best tools currently available.

References

- Adams, F.G. and J. Vial. 1991. "Comparison of Macroeconomic Models of Developing Economies". In L.R. Klein (ed.): *Comparative Performance of U.S. Econometric Models*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Arrau, P. 1991. "La Reforma Previsional Chilena y su Financiamiento durante la Transición", *Colección Estudios Cieplan* 32 (June): 5-24.
- Arrow, K. and G. Debreu. 1954. "Existence of an Equilibrium for a Competitive Economy", *Econometrica* 22: 265-90.
- Auerbach, A., J. Gokhale, and L. Kotlikoff. 1994. "Generational Accounting: A Meaningful Way to Evaluate Fiscal Policy", *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 8 (1): 73-94.
- Auerbach, A. and L. Kotlikoff. 1987. *Dynamic Fiscal Policy*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Bandara, J. 1991. "Computable General Equilibrium Models for Development Policy Analysis in LDCs", *Journal of Economic Surveys* 5 (1): 3-69.
- Barro, R.J., and H.I. Grossman. 1971. "General Disequilibrium Model of Income and Employment", *American Economic Review* 61 (1): 82-93.
- Behrman, J.R. 1971. "The Determinants of the Annual Rates of Change of Sectoral Money Wages in a Developing Economy", *International Economic Review* 12 (3): 431-47. Spanish translation in *Cuadernos de Economía* 27: 102-18, 1972.
 - ____. 1972a. "Sectoral Elasticities of Substitution between Capital and Labor in a Developing Economy: Time Series Analysis in the Case of Chile", *Econometrica* 40 (2): 311-27. Spanish translation in *Cuadernos de Economía* 26: 70-88, 1972.
- ____. 1972b. "Sectoral Investment Determination in a Developing Economy", *American Economic Review* 62 (5): 825-41.
- ____. 1972c. "Short-Run Flexibility in a Developing Economy: The Post War Chilean Experience", *Journal of Political Economy* 80 (2): 292-313.
- . 1973. "Price Determination in an Inflationary: The Dynamics of Chilean Inflation Revisited". In R.S. Eckaus and P.N. Rosenstein-Rodan: *op.cit*.
 - ____. 1976. *Foreign Trade Regimes and Economic Development: Chile*. New York: National Bureau of Economic Research and Columbia University.
- _____. 1977. *Macroeconomic Policy in a Developing Country: The Chilean Experience*. Amsterdam: North-Holland.
- Bell, C., S. Devarajan, and H. Gersbach. 2003. "The Long-Run Economic Costs of AIDS: Theory and an Application to South Africa." *Policy research working paper* 3152. Washington: The World Bank.
- Benassy, J.-P. 1982. The Economics of Market Disequilibrium. New York: Academic Press.
- Bergoeing, R., P. Kehoe, T. Kehoe, and R. Soto. 2002. "A Decade Lost and Found: Mexico and Chile in the 1980s", *Review of Economic Dynamics* 5 (1):165-204.
- Bergoeing, R., F. Morandé, and F. Piguillem. 2005. "Labor Taxes, Employment and Growth: The Recent Chilean Experience". In R. Chumacero and K. Schmidt-Hebbel (eds.): *op. cit.*
- Bergoeing, R. and R. Soto. 2005. "Testing Real Business Cycles Models in an Emerging Economy". In R. Chumacero and K. Schmidt-Hebbel (eds.): *op. cit.*

