ARTÍCULO DE INVESTIGACIÓN

Caracterización de un Consorcio Microbiano Metanogénico de una Mina de Carbón en la Cuenca de Bogotá

Characterization of a Methanogenic Microbial Consortium from a Coal Mine in Bogotá Basin

***Claudia Marcela Mayorga Díaz*[*], Edgar Pedraza Leguizamo*[*],**
***Oriana Danuta Serna Daza*[**], Jorge Hernández Torres*[**], Elena E. Stashenko*[***],**
Mario García González*[****], María Mercedes Levy*[*****], Morris Levy*[*****], and Jorge Luis Fuentes*[*,,+]**

DOI: 10.15446/rev.colomb.biote.v22n2.93031

RESUMEN

En el trabajo se estudió un consorcio microbiano metanogénico de una mina de carbón de la cuenca de Bogotá en Colombia. Se establecieron cultivos de enriquecimiento de carbón *ex situ* para el crecimiento y la producción de gas *de novo*. El gas biogénico producido por los cultivos se analizó mediante cromatografía de gases con detectores de ionización de llama y conductividad térmica. Los cultivos se utilizaron para aislar estirpes microbianas y para generar bibliotecas del gene 16S rARN empleando de cebadores de bacteria y de arquea. El análisis de cromatografía de gases mostró producción de metano a 37 °C, pero no a 60 °C, donde el CO_2 fue el componente principal del gas biogénico. El análisis de la secuencia del gen 16S rARN de estirpes microbianos y de las bibliotecas de clones, estableció que el consorcio microbiano metanogénico estuvo formado por especies de bacterias de los géneros *Bacillus* y *Gracilibacter* más la arquea del género *Methanothermobacter*. El consorcio microbiano metanogénico identificado es potencialmente responsable de la generación de gas biogénico en la mina de carbón La Ciscuda. Los resultados sugirieron que los metanógenos de este consorcio producían metano por vía hidrogenotrófica o de reducción de CO_2.

Palabras claves: Geomicrobiología, minas de carbón, gas metano, Análisis del gen 16S rARN.

ABSTRACT

The work studied the methanogenic microbial consortium in a coal mine from the Bogotá basin in Colombia. *Ex situ* coal-enrichment cultures were established for *in vitro* growth and *de novo* gas production. Biogenic gas produced by cultures was analyzed by gas chromatography using thermal conductivity and flame ionization detectors. Cultures were used to isolate microbial

[*] Laboratorio de Microbiología y Mutagénesis Ambiental, Grupo de Investigación en Microbiología y Genética, Escuela de Biología, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Industrial de Santander, Bucaramanga, Colombia.
[**] Laboratorio de Biología Molecular, Escuela de Biología, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Industrial de Santander, Bucaramanga, Colombia.
[***] Centro de Investigación en Biomoléculas, CIBIMOL, Universidad Industrial de Santander, Bucaramanga, Colombia.
[****] Grupo de Investigación en Geología de Hidrocarburos y Carbones, Escuela de Geología, Facultad de Ingenierías Fisicoquímicas, Universidad Industrial de Santander, Bucaramanga, Colombia.
[*****] Department of Biological Sciences, Lilly Hall of Life Sciences, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, USA.
[+] Autor para correspondencia: Jorge Luis Fuentes (jfuentes@uis.edu.co). ORCID ID: 0000-0001-8112-0554.

specimens and to generate 16S rRNA gene libraries employing bacterial and archaeal primer sets. The gas chromatographic analysis showed methane production at 37 °C, but not at 60 °C, where CO_2 was the major component of the biogenic gas. 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis of microbial isolates and clone libraries established that the methanogenic microbial consortium was formed by bacteria species from *Bacillus* and *Gracilibacter* genera plus archaea from the *Methanothermobacter* genus. This methanogenic microbial consortium was potentially responsible for biogenic gas generation in La Ciscuda coal mine. The results suggested that these methanogens produced methane by hydrogenotrophic or CO_2 reduction pathways.

Keywords: Geomicrobiology, coal mine, methane gas, 16S rRNA gene analysis.

Recibido: octubre 15 de 2021 **Aprobado**: noviembre 10 de 2022

INTRODUCTION

Coal bed methane (CBM) refers to methane generated by either thermogenic or biogenic processes in coal beds (Moore, 2012). This gas trapped in the coal bed is recovered by using production wells that cut coal beds, allowing the migration of gas from the coal beds to the wells, as is illustrated by Figure 1. The stable carbon ($\delta^{13}C$) and deuterium (δD) isotopic signatures and gas composition analyses in numerous basins worldwide have shown important microbial CBM occurrence (Strąpoć *et al.*, 2011), generating much interest in CBM technology. CBM generation through bio-stimulation and bio-augmentation have been documented as a potential technology for methane production (Jones *et al.*, 2010). Currently, CBM is supplying 6% of the total natural gas consumed in the United States of America (U.S. Energy Information Agency, 2018).

