NOMENCLATURAL NOTES ON CLEMENTE'S ENSAYO

by PAUL C. SILVA*

Resumen

SILVA, P. C. (1992). Notas nomenclaturales sobre el Ensayo de Clemente. *Anales Jard. Bot. Madrid* 49(2): 163-170 (en inglés).

Las contribuciones ficológicas de Don Simón de Roxas Clemente y Rubio (1777-1827) no son muy conocidas. En una lista de algas marinas anexa a su libro Ensayo sobre las variedades de la vid común que vegetan en Andalucía (Madrid, 1807), Clemente describió 41 táxones nuevos, que entraron en la literatura ficológica cuando él envió ejemplares a Dawson Turner en Inglaterra y Carl Agardh en Suecia. Todos los autores ulteriores —con excepción de Jacob Agardh, que podía examinar los ejemplares en el herbario de su padre— dependieron de las opiniones sobre los táxones de Clemente publicadas por C. Agardh. Un estudio reciente del herbario de Clemente (en MA) por Javier Cremades (Universidad de Santiago) ha producido varias combinaciones nuevas. Scinaia pseudocrispa (Clemente) Wynne es un nombre superfluo porque S. furcellata (Turner) J. Agardh no es un homónimo posterior de S. forcellata Bivona-Bernardi y no necesita reemplazarse. Las combinaciones propuestas Champia intricata (Clemente) Cremades y Laurencia tenerrima (Clemente) Cremades están basadas en homónimos posteriores y, por esto, no son sostenibles. Es dudosa la aplicación del nombre Dictyopteris ambigua (Clemente) Cremades.

Palabras clave: Clemente, algas marinas, Dictyopteris, Scinaia.

Abstract

SILVA, P. C. (1992). Nomenclatural notes on Clemente's Ensayo. Anales Jard. Bot. Madrid 49(2): 163-170.

The phycological contributions of Don Simón de Roxas Clemente y Rubio (1777-1827) are not well known. In a list of seaweeds appended to his treatment of the varieties of grape (Ensayo sobre las variedades de la vid común que vegetan en Andalucía, Madrid, 1807), Clemente described 41 new species and varieties, which entered phycological literature when he sent specimens to Dawson Turner in England and Carl Agardh in Sweden. All subsequent authors (except Jacob Agardh, who had access to his father's herbarium) relied upon C. 'Agardh's published opinions of the Clemente taxa. A recent study of Clemente's herbarium (at MA) by Javier Cremades (Universidad de Santiago) resulted in several new combinations. Scinaia pseudocrispa (Clemente) Wynne is superfluous because S. furcellata (Turner) J. Agardh is not a later homonym of S. forcellata Bivona-Bernardi and need not be replaced. The intended combinations Champia intricata (Clemente) Cremades and Laurencia tenerrima (Clemente) Cremades are based on later homonyms and hence are untenable. The application of the name Dictyopteris ambigua (Clemente) Cremades is uncertain.

Key words: Clemente, marine algae, Dictyopteris, Scinaia.

^{*} University Herbarium, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720 (U.S.A).

Don Simón de Roxas Clemente y Rubio (1777-1827) and his contribution to marine phycology have long been obscured by a fog of ignorance and uncertainty. His name itself has proved puzzling to some bibliographers. PRITZEL, in his Thesaurus literaturae botanicae, first entered this Spaniard's name as Roxas Clemente y Rubio, Don Simón de (Ed. 1, 1848: 253) and later provided a much reduced entry under Clemente, Simón de Rojas (Ed. 2, 1872: 64). The correction was based on the bibliographic entry in Colmeiro (1858: 195-197), which Pritzel cited. STAFLEU & COWAN took the opposite course in their Taxonomic literature, first entering his name as Clemente y Rubio, Simón de Rojas (Vol. 1, 1976: 510) and later providing a much amplified entry under Roxas Clemente y Rubio, Simón de (Vol. 4, 1983: 953-954). Phycologists consistently and correctly cited him as Clemente (see, for example, various works by C. Agardh, J. Agardh, Greville, and De Toni).