- Blanchard, O. 2000. "What Do We Know about Macroeconomics that Fischer and Wicksell Did Not?", *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 115 (4): 1375-409.
- Blitzer, C.R., P.B.Clark, and L. Taylor. 1975. *Economy-wide Models and Development Planning*. New York: World Bank and Oxford University Press.
- Bohringer, C. and T. Rutherford. 1996. "Carbon Taxes with Exemptions in an Open Economy: A General Equilibrium Analysis of the German Tax Initiative", *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management* 32 (2): 189-203.
- Calvo, G.A. 1983. "Staggered Prices in a Utility-Maximizing Framework", *Journal of Monetary Economics* 12 (3): 383-98.
- Cauas, J. 1970."Stabilization Policy. The Chilean Case", *Journal of Political Economy* 78 (4): 815-25.
- Central Bank of Chile. 2003. *Modelos Macroeconómicos y Proyecciones del Banco Central de Chile 2003*. Santiago: Central Bank of Chile.
- Chenery, H.B., and M. Bruno. 1962. "Development Alternatives in an Open Economy", *Economic Journal* 72: 79-103.
- Chenery, H.B., and A.M. Strout. 1966. "Foreign Assistance and Economic Development", *The American Economic Review* 56 (4): 679-731.
- Chumacero, R. 2005. "A Toolkit for Analyzing Alternative Policies in the Chilean Economy." In R. Chumacero and K. Schmidt-Hebbel (eds.): *op. cit.*
- Chumacero, R. and R. Fuentes. 2005. "On the Determinants of Chilean Economic Growth." In R. Chumacero and K. Schmidt-Hebbel (eds.): *op. cit.*
- Chumacero, R., and K. Schmidt-Hebbel. 2005. *General Equilibrium Models for the Chilean Economy*. Santiago: Central Bank of Chile, forthcoming.
- Cifuentes, R. 1994. "Changing the Pension Ages: The Welfare Effects", *Revista de Análisis Económico* 9 (1): 35-56.
- Cifuentes, R. 1995. "Reforma de los Sistemas Previsionales: Aspectos Macroeconómicos", *Cuadernos de Economía* 96.

_____. 2005. "Tax Incentives for Retirement Savings: Simulation Results in the Presence of Liquidity Constraints and Heterogeneous Consumers in an OLG-GE Model." In *General Equilibrium Models for the Chilean Economy*, R. Chumacero and K. Schmidt-Hebbel (eds.): *op. cit.*

- Cifuentes, R. and S. Valdés-Prieto. 1997. "Pension Reforms in the Presence of Credit Constraints." In S. Valdés-Prieto (ed.): *The Economics of Pensions:Principles, Policies, and International Experience*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Clarida, R., J. Galí, and M. Gertler. 1999. "The Science of Monetary Policy: A New Keynesian Perspective", *Journal of Economic Literature* 37(4): 1661-707.
- Clark, P.B. and A. Foxley. 1970a. "Export Concentration and diversificatio in a Developing Economy: The Case of Chilean Copper", *Unpublished Manuscript*. Santiago: ODEPLAN.
- _____. 1970b. "Usos Alternativos de Ahorro Interno, Divisas y Mano de Obra en un Proceso de Crecimiento Acelerado", *Unpublished Manuscript*. Santiago: ODEPLAN.
- _____. 1973. "Target Shooting with A MultiSectoral Model". In R.S. Eckaus and P.N. Rosenstein-Rodan: *op. cit*.
- Clark, P.B., A. Foxley, and A.M. Jul. 1973. "Project Evaluation within a Macroeconomic Framework". In R.S. Eckaus and P.N. Rosenstein-Rodan: *op. cit*.
- Coeymans, J.E. 1978. "Liberalización del Comercio Exterior y sus Efectos sobre la Asignación de Recursos y el Empleo", *Cuadernos de Economía* 45: 183-245.
 - ____. 1980. "Empleo y Producción con un Financiamiento Alternativo del Sistema de Previsión Social: Un Enfoque de Equilibrio General", *Cuadernos de Economía* 52: 273-302.
- Coeymans, J.E. and F. Larraín. 1994. "Efectos de un Acuerdo de Libre Comercio entre Chile y Estados Unidos: Un Enfoque de Equilibrio General", *Cuadernos de Economía* 94: 357-99.