Analysis of 16S rRNA gene sequences of metagenome samples from coal bed cores or aquifers has enlarged knowledge on the microbial diversity in coal reservoirs throughout world. Coal beds showed a high prokaryotic diversity represented by species of *Firmicutes*, *Spirochetes*, *Bacteroidetes*, and all subgroups of *Proteobacteria*; as well as methanogens, including *Methanosarcinales*, *Methanomicrobiales* and *Methanobacteriales* species, which represent all the known methanogenic pathways (Strąpoć *et al.*, 2011; Meslé *et al.*, 2013).

Coal methanogenesis is a process involving complex consortia that degrade fossil organic matter present in coal beds. Briefly, hydrolytic and fermentative bacteria hydrolyze complex organic compounds to more simple monomers and oligomers. Then the fermenters, syntrophs and/or acetogens ferment and/or convert these monomers and oligomers mainly to hydrogen (H_2), carbon dioxide (CO_2) and acetate (Wang *et al.*, 2010). Finally, methanogens produce methane by hydrogenotrophic (CO_2 reduction), acetoclastic, or methylotrophic methanogenic pathways. *Ex situ* coal-enrichment cultures studies showed the *Methanosarcina, Methanocorpusculum* and *Methanosaeta* species as predominant methanogens and a wide diversity of hydrolytic and fermentative bacteria in the methanogenic consortia (Green *et al.*, 2008; Kruger *et al.*, 2008; Strąpoć *et al.*, 2008; Orem *et al.*, 2010; Penner *et al.*, 2010; Barnhart *et al.*, 2013). Methane production by microbial consortia appears to be influenced by coal micronutrient availability (Ünal *et al.*, 2012), coal rank (Robbins *et al.*, 2016) and coal oxidation state (Gallagher et al., 2013).

Because Colombia has the largest coal reserves in South America, CBM exploitation could contribute significantly to increase methane production in the country. The coal-bearing Guaduas formation of Maastichtian to Paleocene age is present in the Bogotá Basin, Eastern Cordillera of Colombia. Stable carbon ($\delta^{13}C$) and deuterium (δD) isotopic signatures indicate that methane gas in the Guaduas formation has a mixture of thermogenic and biogenic gases (Garcia-Gonzalez, 2010). Since knowledge on coal mine methanogens is essential for the establishment of CBM technologies, the present work aimed to identify the microbial consortia involved in coal biogenic methanogenesis in the "La Ciscuda" coal mine. Using coal-enrichment cultures, 16S rRNA gene metagenome and gas chromatography (GC) analyses, we identified the methanogenic microbial consortia from this coal mine involved in coal degradation and subsequent gas production.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Coal sampling

Coal samples were taken from an underground and methane-producing coal mine (La Ciscuda) located in the middle segment (Mantle No. 11, latitude: 5°12'40.08" north; longitude: 73°50'25.60" west) of the Checua-syncline (Figure 1). Underground coal samples were affected by water infiltration from the surface due to their shallow depth (< 200 m deep). The geochemical characteristics of the coal and associated water in La Ciscuda are presented in Table 1.

Coal-enrichment cultures

Cultures inoculated with powdered- coal samples were established using Reinforced Clostridial Medium (RCM) purchased from Oxoid LTD (Basingstoke, England), and

gasified per 10 min with CO_2 to replace oxygen dissolved in the medium. RCM was used because it allows both growth of anaerobic microbes and provides carbon sources (*i.e.*, dextrose, sodium acetate and soluble starch) and nitrogen sources (beef extract, peptone, and yeast extract) and growth conditions required for methanogenesis, such as osmotic balance (sodium chloride) and low redox potentials (L-cysteine). RCM composition per liter was as follows: beef extract (10 g), peptone (10 g), sodium chloride (5 g), dextrose (5 g), yeast extract (3 g), sodium acetate (3 g), soluble starch (1 g), L-cysteine HCl (0.5 g), agar (0.5 g), pH 6.8 ± 0.2. Gas (CO_2) media supplement was purchased from CryoGas Company (Bogotá, Colombia). Briefly, coal portions (0.5 ± 0.2 g) were externally sterilized by immersing in ethanol (70%), dried and pulverized, and then the coal powder was placed in sterile glass canisters containing 20 mL of RCM. The coal-enrichment cultures were grown in triplicate for a month. We always included control assays for non-microbial growth and non-production of biogenic gas, in which the powdered coal samples were placed into sterile glass canisters containing only sterile water.