Clemente was born in the village of Titaguas, province of Valencia. After beginning his studies in theology, he turned to oriental languages and natural sciences. He studied under two successive directors of the Royal Botanical Garden in Madrid, Casimiro Gómez Ortega and Antonio José Cavanilles, and afterwards was employed as librarian of the Garden. Clemente undertook botanical exploration in the vicinity of Madrid, in the Sierra de Guadarrama, and in the region of Andalucía. He favored cryptogamic plants and collaborated with Mariano Lagasca and Donato García in publishing an introductory account of Spanish cryptogams (LAGASCA & al., 1802). This first part of a projected series deals solely with ferns and mosses. Clemente was joined by a Catalan, Domingo Badía, in visiting Paris and London, where he established botanical connections. He developed a keen interest in useful and cultivated plants, thus confronting the indifference accorded these plants by most botanists (then and now).

Clemente's contribution to our knowledge of algae is represented solely by an annotated list of seaweeds that he had encountered in Andalucía, with cited localities ranging from Sanlúcar de Barrameda in the Atlantic through the Strait of Gibraltar to Cabo de Gata in the Mediterranean. This list was appended to a treatise on the varieties of grapes cultivated in that region (Ensavo sobre las variedades de la vid común que vegetan en Andalucía..., 1807). Clemente prefaced his list with some remarkably insightful comments. He did not subscribe to the idea, prevalent at the time, that seaweeds, when torn loose from the substrate, can be transported such long distances as to induce errors in biogeographic assessment. Rather, he thought that the source of cast-up algae was nearby rocks. When the waters of the Gulf of Cádiz retreated as far as a quarter of a league (somewhat more than 1 km) during the extreme low tides of the solstices and equinoxes, Clemente saw various species that he had never seen growing at higher levels. Noting that the vertical distribution of each component of the marine vegetation was limited by specific tide levels with a precision greater than the effect of altitude on terrestrial plants, he thought it was possible, after taking into account the tidal patterns in various parts of the world, to describe a universal scheme of marine vegetational geography. With regard to this idea, Clemente was a pioneer, although he died before he could develop it. The first universal scheme of intertidal zonation based on original studies made at a variety of rocky sites was not published until 1949 by STEPHENSON & STEPHENSON.

Clemente proposed 42 nomenclatural novelties in his list of Andalusian sea-

weeds, including 29 new species (16 in Fucus, six in Ulva, five in Conferva, and two in Tremella), 12 new varieties (all in Fucus), and a new combination (in Fucus). His descriptions are unusually detailed in comparison with those of his contemporaries, most of them with dimensions and supplemented by comparisons with previously described species. While Clemente's Ensayo was immediately acclaimed by agriculturists, being translated into French in 1814 and German in 1821, it remained largely unknown by phycologists and is rarely encountered in libraries, except perhaps in Spain. The earliest reference to it in phycological literature is to be found in TURNER (1811: pl. 140), who published the first illustration of Fucus cabrera Clemente, based on a specimen included in a large parcel of marine plants from the neighborhood of Cádiz sent to Turner by Clemente. It seems likely that this parcel contained specimens of several of Clemente's new species of Fucus, so that it is surprising that only two others were illustrated by Turner, namely, Fucus floresius and F. corneus var. sesquipedalis (TURNER, 1819: pls. 256 and 257 iota). A fourth species, F. multipartitus Clemente, was considered by TURNER (1819: pl. 215) to be a synonym of F. granateus Lamouroux (LA-MOUROUX, 1805: 68, pl. XXXIII: figs. 3, 4), from the Atlantic coast of France and Spain, although LAMOUROUX himself (1813: 125) had combined the latter species with F. ocellatus Lamouroux (in DE CANDOLLE, 1802: 131, pl. 9: figs. 2, 3) as Delesseria ocellata (Lamouroux) Lamouroux, at present considered a taxonomic synonym of Nitophyllum punctatum (Stackhouse) Greville.