- Coeymans, J.E. and Y. Mundlak. 1993. "Sectoral Growth in Chile: 1962-1982," *Research Report* 95, International Food Policy Research Institute, Washington, DC.
- Corbo, V. 1971. "An Econometric Study of Chilean Inflation," *Unpublished Ph.D. Dissertation*. Cambridge, Mass.: Massachusetts Institute of Technology.
- Corbo, V. 1974. Inflation in Developing Countries: An Econometric Study of Chilean Inflation. Amsterdam: North-Holland.
- _____.1985. "International Prices, Wages and Inflation in an Open Economy: A Chilean Model", *Review of Economics and Statistics* 67 (4): 564-73.
- Corbo, V. and J. Tessada. 2005. "Response to External and Inflation Shocks in Small Open Economy." In R. Chumacero and K. Schmidt-Hebbel (eds.): *op. cit.*
- De Melo, J. 1977. "A General Equilibrium Approach to Estimating the Costs of Domestic Distortions", *American Economic Review* 67(1): 423-28.
- Devarajan, S. and S. Robinson. 2002. "The Influence of Computable General Equilibrium Models on Policy", *TMD Discussion Paper* 98, International Food Policy Research Institute, Washington, DC.
- Devajaran, S. and D. Rodrik.1989. "Trade Liberalization in Developing Countries: Do Imperfect Competition and Scale Economies Matter?", *American Economic Review* 79 (2): 283-87.
- Diamond, P. 1965. "National Debt in a Neoclassical Growth Model", *American Economic Review* 55 (5): 1126-50.
- Dixon, P.B., and M.T. Rimmer. 2002. *Dynamic General Equilibrium Modeling for Forecasting and Policy: A Practical Guide and Documentation of MONASH.* Amsterdam: North-Holland.
- Duncan, R. 2005. "How Well Does a Monetary Dynamic Equilibrium Model Match Chilean Data?" In R. Chumacero and K. Schmidt-Hebbel (eds.): *op. cit.*
- Eckaus, R.S. and P.-N. Rosenstein-Rodan. 1973. *Analysis of Development Problems: Studies of the Chilean Economy*. Amsterdam: North-Holland.
- Feldstein, M.S. 1974. "Social Security, Induced Retirement and Aggregate Capital Accumulation", *Journal of Political Economy* 85 (5): 905-26.
- Foxley, A. 1970. "Desequilibrios Estructurales y Alternativas de Crecimiento para la Economía Chilena", *Unpublished PhD. Dissertation*, University of Wisconsin.
- _____. 1972. "Opciones de Desarrollo bajo Condiciones de Reducción en la Dependencia Externa. Un Análisis Cuantitativo". In O. Muñoz et. al. (eds.): *Proceso a la Industrialización Chilena*. Santiago: CEPLAN and Ediciones Nueva Universidad-Universidad Católica de Chile.
- _____. 1975. Estrategia de Desarrollo y Modelos de Planificación. México, DF: Fondo de Cultura Económica.
- Foxley, J., y J. Vial. 1986. "Modelos Macroeconómicos Aplicados en América Latina: Revisión de Experiencias y Problemas". In R. Cortázar (ed.): *Políticas Macroeconómicas: Una Perspectiva Latinoamericana*. Santiago: CIEPLAN.
- Gallego, F., K. Schmidt-Hebbel, and L. Serven. 2005. "General Equilibrium Dynamics of Foreign Shocks and Policy Changes in Chile." In R. Chumacero and K. Schmidt-Hebbel (eds.): *op. cit.*
- García, E. 1964. "Inflation in Chile: A Quantitative Analysis," *Unpublished Ph.D. Dissertation*. Cambridge, Mass.: Massachusetts Institute of Technology.
- García, C., P. García, I. Magendzo, and J. Restrepo. 2005. "A Medium-Sized Macroeconometric Model of the Monetary Transmission Mechanism in Chile." In R. Chumacero and K. Schmidt-Hebbel (eds.): *op. cit.*
- Harberger, A. 1962. "The Incidence of the Corporation Income Tax", *Journal of Political Economy* 70 (3): 215-40.
 - ____. 1963. "The Dynamics of Inflation in Chile". In C. Christ (ed.): *Measurement in Economics*. Stanford: Stanford University Press.
- Harrison, G.W., S. Jensen, H. Pedersen, and T.F. Rutherford (eds.). 2000. Using Dynamic Computable General Equilibrium Models For Policy Analysis. Amsterdam: North-Holland.

Harrison, G.W., T.F. Rutherford, and D.G. Tarr. 1997. "Quantifying the Uruguay Round", *Economic Journal* 107: 1405-30.

____. 2005. "Chile's Regional Arrangements: The Importance of Market Access and Lowering the Tariff to Six Percent." In R. Chumacero and K. Schmidt-Hebbel (eds.): *op. cit.*

Hicks, J. 1936. "Mr. Keynes and the Classics. A Suggested Interpretation", *Econometrica* 5: 147-59.