Gas chromatography analyses

Gas analysis was carried out using the static headspace (S-HS) technique and gas chromatography (GC) coupled to a thermal conductivity detector (TCD) and a flame ionization detector (FID). The GC-TCD-FID analysis was performed in a gas chromatograph AT 7890A (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA, USA), equipped with TCD and FID. Gas analysis was performed on a HP 7694E static headspace device (Hewlett-Packard, Palo Alto, CA, USA) coupled to the gas chromatograph. The columns used in the analysis were as follows: *i)* GS-Carbonplot (monolithic carbon, 30 m x 0.53 mm x 3 µm) for H_2, O_2, N_2, CO, CH_4 separation; *ii)* HP-PLOT Molesieve [zeolite (molecular sieve 5 Å), 30 m x 0.53 mm x 50 µm] for CO_2, C_2H_2, C_2H_4, C_2H_6, C_3H_8 separation. A nickel-powder catalytic converter, installed between the TCD and FID, converted CO and CO_2 to CH_4. FID temperature was maintained at 250 °C. Oven temperature was programmed in the following sequence: from 40 °C (5 min), at 10 °C/min to 100 °C, and then at 10 °C/min to 250 °C. Argon (Linde SA Colombia, Bogotá, Colombia)

Table 1. Geochemical characteristics of the La Ciscuda coal mine and associated water samples.

Characteristics	Measurements
Coal	
Thickness (m)	154
Mining depth (m)	507
Vitrinite reflectance (R_o)	0.76
Gas volumes (cm^3/kg of coal)	636
Water	
pH	7.1
Total dissolved solids (mg/L)	2372
Calcium carbonate (meq/L)	4.7
Bicarbonate ion (meq/L)	5.2
Calcium ion (meq/L)	4.7
Salinity (ppm)	1.8
Sodium ion (meq/L)	22.7
Chloride ion (meq/L)	2.0
Conductivity (µs/cm)	3650
Alkalinity (mmol/L)	5.0
Magnesium (meq/L)	3.0
Potassium (meq/L)	0.02
Nitrate dissolved (meq/L)	0.28
Sulphate (meq/L)	31.2

Measurement units: m, meter; cm^3, cubic centimeter; kg, kilograms; meq, milliequivalents; ppm, parts per million; µs/cm, microsecond per centimeter; mmol/L, millimole per liter.



Figure 1. Cross section of the Checua Synclyne in the Bogota Basin Colombia, illustrating the presence of a coal-bearing sequence in the Guaduas formation. This coal sequence presents a potential CBM resource that can be recovered using gas production wells that cut coal beds, allowing the migration of gas from the coal beds to the well.

at a volumetric flow rate of 12 mL/min was used as the carrier gas.

Isolate collection

For microbial isolation, the coal-enrichment cultures were diluted in phosphate buffer supplemented with 1% Triton X-100. Culture dilutions were inoculated (0.1 mL) in glass tubes with fresh CO_2-gasified RCM and incubated at either 37 °C or 60 °C, under aerobic and anaerobic conditions. Anaerobic condition was maintained using the Oxoid Atmosphere Generation System and supplements (Oxoid Ltd, Cambridge, UK). For preservation, bacteria were inoculated in glass tubes with semisolid RCM (agar 6 g/L), where the microbial colonies were collected and grown again in fresh RCM. Bacteria isolates were conserved in zeolite (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, USA) with 30% of glycerol at – 80 °C. Bacteria strains and methanogenic consortia were stored in the LMMA-UIS Microbial Collection (http://cepariolmma.uis.edu.co/).

16S rRNA gene metagenome and bacteria isolate amplification

DNA extractions from methanogenic culture and from bacteria isolates were achieved following the methodology proposed by Liu (2009), and their quality and concentration were tested by spectrophotometer. Amplification of the bacteria 16S rRNA gene was performed using the forward 530F (5′-GTCCCAGCMGCCGCGG-3′) and reverse 1490R (5′-GGTTACCTTGTTACGACTT-3′) universal primers (Wani *et al.*, 2006). In the case of archaea, 16S rRNA gene was amplified using the forward PARCH340f (5´-CCCTACCGGGGYGCASCAG-3´) and reverse PREA1100r (5´-YGGGTCTCGCTCGTTRCC-3´) primers (Ovreås *et al.*, 1997). Reaction mixture (25 µL) was as follows: 2.5 µL of 10X buffer, 6.2 µL of dNTPs (2 mM), 0.4 µL of each primer (100 µM), 0.4 µL of DreamTaq™ DNA Polymerase (Fermentas, USA), 5 µL of template DNA (5 ng/µL), and 10.1 µL of distilled water. The amplification was carried out using a Thermocycler MasterCycler® Pro-Realplex4 (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany). After an initial 3 min denaturation step at 94 °C, 35 PCR cycles were done, each cycle consisting of 45 s at 94 °C, 1 min at 55 °C, and 1 min at 72 °C, ending with an extension at 72 °C for 5 min. PCR products were resolved on a 0.8% agarose gel containing EZ-Vision DNA dye (Amresco, Ohio, USA) and images were recorded using a DigiGenius imaging system (Syngene, Maryland, USA).