Two specimens accompanied by manuscript names also were sent to Turner by Clemente. One, named Fucus subglobosus by Clemente, was referred by Turner (1811: pl. 136) to Fucus bursa (L.) Turner, now known as Codium bursa (L.) C. Agardh. The other, named Fucus lichenoides by Clemente, was mentioned by Turner in a footnote to his plate 135, in which he expressed suspicion that this alga, "which... covers the rocks at Cádiz with irregular patches", was only a juvenile stage of Fucus tomentosus auct., now known as Codium tomentosum Stackhouse. While Turner correctly surmised that Fucus bursa, F. tomentosus auct., and F. lichenoides Clemente ms all belonged to Codium, a genus described by Stackhouse but not recognized by Turner, he incorrectly assessed the nature of F. lichenoides. I have examined the Clemente specimens in Turner's herbarium (K, housed at BM) and found that while F. subglobosus was correctly assigned to Codium bursa, F. lichenoides is referable to C. adhaerens C. Agardh.

Contemporaneously, C. Agardh was receiving specimens of marine algae from Clemente and to a much greater extent from Antonio Cabrera, a priest living in Cádiz. In his synoptical treatments of algae, C. AGARDH (1817, 1820-1828, 1824) considered 28 of the 41 taxa described by Clemente, but for only half of these did he explicitly indicate that he had a Clemente specimen in hand. For nine taxa he cited a Cabrera specimen but not a Clemente specimen, leaving five taxa for which his concept may have been based solely on Clemente's descriptions. Except for J. Agardh, who had access to his father's herbarium, phycologists subsequent to C. Agardh relied upon his published interpretations. GREVILLE (1830: lvi), for example, in transferring F. heredia and F. multipartitus to the genus Chondrus, failed to mention Clemente, citing "Sphaerococcus Heredia, Ag. p. 215" and "Sphaerococcus multipartitus, Ag. p. 216" as basionyms. It is interesting to note that in the phycological bibliography preceding the text of Greville's Algae britannicae, which usually proves to be an excellent source of information on

obscure publications, only the French edition of the *Ensayo* is listed, with the date erroneously given as 1804. A similar bibliography in ENDLICHER (1843: 2), which is obviously based on Greville, has a nearly identical entry for Clemente, including the erroneous date and two additional orthographic or typographic errors. It is unlikely that Greville ever saw this book, and even more unlikely that Endlicher saw it. DE TONI (1889: XXII) cited the Spanish edition, which he may have seen, but the erroneous date 1887 is given.

As of 1989, only six of Clemente's new taxa provided names for currently recognized species, all *Rhodophyceae*, as follows:

Gelidium sesquipedale (Clemente) Thuret in Bornet & Thuret, 1876: 61 (Fucus corneus Hudson var. sesquipedalis Clemente, 1807: 317).

Halymenia floresia (Clemente) C. Agardh, 1817: XIX (Fucus floresius Clemente, 1807: 312).

Halymenia trigona (Clemente) C. Agardh, 1822: 211 (Fucus trigonus Clemente, 1807: 318).

Phyllophora heredia (Clemente) J. Agardh, 1842: 94 (Fucus heredia Clemente, 1807: 314).

Pterosiphonia complanata (Clemente) Falkenberg in Schmitz & Falkenberg, 1897: 443 (Fucus complanatus Clemente, 1807: 316).

Rytiphlaea tinctoria (Clemente) C. Agardh, 1824: 160 (Fucus tinctorius Clemente, 1807: 316).

In addition, the distinctness of *Gracilaria multipartita* (Clemente) W. H. Harvey, 1846: pl. XV (*Fucus multipartitus* Clemente, 1807: 311), which was placed in the synonymy of *G. foliifera* (Forsskål) Børgesen by Børgesen (1932: 7-9), is suggested by the results of hybridization studies made by Guiry & Freamhainn (1986: 635-636).

DIXON & IRVINE (1970) expressed the opinion that Scinaia furcellata (Turner) J. Agardh (J. AGARDH, 1851) is a later homonym of S. forcellata Bivona-Bernardi (BIVONA-BERNARDI, 1822) and that the latter is therefore the correct name for this well-known species. WYNNE (1989), aware that Fucus pseudocrispus Clemente had been placed in the synonymy of this species by C. AGARDH (1822: 212), as Halymenia furcellata (Turner) C. Agardh, attempted to corroborate this taxonomic decision by examining the type. At the time he wrote to Madrid, however, the Clemente collections were in the process of being studied as part of a doctoral thesis by Javier Cremades, working under the direction of Prof. Pérez-Cirera at the Universidad de Santiago, Santiago de Compostela. On the basis of a photograph of the type specimen, Wynne concluded that F. pseudocrispus was indeed representative of Scinaia furcellata and therefore proposed the combination S. pseudocrispa (Clemente) Wynne. Subsequently, the same combination was proposed by CREMADES & PÉREZ-CIRERA (1990a).