. 1939. Value and Capital. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

- Holland, D., E. Figueroa, R. Alvarez, and John Gilbert. 2005. "Imperfect Labor Mobility, Urban Unemployment and Agricultural Trade Reform in Chile." In R. Chumacero and K. Schmidt-Hebbel (eds.): *op. cit.*
- Huang, H., S. Imrohoroglu, and T. Sargent. 1997. "Two Computational Experiments to Fund Social Security," *Macroeconomic Dynamics* 1: 7-44.
- Jensen, J. 2000. "How Valuable are Delayed Cutbacks in Danish Carbon Emissions?" In G.W. Harrison, S. Jensen, H. Pedersen, and T.F. Rutherford: *Using Dynamic Computable General Equilibrium Models for Policy Analysis*. Amsterdam: North-Holland.
- Johansen, L. 1960. A Multisectoral Study of Economic Growth. Amsterdam: North-Holland.
- Keuschnigg, C., and W. Kohler. 2000. "Eastern Enlargement of the EU: A Dynamic General Equilibrium Perspective". In G.W.Harrison, S. Jensen, H. Pedersen, and T.F.Rutherford: *Using Dynamic Computable General Equilibrium Models for Policy Analysis*. Amsterdam: North-Holland.
- Keynes, J. M. [1936] 1964. *The General Theory of Employment, Interest, and Money*. New York: Harcourt.
- Kokoshi, M. and V. Smith. 1987. "A General Equilibrium Analysis of Partial-Equilibrium Welfare Measures: The Case of Climate Change", *American Economic Review* 77 (3): 331-41.
- Kotlikoff, L., 1984. "Taxation and Savings: A Neoclassical Perspective", *Journal of Economics Literature* 22(4): 1576-629.
- Kotlikoff, L.J. and B. Raffelhüschen. 1999. "Generational Accounting around the Globe", *American Economic Review* 89 (2): 161-66.
- Kydland, F. and E. Prescott. 1982. "Time-to-Build and Aggregate Fluctuations", *Econometrica* 50 (6): 1345-70.
- Lane, P. 2001. "The New Open Economy Macroeconomics: A Survey", *Journal of International Economics* 54 (2): 235-66.
- La Volpe, G. 1993. *Studies on the Theory of General Dynamic Economic Equilibrium*. New York: St. Martin's Press.
- Laxton, D. and P. Pesenti. 2003. "Monetary Rules for Small, Open, Emerging Economies", *Journal* of Monetary Economics 50(5): 109-46.
- Lira, R. 1975a. "Un Modelo Macroeconométrico de Corto Plazo y de Dos Sectores para la Economía Chilena", *Cuadernos de Economía* 35: 63-93.

____. 1975b. "Simulaciones con un Modelo Macroeconométrico del Impacto del Cobre en la Economía Chilena", *Cuadernos de Economía* 37: 47-104.

Long, J. and C. Plosser. 1983. "Real Business Cycles", Journal of Political Economy 91 (1): 39-69.

Lucas, R. 1972. "Expectations and the Neutrality of Money", *Journal of Economic Theory* 4 (2): 103-24.

____. 1976. "Econometric Policy Evaluation: A Critique." In K. Brunner and H. Meltzer (eds.): *The Phillips Curve and Labor Markets*. Carnegie-Rochester Conference on Public Policy 1: 19-46. Amsterdam: North-Holland.

Lucas, R., and T. Sargent. 1981. "After Keynesian Macroeconomics". In R. Lucas and T. Sargent (eds.): *Rational Expectations and Econometric Practice*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.