Metagenome clone library construction

Using the Clone JET™PCR Cloning Kit" (Thermo Scientific, Massachusetts, USA) or pGEM-T- easy vector (Promega Corp, Wisconsin, USA), we created 16S rRNA gene libraries from each methanogenic culture. PCR products of each 16S rRNA gene were inserted into a pJET1.2/blunt vector and

transformed into chemically competent *Escherichia coli* JM101 cells. Colonies arising on Luria Bertani medium plates (triptone, 10 g, sodium chloride, 10 g, yeast extract, 5 g, pH 7.0) and containing 50 µg/mL of ampicillin were grown in fresh Luria Bertani (LB) broth and then the plasmids were purified as described by Sambrook and Russell (2001). The archaea PCR products were cloned in pGEM-T-easy vector and transformed into chemically competent *E. coli* JM109 cells. White colonies arising on LB plates containing 50 mg/mL of ampicillin, IPTG (500 mM) and X-gal



Figure 2. Chromatographic profiles obtained by GC-TCD-FID analysis. a) Standard gas compounds mixture used for comparison; b) Gas mixture produced in the bioreactor with coal-RCM cultures grown at 37 °C; c) Gas mixture obtained in the bioreactor with coal-RCM cultures grown at 60 °C; d) Gas mixture recovered from bioreactor with coal powder dissolved in only sterile water (negative control) instead of RCM.

Table 2. Microbial composition in coal-enriched methanogenic cultures.

Collection and libraries	Number of isolates or clones	Taxon assignation (NCBI code)	NCBI sequences†	Identity‡ (%)
Bacteria isolate collection				
	4	*Bacillus licheniformis* UIS0075 (MH057206)	CP042252	99.57
		Bacillus licheniformis UIS0077.1 (MH057208)	CP038186	99.77
		Bacillus licheniformis UIS0078 (MH057210)	CP042252	99.68
		Bacillus licheniformis UIS0079.1 (MH057211)	CP042252	99.13
	2	*Bacillus* sp. UIS0077.2 (MH057209)	CP042252	97.97
		Bacillus sp. UIS0079.2 (MH057212)	CP042252	95.83
	1	Bacillaceae sp. UIS0076 (MH057207)	CP014793	94.05
Bacteria clone library				
	1	*Bacillus licheniformis* EC345 clone (MH057077)	CP041154	99.56
	1	*Gracilibacter* sp. EC371 clone (MH057075)	NR115692	95.15
	3	Gracilibacteraceae sp. EC259 clone (MH057073)	NR115692	94.08
		Gracilibacteraceae sp. EC269 clone (MH057074)	NR115692	94.29
		Gracilibacteraceae sp. EC374 clone (MH057076)	NR115692	93.84
Archaea clone library				
	2	*Methanothermobacter thermautotrophicus* EC349 clone (MH057078)	NR074260	98.97
		Methanothermobacter wolfeii EC350 clone (MH197101)	LT996592	99.05
	Total = 14			

Taxon sequence assignations were done using minimum identity values as follows: genera (≥ 95%) and species (≥ 98.7%).
†: The best matching complete genome sequence found in the NCBI database.
‡: Identity refers to the percentage of matches with the aligned NCBI database sequence.

(40 mg/mL), were grown in liquid LB broth and then plasmids were purified as described above. The recombinant plasmids were used to amplify rRNA 16S gene clones which were purified with PCR Clean-Up Systems (Promega Corp, Wisconsin, USA) and sequenced with Sanger´s method, using the Applied Biosystems Hitachi 3500 Genetic Analyzer (ThermoFisher Scientific, Massachusetts, USA) and manufacturer protocols. Each sample was sequenced at least twice with both forward and reverse primers.

Comparative sequence and phylogenetic analyses

The 16S rDNA partial sequences were first aligned to determine the informative regions and to discard sequence ends with erroneous variability using the BioEdit V7.2.5 software (Hall 1999). The edited sequences were compared with those stored in the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) database (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov). BLAST algorithm (Altschul *et al.*, 1990) was applied for identification of the closest species sequences. Taxon sequence assignments were done using minimum identity values as follows: genera (≥ 95%) and species (≥ 98.7%) (Stackerbrandt and Ebers 2006). Microbial 16S rDNA gene sequences with BLAST query coverage of 100% and an identity value higher than 80% were deposited in GeneBank database with accession numbers as indicated in Table 2. Each operational taxonomic unit (OTU) as defined above was used for phylogenetic tree construction. For comparison, NCBI database related sequences (CP038186, CP042252, CP014793, CP041154, NR115692, NR074260, LT996592) were also included. Phylogenetic trees were constructed based on the Tamura-Nei model and the Unweighted Pair Group Method using Arithmetic Averages (UPGMA) method utilizing the Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis (MEGA 5.2) program (Tamura *et al.*, 2011). Bootstrap analysis with 2000 replicates was applied to assign confidence levels to the nodes in the tree.

RESULTS

Compared with standard gas profiles (Figure 2a), GC-TCD-FID analysis indicated that, after one-month, cultures at 37 ºC (Figure 2b) produced a *de novo* gas mixture composed mainly of carbon dioxide (CO_2), methane (CH_4) and carbon monoxide (CO), while cultures at 60 ºC (Figure 2c) only produced CO_2. As expected, control experiments (coal powder placed in sterile water) did not produce *de novo* biogenic gas (Figure 2d). These results indicated that a methanogenic consortium obtained from La Ciscuda coal sample was responsible for biogenic gas generation in the cultures.