Other new combinations resulting from Cremades's study of the Clemente collections were extracted from his doctoral thesis (CREMADES & PÉREZ-CIRERA, 1990b; Cremades in CREMADES & PÉREZ-CIRERA, 1990c). Because phycologists are being asked to abandon such well-known names as Helminthora divaricata (C. Agardh) J. Agardh, Platysiphonia miniata (C. Agardh) Børgesen, Scytosiphon lomentaria (Lyngbye) Link, and Enteromorpha ramulosa (J. E. Smith) Hooker in favor of H. stackhousei (Clemente) Cremades & Pérez-Cirera, P. deli-

cata (Clemente) Cremades, S. simplicissimus ('simplicissima') (Clemente) Cremades, and E. muscoides (Clemente) Cremades, respectively, they eagerly await the publication of Cremade's thesis with the documentation and discussion supporting these new combinations.

The combination *Scinaia pseudocrispa* and four other combinations of Clemente's species call for some remarks.

Scinaia pseudocrispa (Clemente) Wynne

DIXON & IRVINE (1970) pointed out that Scinaia forcellata Bivona-Bernardi (BIVONA-BERNARDI, 1822: 232, syntype localities: Palermo and Napoli, Italy) has at times been confused with S. furcellata (Turner) J. Agardh (J. AGARDH, 1851: 422), a combination made by J. Agardh when he decided that S. forcellata was conspecific whit *Ulva furcellata* Turner (TURNER, 1801: 301, pl. I: fig. A, type locality: Sherringham, Norfolk, England). Some subsequent authors erroneously attributed the combination to Bivona-Bernardi. Moreover, TREVISAN (1848: 105, adnot.) had previously cited "Scinaia furcellata, Bivona", but this binomial must be considered an invalidly published orthographic variant in accordance with Art. 75.1 of the International Code of Botanical Nomenclature and thus has no effect on S. furcellata (Turner) J. Agardh. DIXON & IRVINE (1970) were of the opinion that the two epithets "have the same derivation and ought, therefore, to be treated as mere orthographic variants." Rejecting S. furcellata (Turner) J. Agardh as a later homonym of S. forcellata Bivona-Bernardi, they concluded that the latter is the correct name for this species. In fact, the two epithets are not mere orthographic variants. As stated by Dixon & Irvine, Bivona-Bernardi took the epithets forcellata from the name given to this alga by IMPERATO (1599: 736), who informally referred to it as "la forcellata". As used by Imperato, forcellata is an Italian adjectival noun, related to the words forca (fork) and forcella (little fork or wishbone) (furca and furcilla in Latin). BIVONA-BERNARDI (1822) cited "Forcellata" as if it were a generic name, and in synonymy he listed a Latin phrase name from CUPANI (1713), "Fucus forcellata, lumbricalis..." As an epithet in a scientific name, forcellata, being a noun rather than an adjective, remains unchanged, regardless of the gender of the generic name. It may be noted that in the Latin edition of IMPERATO (1695), "la forcellata" is rendered by "Furcellaria". Had Bivona-Bernardi used this name as an epithet, its grammatical role as a noun would have been more obvious. The epithet furcellata used by Turner is an adjective that declines in agreement with the gender of the generic name.

Regardless of the interpretation of the legitimacy of Scinaia furcellata (Turner) J. Agardh, the combination S. pseudocrispa (Clemente) Wynne is superfluous since Fucus trigonus Clemente 1807, with equal priority, had been transferred earlier to Scinaia as S. trigona (Clemente) Trevisan (TREVISAN, 1848: 105, adnot.). C. Agardh had referred F. trigonus to Halymenia in 1822 on the basis of a specimen sent by Cabrera, but CREMADES & PÉREZ-CIRERA (1990c) showed that Clemente's type is referable to Scinaia. The correct name for the species formerly called Halymenia trigona is H. elongata C. Agardh (C. AGARDH, 1822: 209), based on a collection by Cabrera from the Gulf of Cádiz.