- Lüders, R. 1968. "A Monetary History of Chile, 1925-1958." Unpublished Ph.D. Dissertation. Chicago: University of Chicago.
- Mankiw, G. 1985. "Small Menu Costs and Large Business Cycles: A Macroeconomic Model of Monopoly", *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 100: 529-39.
- Mc Kinnon, R. 1964. "Foreign Exchange Constraints in Economic Development", *The Economic Journal* LXXIV 388-409.
- Morishima, M. 2003. "General Equilibrium and the Destiny of Capitalism á la Schumpeter." In P. Petri and F. Hahn (eds.): *General Equilibrium, Problems and Prospects*. London: Routledge.
- Muth, J. 1961. "Rational Expectations and the Theory of Price Movements", *Econometrica* 29(3): 315-35.
- Obstfeld, M. and K. Rogoff. 1995. "Exchange Rate Dynamics Redux," *Journal of Political Economy* 103(3): 624-60.
- O'Ryan, R., C. de Miguel, and S. Miller. 2005. "A General Equilibrium Analysis of a Fuel Tax Increase in Chile." In R. Chumacero and K. Schmidt-Hebbel (eds.): *op. cit.*
- O'Ryan, R., S. Miller, and C.J. de Miguel.2003. "A CGE Framework to Evaluate Policy Options for Reducing Air Pollution Emissions in Chile", *Environment and Development Economics* 8 (2): 285-309.
- Patinkin, D. 1956. *Money, Interest, and Prices: An Integration of Monetary and Value Theory.* Evanston, III.: Row, Peterson, and Co.
- Quiroz, J. 1991. "Las Regularidades Empíricas del Tipo de Cambio Real en Chile: Un Enfoque de Ciclo de Negocios Reales", *Revista de Análisis Económico* 6 (2): 47-78.
- Quiroz, J., F. Bernasconi, R. Chumacero, and C. Revoredo. 1991. "Modelos y Realidad: Enseñando Economía en los Noventa", *Revista de Análisis Económico* 6 (2): 79-103.
- Robinson, S., M. Burfisher, R. Hinojosa-Ojeda, and K. Thierfelder. 1993. "Agricultural Policies and Migration in U.S.-México Free Trade Area: A Computable General Equilibrium Analysis", *Journal of Policy Modeling* 15 (5-6): 673-701.
- Rollo, J. and A. Smith. 1993. "The Political Economy of Central European Trade with the European Community: Why So Sensitive?", *Economic Policy* 16 (April): 139-81.
- Rutherford, T. 2000. "Carbon Abatement in Denmark. Technical Change and Intergenerational Burden Sharing." In G. W. Harrison, S. Jensen, H. Petersen, and T.F. Rutherford (eds.): Using Dynamic Computable General Equilibrium Models for Policy Analysis. Amsterdam: North-Holland.
- Samuelson, P. 1958. "An Exact Consumption-Loan Model of Interest with or without the Social Contrivance of Money", *Journal of Political Economy* 66 (6): 467-82.
- Sargent, T. 1973. "Rational Expectations, the Real Rate of Interest, and the Natural Rate of Unemployment", *Brooking Papers on Economic Activity* 1973 (2): 429-80.
- Scarf, H. 1967. "On the Computation of Equilibrium Prices". In W. Fellner (ed.): *Ten Economic Studies in the Tradition of Irving Fischer*. New York: Wiley.
- Schmidt-Hebbel, K. 1978. "Producto y Precios en una Economía Pequeña y Abierta", *Cuadrenos de Economía* 46: 407-30.
 - _____. 1988. "El Impacto de Escenarios Externos Alternativos sobre la Economía Chilena en 1988-1989", *Colección Estudios Cieplan* 24: 113-43.
- Schmidt-Hebbel, K. and L. Serven. 1995. "Hacia una Menor Inflación en Chile: Contracción Monetaria bajo Expectativas Racionales." In F. Morandé and F. Rosende (eds.): Análisis Empírico de la Inflación en Chile. Santiago: Universidad Católica de Chile and ILADES/Georgetown University.
 - _____. 1996. "Ajuste Fiscal y Tipo de Cambio Real bajo Expectativas Racionales en Chile." In F. Morandé and R. Vergara (eds.): *Análisis Empírico del Tipo de Cambio en Chile*. Santiago: Centro de Estudios Públicos and ILADES/Georgetown University.

- Shapiro, C. and J. Stiglitz. 1984. "Equilibrium Unemployment as a Worker Discipline Device", *American Economic Review* 74 (3): 433-44.
- Shoven, J. and J. Whalley. 1984. "Applied General Equilibrium Models of Taxation and International Trade: An Introduction and Survey", *Journal of Economic Literature* 22 (3): 1007-51.

Sims, C. 1980. "Macroeconomics and Reality", Econometrica 48 (1): 1-48.

- Slemrod, J. 1983. "A General Equilibrium Model of Taxation with Endogenous Financial Behavior." In M. Feldstein (ed.): *Behavioral Simulation Methods in Tax Policy Analysis*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Smets, F. and R. Wouters. 2003. "An Estimated Dynamic Stochastic General Equilibrium Model of the Euro Area", *Journal of the European Economic Association* 1 (5): 1123-75.
- Taylor, J. 1981. "Aggregate Dynamics and Staggered Contracts", *Journal of Political Economy* 88 (1): 1-23.

____. 1973a. "Investment Project Analysis in terms of a Model of Optimal Growth". In R.S. Eckaus and P.N. Rosenstein-Rodan: *op. cit.*

. 1973b. "Neoclassical Projections of Foreign Exchange Needs in Chile". In R.S. Eckaus and P.N. Rosenstein-Rodan: *op. cit.*

Tinbergen, J. 1939. Statistical Testing of Business Cycle Theories. Geneva: League of Nations.