A total of fourteen 16S rRNA gene sequences were obtained from bacteria isolates (7) and from bacteria libraries (7) developed from cultures (Table 2). BLAST analyses of the isolate sequences showed identity values between 94.05–99.77% with NCBI database *Bacillus* sequences; four of these (MH057206.1, MH057208.1, MH057210.1 and MH057211.1) matched *Bacillus licheniformis* sequences with identity values higher than 98.7%. One sequence (MH057077.1) from a bacteria clone library also matched *B. licheniformis* species sequences with an identity value of 99.56%. Further, BLAST analysis of other bacteria clone library sequences (MH057075.1, MH057073.1, MH057074.1 and MH057076.1) showed identity values (93.84–95.15%) with NCBI database *Gracilibacteraceae* sequences. One



Figure 3. Phylogenetic tree of the strain (¢) and clone (p) 16S rRNA gene phylotypes retrieved from coal-enrichment cultures. In parenthesis, the accession number sequences from GeneBank database were given. For comparison, NCBI database related sequences (■▲●) were also included. Alignments were performed with MEGA 5.2 software. The topologies of the tree were obtained with the Tamura-Nei model and the UPGMA method. Bootstrap values (n = 2000 replicates) were reported.

sequence (MH057075.1) matched *Gracilibacter thermotolerans* sequences, the type species of the genus *Gracilibacter* (Lee *et al.*, 2006), with identity values higher than 95.0%. Similarly, BLAST analysis of the sequences from the archaea clone libraries (MH057078.1 and MH197101.1) showed high identity values (>98.7%) with NCBI database *Methanothermobacter thermautotrophicus* (NR074260) and *Methanothermobacter wolfeii* (LT996592) sequences. In summary, the bacterial isolates and clone libraries obtained from coal-enriched cultures indicated that a minimal methanogenic consortium was formed by specie from two bacteria genera (*Bacillus and Gracilibacter*) and one archaea genus *(Methanothermobacter)* species. A UPGMA tree based on all 16S rRNA gene sequences (including type species sequences from the NCBI database) defined the same three main prokaryotic groups (Figure 3).

DISCUSSION

This work constitutes the first effort to identify the composition of microbial consortia involved in methane production in a coal mine from the Bogotá Basin in Colombia. Our results supported *de novo* biogenic nature of methane gas produced at the La Ciscuda coal mine as previously indicated using $\delta^{13}C$ and δD isotopic signatures (Garcia-Gonzalez 2010). Further, the study identified a minimal methanogenic consortium that inhabited this coal mine, formed by the bacteria species *Bacillus licheniformis* and *Gracilibacter* sp., possibly, *G. thermotolerans* (Lee *et al.*, 2006), and the methanogens *Methanothermobacter thermautotrophicus* and *M. wolfeii* (Wasserfallen *et al.*, 2000). Excepting *Gracilibacter*, these microbial genera have been previously identified from coal-enrichment cultures experiments (Table 3).

Although methanogens from coal-enrichment cultures were not isolated, they did grow as a methanogenic consortium (Figure 2b). RCM is a very rich medium that provided multiple carbon and nitrogen sources and growth conditions required for methanogenesis such as osmotic balance and low redox potentials. Under these growth conditions, hydrolytic and fermentative bacteria (i.e., *B. licheniformis*) can enzymatically hydrolyze starch to saccharides such as dextrose (Komolprasert and Ofoli 1991), as well as, can ferment this dextrose through mixed-acid fermentation pathways to organic acids and alcohols (Shariati *et al.*, 1995). *Bacillus* species, including *B. licheniformis*, can also solubilize or biodegrade coal lignite into aromatic and aliphatic compounds (Polman *et al.*, 1994). Moreover, *G.*

Table 3. Characterization of methanogenic coal-enriched cultures using 16S rRNA gene analyses.