Champia intricata (Clemente) Cremades

The intended basionym, Conferva intricata Clemente, is a later homonym of

Conferva intricata Roth (ROTH, 1806: 228), a name applied to an alga from the lake Zwischenahner in Oldenburg, Germany. This alga has never been reinvestigated and its identity remains unknown. Because later homonyms are illegitimate and not priorable, C. intricata Clemente cannot serve as a basionym. The binomial Champia intricata must be attributed directly to Cremades and must date from 1990. Hence, the correct name for the species in question remains Champia parvula (C. Agardh) Harvey (Chondria parvula C. Agardh 1824: 207, type locality: Cádiz).

Laurencia tenerrima (Clemente) Cremades

The intended basionym, Fucus tenerrimus Clemente, is a later homonym of Fucus tenerrimus Esper (ESPER, 1800: 198, pl. CX), a name applied to an alga from the Adriatic sent to Esper by Wulfen. This alga has never been reinvestigated. Esper's plate probably represents Chylocladia verticillata (Lightfoot) Bliding, a name whose basionym (Fucus verticillatus Lightfoot 1777) has priority over F. tenerrimus Esper 1800. Because later homonyms are illegitimate and not priorable, F. tenerrimus Clemente cannot serve as a basionym. The binomial Laurencia tenerrima must be attributed directly to Cremades and must date from 1990. Hence, the correct name for the species in question remains Laurencia papillosa (C. Agardh) Greville (Chondria papillosa C. Agardh 1822: 345, new name for Fucus papillosus Forsskål 1775, not F. papillosus S. G. Gmelin 1768, type locality: Red Sea).

Scytosiphon simplicissimus ("simplicissima") (Clemente) Cremades

The generic name Scytosiphon is masculine, despite the suggestion by the epithet of the displaced name, lomentaria, that it is feminine. That epithet is a generic name and remains undeclined regardless of the gender of the generic name.

Dictyopteris ambigua (Clemente) Cremades

NIZAMUDDIN (1981) has distinguished three species of *Dictyopteris* from Libya. In the first species [D. membranacea (Stackhouse) Batters] the sori are said to be scattered on either side of the midrib, in the second species (D. polypodioides sensu Lamouroux) they are linearly arranged on either side of the midrib, while in the third species (D. tripolitana Nizamuddin) they are obliquely transverse on either side of the midrib. Nizamuddin (1981) recorded all three species from the Atlantic as far north as England and in various parts of the Mediterranean. The fact that none of the three species has geographic integrity suggests the desirability of reinvestigating the taxonomy of northeastern Atlantic Dictyopteris.

NIZAMUDDIN (1981) circumscribed the first species to include the types of both Fucus membranaceus Stackhouse (STACKHOUSE, 1795: 13, pl. VI, type locality: Sidmouth, Devonshire, England) and F. polypodioides Desfontaines (DESFONTAINES, 1799: 421, type locality: Algeria). Both of these names are later homonyms (of F. membranaceus N. Burman 1768 and F. polypodioides S. G. Gemelin 1768, respectively) and thus not priorable. Art. 72.2, Note 1 of the International Code of Botanical Nomenclature can be invoked to find legitimate names for later homonyms. In the case of F. polypodioides Desfontaines, Ulva polypodioides

A. P. De Candolle (in LAMARCK & DE CANDOLLE, 1805: 15) can be considered a legitimate new name. In the case of F. membranaceus Stackhouse, however, all intended combinations based on this name must be considered superfluous and hence illegitimate new names because the species to which the binomials were applied included the type of *Ulva polypodioides*. A legitimate new name was inadvertently supplied by LAMOUROUX (1809a: 332; 1809b: 129), who separated F. polypodioides from F. membranaceus when he established the genus Dictyopteris, changing the epithet of the latter specific name to elongata. Nizamuddin's first species should have been called D. polypodioides (De Candolle) Lamouroux (LAMOUROUX, 1809a: 332; 1809b: 129). If F. membranaceus and F. polypodioides are considered distinct species, the former should be called D. elongata Lamouroux. The second species as treated by Nizamuddin under the name "Dictyopteris polypodioides Lamouroux" has no name, and if it should turn out to be distinct from both D. polypodioides and D. elongata, it may prove to have as its name D. ambigua (Clemente) Cremades. Until northeastern Atlantic Dictyopteris has been reinvestigated, the application of Clemente's Fucus ambiguus remains uncertain.