Vial, J. 1981. "Un Modelo Econométrico para una Economía Cerrada, Chile 1960-1976", *Documento de Investigación* No. 56, Departamente de Economía, Universidad de Chile, Santiago.

_____. 1988. "Comparación de Modelos Macroeconométricos Latinoamericanos", *Colección Estudios Cieplan* 24: 145-81.

Vial, J. y G. Le Fort. 1986. "Deuda Externa y Perspectivas de Crecimiento en América Latina", *Integración Latinoamericana* (BID-INTAL) enero-febrero: 19-26.

Walras, L. 1874. Elements d'Economie Politique Pure. Lausanne: Corbaz.

Zellner, A. 1969. "General Description of the Federal Reserve-MIT-Penn Quarterly Econometric Model of the U.S. Economy (version 4.1)", *Unpublished mansucript*, University of Chicago.

Documentos de Trabajo Banco Central de Chile

Working Papers Central Bank of Chile

NÚMEROS ANTERIORES

PAST ISSUES

La serie de Documentos de Trabajo en versión PDF puede obtenerse gratis en la dirección electrónica: <u>www.bcentral.cl/esp/estpub/estudios/dtbc</u>. Existe la posibilidad de solicitar una copia impresa con un costo de \$500 si es dentro de Chile y US\$12 si es para fuera de Chile. Las solicitudes se pueden hacer por fax: (56-2) 6702231 o a través de correo electrónico: <u>bcch@bcentral.cl</u>.

Working Papers in PDF format can be downloaded free of charge from: <u>www.bcentral.cl/eng/stdpub/studies/workingpaper</u>. Printed versions can be ordered individually for US\$12 per copy (for orders inside Chile the charge is Ch\$500.) Orders can be placed by fax: (56-2) 6702231 or e-mail: <u>bcch@bcentral.cl</u>.

DTBC-306 Rankings de Universidades Chilenas según los Ingresos de sus Titulados David Rappoport, José Miguel Benavente, y Patricio Meller	Diciembre 2004
DTBC-305 Emerging Market Economies: The Aftermath of Volatility Contagion in a Selection of Three Financial Crises Felipe Jaque	Diciembre 2004
DTBC-304 Labor Markets and Institutions: An Overview Jorge Enrique Restrepo y Andrea Tokman	Diciembre 2004
DTBC-303 Determinantes de la Inversión en Chile Igal Magendzo	Diciembre 2004
DTBC-302 Overcoming Fear of Floating: Exchange Rate Policies in Chile José De Gregorio y Andrea Tokman R.	Diciembre 2004
DTBC-301 Regularidades Empíricas de la Economía Chilena Jorge Enrique Restrepo y Claudio Soto	Diciembre 2004
DTBC-300 Persistence and the Roles of the Exchange Rate and Interest Rate Inertia in Monetary Policy Rodrigo Caputo	Diciembre 2004

DTBC-299 Large Hoardings of International Reserves: Are They Worth It? Pablo García y Claudio Soto	Diciembre 2004
DTBC-298 Economic Growth in Chile: Evidence, Sources and Prospects José De Gregorio	Diciembre 2004
DTBC-297 The Default Rate and Price of Capital in a Costly External Finance Model Juan Pablo Medina	Diciembre 2004
DTBC-296 Determinantes de las Exportaciones no Minerales: Una Perspectiva Regional Mabel Cabezas, Jorge Selaive, y Gonzalo Becerra	Diciembre 2004
DTBC-295 Innovación Tecnológica en Chile Dónde Estamos y Qué se puede Hacer José Miguel Benavente H.	Diciembre 2004
DTBC-294 Trade Openness And Real Exchange Rate Volatility: Panel Data Evidence César Calderón	Diciembre 2004
DTBC-293 Money as an Inflation Indicator in Chile – Does P* Still Work? Tobias Broer y Rodrigo Caputo	Diciembre 2004
DTBC-292 External Conditions and Growth Performance César Calderón, Norman Loayza, y Klaus Schmidt-Hebbel	Diciembre 2004
DTBC-291 Sistema Financiero y Crecimiento Económico en Chile Leonardo Hernández y Fernando Parro	Diciembre 2004
DTBC-290 Endogenous Financial Constraints: Persistence and Interest Rate Fluctuations Juan Pablo Medina	Diciembre 2004