Basin/Country	Consortia genera	Dominant methanogenic pathways (Tm)	Sources
Powder River Basin / USA	***Bacteria:*** *Acidaminobacter, Acetivibrio, Acetobacterium, Acidovorax, Alcaliflexus, Clostridium, Desulfovibrio, Diaphorobacter, Escherichia, Geobacter, Herbaspirillum, Paludibacter, Paludibacter, Pelobacter, Spirochaeta, Syntrophomonas, Syntrophus* ***Archaea:*** *Methanosaeta, Methanosarcina, Methanospirillum*	Acetoclastic (25-38 °C) or CO_2 reduction (25 °C)	Green *et al.*, (2008) Barnhart *et al.*, (2013)
Illinois Basin / USA	***Bacteria:*** *Acidoaminococcus, Cytophaga, Flavobacterium, Rhodobacter, Spirochaeta, Sporomusa* ***Archaea:*** *Methanocorpusculum*	CO_2 reduction (25-35 °C)	Strąpoć *et al.*, (2008)
San Juan Basin / USA	† ***Bacteria:*** *Actinomycetales, Bacteriodales, Deinococci, Clostridiales, Thermoanaerobacteriales, Bacilli, Nitrospilares, Proteobacteria, Spirochaetes, Thermotogales* ***Archaea:*** *Methanosarcina, Methanolobus, Methanobacteria, Methanocorpusculum, Methanosaeta, Methanococci, Methanoculleus, Methanoregula*	Acetoclastic or CO_2 reduction (31 °C)	Wawrik *et al.*, (2012)
Western Canadian Basin / Canada	***Bacteria:*** *Aeromonas, Citrobacter, Bacteroides, Pseudomonas, Sedimentibacter, Shigela, Thaurea* ***Archaea:*** *Methanosarcina, Methanoculleus, Methanobrevibacter, Methanobacterium, Methanothermobacter*	Acetoclastic or CO_2 reduction (30 °C)	Penner *et al.*, (2010)
Ruhr Basin / Germany	***Bacteria:*** *Clostridium, Desulfovibrio, Geobacter, Palobacter, Pseudomonas* ***Archaea:*** *Methanosarcina, Methanosaeta, Crenarchaeota*	Acetoclastic (35-36 °C)	Kruger *et al.*, (2008) Beckmann et al., (2011)
Jharia Basin / India	***Bacteria:*** *Comamonas* ***Archaea:*** *Methanoculleus*	CO_2 reduction (65 °C)	Lavania *et al.*, (2014)
Jiuligang-Dangyang Basin / China	***Bacteria:*** *Clostridium, Desulfosporosinus, Desulfotomaculum, Desulfovibrio, Oscillibacter, Sporobacter, Sporotomaculum* ***Archaea:*** *Methanosarcina*	Acetoclastic (35 °C)	Wei *et al.*, (2014)
South Sumatra Basin / Indonesia	***Bacteria:*** *Acetobacterium, Acidaminobacter, Bacteroides, Pelobacter* ***Archaea:*** *Methanosaeta, Methanosarcina, Methanobacterium, Methanoregula*	Acetoclastic or CO_2 reduction (37 °C)	Susilawati *et al.*, (2015, 2016)
Bogotá Basin / Colombia	***Bacteria:*** *Bacillus, Gracilibacter* ***Archaea:*** *Methanothermobacter*	CO_2 reduction (37 °C)	Present work

†, The genera were not defined.
Tm, Temperature used for coal-enrichment cultures

thermotolerans grows well in medium with similar carbon and nitrogen sources existing in RCM and their growth on media containing glucose produced acetate, lactate, and ethanol as main fermentation end products (Lee *et al.*, 2006). It also has been reported (Sakai *et al.*, 2010) that *G. thermotolerans* formed a methanogenic consortium with *Methanocella arvoryzae*, a hydrogenotrophic methanogen isolated from rice field soil. These authors also indicated that *G. thermotolerans* fermentation products (acetate, H_2 and CO_2) were required by *Methanocella arvoryzae* for methane production. We believe that in our study *Bacillus* and *Gracilibacter* species, especially the latter, provided substrates (H_2 and CO_2) to *Methanothermobacter* species (*M. thermautotrophicus* and *M. wolfeii*) for methane production. *Gracilibacter thermotolerans* cannot grow above 58 °C (Lee *et al.*, 2006), explaining why our methanogenic consortium produced methane at 37 °C, but not at 60 °C.

Based on our results from coal-enrichment cultures we can speculate on how biogenic methane could be generated in the La Ciscuda coal mine. As indicated in Table 1, this coal mine is located at 200 m depth from surface, where anoxic and saline conditions prevail. Under these conditions the coal mine yields 636 cm^3 of methane gas per kg of coal. Parkes *et al.* (2011) showed that prokaryotes stimulate mineral H_2 formation for the deep biosphere and for subsequent microbial activity, including CO_2 and CH_4 production. We believe that infiltration of meteoric waters into coal mines can stimulate microbial degradation of coal lignite to aromatic and other compounds (Chang *et al.*, 2005), producing H_2 and CO_2 as final products that are, in this case, the substrates for methanogenesis by *Methanothermobacter* species. *Methanothermobacter thermautotrophicus*, formerly *Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum* (Smith *et al.*, 1997), and *M. wolfei*, are representative subsurface methanogen species (Wasserfallen *et al.*, 2000) that previously have been described to produce methane by reduction of CO_2 in coal mine methanogenic environments (Ward *et al.*, 2004; Penner *et al.*, 2010).

CONCLUSION

In this work, we identified bacteria (*Bacillus* and *Gracilibacter*) and archaea (*Methanothermobacter*) species forming a minimal methanogenic consortium from La Ciscuda coal mine as a first step for evaluation of CBM generation technologies. Based on this consortium we suggested that methane was produced by hydrogenotrophic or CO_2 reduction pathways.

REFERENCES

Altschul S.F., Gish W., Miller W., Myers E.W., Lipman D.J. (1990). Basic local alignment search tool. *Journal of Molecular Biology*. 215 (3): 403–410.