The commemorative epithets chosen by Clemente are unorthodox. He is the only author to my knowledge who used unmodified family names (Cabrera, Heredia, Lagasca and Stackhouse) rather than family names in the genitive case, as recommended by the International Code of Botanical Nomenclature (Rec. 73C.1). In accordance with Art. 73.10, the epithets may be corrected, without change of author or date, to cabrerae, herediae, lagascae, and stackhousei. In another example of heterodoxy, Clemente commemorated Don Francisco Flores with the epithet floresius. This should be corrected to floresii. The currently used names affected by these changes are Phyllophora heredia (Clemente) J. Agardh, Helminthora stackhousei (Clemente) Cremades & Pérez-Cirera, and Halymenia floresii (Clemente) C. Agardh.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I am grateful to the Library of the United States Department of Agriculture for the loan of their copy of Clemente's *Ensayo* in 1950, before photocopying was commonplace. Dr. Tomás Gallardo (Universidad Complutense) recently had the kindness to send me a photocopy of the pertinent portions of this book. Dr. Michael J. Wynne (University of Michigan) graciously provided background information regarding the current interest in Clemente.

BIBLIOGRAPHIC REFERENCES

AGARDH, C. A. (1817). Synopsis algarum Scandinaviae... Lundae [Lund].

AGARDH, C. A. (1820-1828). Species algarum... Vol. 1, part 1. Lundae [Lund]. 1820. Vol. 1, part 2. Lundae [Lund]. 1822-1823. Vol. 2, sect. 1. Gryphiae [Greifswald]. 1828.

AGARDH, C. A. (1824). Systema algarum. Lundae [Lund].

AGARDH, J. G. (1842). Algae maris Mediterranei et Adriatici... Parisiis [Paris].

AGARDH, J. G. (1851). Species genera et ordines algarum... Vol. 2, part 2, fasc. 2. Lundae [Lund].

BIVONA-BERNARDI, A. (1822). Scinaia algarum marinarum novum genus. Giorn. Sci. Sicilia 1: 232-234.

ROBNET F. & G. THURET (1876). Notes alcologiques. Esca. I. Paris.

BORNET, E. & G. THURET (1876). Notes algologiques. Fasc. I. Paris.

BØRGESEN, F. (1932). A revision of Forsskål's algae mentioned in Flora aegyptiaco-arabica and found in his herbarium in the Botanical Museum of the University of Copenhagen. *Dansk Bot. Ark.* 8(2): 1-14.

CLEMENTE Y RUBIO, S. DE R. (1807). Ensayo sobre las variedades de la vid común que vegetan en Andalucía. Madrid.

COLMEIRO, M. (1858). La botánica y los botánicos de la península hispano-lusitana. Madrid.

CREMADES, J. & J. L. PÉREZ-CIRERA (1990a). Lectotipificación de Fucus pseudocrispus Clemente, nombre prioritario para Scinaia forcellata Bivona (Chaetangiaceae, Rhodophyta). Anales Jard. Bot. Madrid 47: 231-232.

CREMADES, J. & J. L. PÉREZ-CIRERA (1990b). Fucus stackhouse Clemente, nombre prioritario para Helminthora divaricata (C. Agardh) J. Agardh (Helminthocladiaceae, Rhodophyta). Anales Jard. Bot.

CREMADES, J. & J. L. PÉREZ-CIRERA (1990c). Nuevas combinaciones de algas bentónicas marinas, como resultado del estudio del herbario de Simón de Rojas Clemente y Rubio (1777-1827). Anales Jard. Bot. Madrid 47: 489-492.

CUPANI, F. (1713), Panphyton siculum... Panormi [Palermo]. (Not seen.)

DE CANDOLLE, A. P. (1802). Description de deux espèces inédites de Varecs, par le C. Lamouroux fils, d'Agen. Bull. Sci. Soc. Philom. Paris 3: 131.