Barnhart E.P., Bowen De León K., Ramsay B.D., Cunningham A.B., Fields M.W. (2013). Investigation of coal-associated bacterial and archaeal populations from a diffusive microbial sampler (DMS). *International Journal of Coal Geology*. 115 (August): 64–70.

Chang W., Um Y., Pulliam-Holoman T.R. (2005). Molecular characterization of anaerobic microbial communities from benzene-degrading sediments under methanogenic conditions. *Biotechnology Progress*. 21 (6): 1789–1794.

Garcia-Gonzalez, M. (2010). Coalbed methane resources in Colombia. In: AAPG International Convention and Exhibition, September, Calgary, Alberta, Canada.

Gallagher L.K., Glossner A.W., Landkamer L.L., Figueroa L.A., Mandernack K.W., Munakata-Marr J. (2013). The effect of coal oxidation on methane production and microbial community structure in Powder River Basin coal. *International Journal of Coal Geology*. 115 (August): 71–78.

Green M.S., Flanegan K.C., Gilcrease P.C. (2008). Characterization of a methanogenic consortium enriched from a coalbed methane well in the Powder River Basin, U.S.A. *International Journal of Coal Geology*. 76 (October): 34–45.

Hall T.A. (1999). BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. *Nucleic Acids Symposium Series*. 41 (2): 95-98.

Jones E.J.P., Voytek M.A., Corum M.D., Orem W.H. (2010). Stimulation of methane generation from nonproductive coal by addition of nutrients or a microbial consortium. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*. 76 (21): 7013–7022.

Komolprasert V., Ofoli R.Y. (1991). Starch hydrolysis kinetics of *Bacillus licheniformis* α-amylase. *Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology*. 51 (2): 209 –223.

Kruger M., Beckmann S., Engelen B., Thielemann T., Cramer B., Schippers A., Cypionka H. (2008). Microbial methane formation from hard coal and timber in an abandoned coal mine. *Geomicrobiology Journal*. 25 (6): 315–321.

Lavania M., Cheema S., Manab-Sarma P., Ganapathi R., Lal B. (2014). Methanogenic potential of a thermophilic consortium enriched from coal mine. *International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation*, 93 (September): 177–185.

Lee Y.J., Romanek C.S., Mills G.L., Davis R.C., Whitman W.B., Wiegel J. (2006). *Gracilibacter thermotolerans* gen. nov., sp. nov., an anaerobic, thermotolerant bacterium from a constructed wetland receiving acid sulfate water. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*. 56 (9): 2089–2093

Liu D. (2009). Purification of nucleic acids from bacteria. In: Liu D. (Ed.), Handbook of nucleic acid purification. Chapter 5, Taylor & Francis CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, USA.

Meslé M., Dromart G., Oger P. (2013). Microbial methanogenesis in subsurface oil and coal. *Research in Microbiology*. 164 (9): 959–972.

Moore T.A. (2012). Coalbed methane: A review. *International Journal Coal Geology*. 101 (November): 36–81.

Orem W.H., Voytek M.A., Jones E.J., Lerch H.E., Bates A.L., Corum M.D., Warwick P.D., Clark A.C. (2010). Organic intermediates in the anaerobic biodegradation of coal to methane under laboratory conditions. *Organic Geochemistry*. 41 (9): 997–1000.

Ovreås L., Forney L., Daae F.L., Torsvik V. (1997). Distribution of bacterioplankton in meromictic Lake Saelenvannet, as determined by denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis of PCR-amplified gene fragments coding for 16S rRNA. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*. 63 (9): 3367–3373.

Parkes R.J., Linnane C.D., Webster G., Sass H., Weightman A.J., Hornibrook E.R.C., Horsfield B. (2011). Prokaryotes stimulate mineral H_2 formation for the deep biosphere and subsequent thermogenic activity. *Geology*. 39 (3): 219–222.

Penner T.J., Foght J.M., Budwill K. (2010). Microbial diversity of western Canadian subsurface coal beds and methanogenic coal enrichment cultures. *International Journal of Coal Geology*. 82 (May): 81–93.

Polman J.K., Miller K.S., Stoner D.L., Breckenridge C.R. (1994). Solubilization of bituminous and lignite coals by chemically and biologically synthesized surfactants. *Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology*. 61 (1): 11–17.

Robbins S.J., Evans P.N., Esterle J.S., Golding S.D., Tyson G.W. (2016). The effect of coal rank on biogenic methane potential and microbial composition. *International Journal of Coal Geology*. 154–155 (January): 205–212.

Sakai S., Conrad R., Liesack W., Imachi H. (2010). *Methanocella arvoryzae* sp. nov., a hydrogenotrophic methanogen isolated from rice field soil. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*. 60 (12): 2918–2923.

Sambrook J., Russell D.W. (2001). Molecular Cloning. A Laboratory Manual. New York: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press.

Shariati P., Mitchell W.J., Boyd A., Priest F.J. (1995). Anaerobic metabolism in *Bacillus licheniformis* NClB 6346. *Microbiology*, 141 (5): 1117–1124.

Smith D.R., Doucette-Stamm L.A., Deloughery C., Lee H., Dubois J., Aldredge T., Bashirzadeh R., Blakely D., Cook R., Gilbert K., Harrison D., Hoang L., Keagle P., Lumm W., Pothier B., Qiu D., Spadafora R., Vicaire R., Wang Y., Wierzbowski J., Gibson R., Jiwani N., Caruso A., Bush D., Safer H., Patwell D., Prabhakar S., McDougall S., Shimer G., Goyal A., Pietrokovski S., Church G.M., Daniels C.J., Mao J.I., Rice P., Nolling J., Reeve J.N. (1997). Complete genome sequence of *Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum* ΔH: Functional analysis and comparative genomics. *Journal of Bacteriology*. 179 (22): 7135–7155.

Stackerbrandt E., Ebers J. (2006). Taxonomic parameters revisited. Tarnished gold standards. *Microbiology Today*. 33 (4): 152–156.

Strąpoć D., Picardal F.W., Turich C., Schaperdoth I., Macalady J.L., Lipp J.S., Lin Y.S., Ertefai T.F., Schubotz F., Hinrichs K.U., Mastalerz M., Schimmelmann A. (2008). Methane-producing microbial community in a coal bed of the Illinois basin. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*. 74 (8): 2424–2432.

Strąpoć D., Mastalerz M., Dawson K., Macalady J.L., Callaghan A.V., Wawrik B., Turich C., Ashby M. (2011). Biogeochemistry of microbial coal-bed methane. *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences*. 39 (May): 617–56.

Susilawati R., Evans P.N., Esterle J.S., Robbins S.J., Tyson G.W., Golding S.D., Mares T.E. (2015). Temporal changes in microbial community composition during culture enrichment experiments with Indonesian coals. *International Journal Coal Geology*. 137 (January): 66–76.

Susilawati R., Golding S.D., Baublys K.A., Esterle J.S., Hamilton S.K. (2016). Carbon and hydrogen isotope fractionation during methanogenesis: A laboratory study using coal and formation water. *International Journal of Coal Geology*. 162 (May): 108–122.

Tamura K., Peterson D., Peterson N., Stecher G., Nei M., Kumar S. (2011). MEGA 5: Molecular Evolutionary Genetic Analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance and maximum parsimony methods. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*. 28 (10): 2731–2739.

Ünal B., Perry V.R., Sheth M., Gomez-Alvarez V., Chin K.J., Nüsslein K. (2012). Trace elements affect methanogenic activity and diversity in enrichments from subsurface coalbed produced water. *Frontier in Microbiology*. 3 (May): 1–14.

U.S.A. Energy Information Agency. (2018). https:// www.eia.gov/dnav/ng/hist/ rngr52nus_1a.htm

Wang A., Qin Y., Wu Y., Wan B. (2010). Status of research on biogenic coalbed gas generation mechanisms. *International Journal of Mining Science and Technology*. 20 (2): 271–275.

Wani A.A., Surakasi V.P., Siddharth J., Raghavan R.G., Patole M.S., Ranade D., Shouche Y.S. (2006). Molecular analyses of microbial diversity associated with the Lonar soda lake in India: an impact crater in a basalt area. *Research in Microbiology*. 157 (10): 928–937.

Ward J.A., Slater G.F., Moser D.P., Lin L.H., Lacrampe-Couloume G., Bonin A.S., Davidson M., Hall J.A., Mislowack B., Bellamy R.E.S., Onstott T.C., Lollar B.S. (2004). Microbial hydrocarbon gases in the Witwatersrand basin, South Africa: Implications for the deep biosphere. ý*Geochima et Cosmochima Acta*. 68 (15): 3239–3250.

Wasserfallen A., Nolling J., Pfister P., Reeve J., Conway de Macario E. (2000). Phylogenetic analysis of 18 thermophilic *Methanobacterium* isolates supports the proposals to create a new genus, *Methanothermobacter* gen. nov., and to reclassify several isolates in three species, *Methanothermobacter thermautotrophicus* comb. nov., *Methanothermobacter wolfeii* comb. nov., and *Methanothermobacter marburgensis* sp. nov. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*. 50 (1): 43–53.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The Science Research Vice-Rectory from Universidad Industrial de Santander (Grant N° 5187) supported this work. The "Ministerio de Ambiente y Desarrollo Sostenible de Colombia", granted to the Universidad Industrial de Santander the access permits to genetic resources during scientific research (Resolution N° 2254, File N° RGE 296).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

ETHICAL STATEMENT

The project N° 5187 was approved by the Operational Research and Extension Committee from UIS. The experiments and the chemical management were done according to the National law (Resolution No. 008430-1993) from the Ministry of Health of Colombia and Institutional Manual of Integrated Management and Processes (PGIR-PGGA.05).