DE TONI, G. B. (1889). Sylloge algarum... Vol. 1. Patavii [Padova].

DESFONTAINES, R. (1799). Flora atlántica... Vol. 2: 161-458. Parisiis [Paris].

DIXON, P. S. & L. M. IRVINE (1970). Miscellaneous notes on algal taxonomy and nomenclature III. Bot. Not. 123: 474-487.

ENDLICHER, S. L. (1843). Mantissa botanica altera. Sistems generum plantarum supplementum tertium. Vindobonae [Wien].

ESPER, E. J. C. (1800). Icones fucorum. Vol. 1, part 4. Nurnberg.

GREVILLE R. K. (1830). Algae britannicae... Edinburgh.

GUIRY, M. D. & M. T. FREAMHAINN (1986). Biosystematics of Gracilaria foliifera (Gigartinales, Rhodophyta). Nord. J. Bot. 5: 629-637.

HARVEY, W. H. (1846). Phycologia britannica... Vol. 1. London.

IMPERATO, F. (1599). Dell' historia naturale libri XXVIII. Napoli.

IMPERATO, F. (1695). Historiae naturalis libri XXIX. Coloniae [Koln].

LAGASCA, M., D. GARCÍA & S. DE R. CLEMENTE (1802). Introducción á la Criptogamia de España. Anales Ci. Nat. 5: 135-215.

LAMARCK, J. B. DE & A. P. DE CANDOLLE (1805). Flore française. Ed. 3, vol. 2. Paris.

LAMOUROUX, J. V. F. (1805). Dissertations sur plusieurs espèces de Fucus... Agen.

LAMOUROUX, J. V. F. (1809a). Observations sur la physiologie des algues marines, et description de cinq nouveaux genres de cette famille. Nouv. Bull. Sci. Soc. Philom. Paris 1: 330-333.

LAMOUROUX, J. V. F. (1809b). Mémoire sur trois nouveaux genres de la famille des algues marines. J. Bot. (Desvaux) 2: 129-135.

LAMOUROUX, J. V. F. (1813), Essai sur les genres de la famille des thalassiophytes non articulées. Ann. Mus. Natl. Hist. Nat. 20: 21-47, 115-139, 267-293.

NIZAMUDDIN, M. (1981). Contribution to the marine algae of Libya. Dictyotales. Biblioth. Phycol. 54.

PRITZEL, G. A. (1848). Thesaurus literaturae botanicae... Part. 4. Lipsiae [Leipzig].

PRITZEL, G. A. (1872). Thesaurus literaturae botanicae... Ed. 2. Lipsiae [Leipzig].

ROTH, A. W. (1806). Catalecta botanica... Fasc. 3. Lipsiae [Leipzig].

SCHMITZ, F. & P. FALKENBERG (1897). Rhodomelaceae. In: A. Engler & K. Prantl (eds.), Die natürlichen Pflanzenfamilien... 1(2): 421-480. Leipzig.

STACKHOUSE, J. (1795). Nereis britannica... Fasc. 1. Bathoniae [Bath].

STAFLEU, F. A. & R. S. COWAN (1976). Taxonomic literature... Vol. 1. Regnum Veg. 94.

STAFLEU, F. A. & R. S. COWAN (1983). Taxonomic literature... Vol. 4. Regnum Veg. 110.

STEPHENSON, T. A. & A. STEPHENSON (1949). The universal features of zonation between tide-marks on rocky coast. J. Ecol. 37: 289-305.

TREVISAN, V. B. A. (1848). Saggio di una monografia delle Alghe Coccotalle. Padova.

TURNER, D. (1801). Ulva furcellata et multifida, descriptae. J. Bot. (Schrader) 1800(1): 300-302.

TURNER, D. (1811). Fuci... Vol. 2. London.
TURNER, D. (1819). Fuci... Vol. 4. London. [Issued in parts, beginning at least as early as 1815.]

WYNNE, M. J. (1989). Scinaia interrupta (A. DC.) comb. nov., an older name for S. turgida Chemin, and S. pseudocrispa (Clemente) comb. nov., an older name for S. forcellata Biv.-Bern. (Galaxauraceae, Rhodophyta). Candollea 44: 129-136.