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#### Abstract

ranscription factors (TF) are the elements, which regulate gene expression. Regulatory function of TFs play an important role in plant biological processes and mechanisms. They may interconnect with other transcription factors or functional genes to modulate their expression in response to an internal/external factor like life cycle stage, growth, development and stress. Arabidopsis is the wellknown and the most used model organism. Transcription factors of three Arabidopsis species including A. halleri, A. lyrata and A. thaliana, were compared. basic/helix-loop-helix (bHLH) with 220 TFs was the most abundant family among three Arabidopsis species while MYB and MYB related families considering as a whole group were more than bHLH with 308 TFs. No STERILE APETALA (SAP) TF homolog was found for A.halleri. The common transcription factors among three species were 4,172 grouped in 1,212 clusters. The species-specific clustered TFs were 12,30 and 58 for $A$. halleri, A. lyrata and $A$. thaliana respectively. Eight hundred ninety two singlecopy gene clusters those have one gene copy from each species, i.e. 2,676 genes, were listed. Four hundred forty five TF singletons were not clustered and are unique among three species. For clustered TF belonging to each species, GO terms and SwissProt hits showed that $A$. halleri has two species-specific TFs involved in heavy metal response including Zinc finger protein AZF2 and two-component response regulator ARR11 while for A. lyrata specific TFs are involved in stress response and plant development. A. thaliana specific clustered TFs work on plant flower development and acclimation.
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## Resumen

Los factores de transcripción (FTs) son los elementos que regulan la expresión génica. La función reguladora de los FTs desempeña un papel importante en los procesos y mecanismos biológicos de las plantas. Los FTs pueden interconectarse con otros FTs o genes funcionales para modular su expresión en respuesta a un factor interno / externo como la etapa del ciclo de vida, el crecimiento, el desarrollo y el estrés. Arabidopsis es el organismo modelo más conocido y más utilizado en investigaciones biológicas en plantas. Se compararon los FTs de tres especies de Arabidopsis, incluyendo A. halleri, A. lyrata y A. thaliana. La familia basic / helix-loop-helix (bHLH) con 220 FTs fue la más abundante entre las tres especies de Arabidopsis, mientras que, las familias MYB y MYB-related, considerandolas como un grupo completo, tuvieron una mayor representación comparadas con bHLH que presentó 308 FTs . No se encontró homología para el factor de transcripción SAP en A.halleri. Los FTs comunes entre las tres especies fueron 4,172 agrupados en 1,212 conglomerados (clusters). Los FTs agrupados como específicos de especie fueron 12,30 y 58 para $A$. halleri, $A$. lyrata y A. thaliana, respectivamente. Se enumeraron 892 agrupamientos de genes de una sola copia (single-copy) en cada especie, es decir, 2,676 genes. Cuatrocientos cuarenta y cinco FTs con una solo copia (singletons) no fueron agrupados y son únicos entre las tres especies. Para los FTs agrupados y perteneciente a cada especie, los términos GO y SwissProt mostraron que $A$. halleri presentó dos FTs específicos implicados en la respuesta de metales pesados, incluyendo la proteína con dedos de zinc (zinc finger) AZF2 y el regulador de respuesta de dos componentes (two-component response regulator) ARR11, mientras que, para A. lyrata están implicados los FTs específicos en la respuesta al estrés y el desarrollo de la planta. Para A. thaliana los FTs específicos agrupados trabajan en el desarrollo de la flor y la aclimatación.
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## Introduction

TTranscription factors are regulators, which modulate genes whose expression is activated or suppressed regarding to time, space and condition. They possess normally a DNAbinding region, an oligomerization site, a transcriptionregulation domain, and a nuclear localization signal. However, there are exceptions for this typical structure (Liu et al., 1999). The DNA-binding regions are normally found in cisregulatory elements; i.e. specific sequences in the promoters of their target genes (Franco-Zorrilla et al., 2014). They can switch on and off genes and link genes in downstream and upstream of a biological pathway acting to regulate desired reactions. In A. thaliana, 6-10\% of all genes encode TFs while in other organism is less as seen only $3 \%$ in Drosophila melanogaster and $5 \%$ in humans. In A. lyrata and A. halleri TFs form around $5-6 \%$ of all genes. The importance of TFs has been disclosed via studying mutants affected in spatiotemporal transcription regulation (Pireyre and Burow, 2015). As plants are sessile, this relatively high amount of TFs may allow them to react to their changing environment and adapt to undesirable condition rapidly via regulation of gene expression, RNA transcription and protein translation. The TF involvement in various biological processes and mechanisms in plants and their regulatory function make it mandatory to study them to disclose their interaction with other TFs and genes.
There are various plant TF databases. Plant TFs are distributed in 58 families according to PlantTFDB (Jin et al., 2017) (http://planttfdb.cbi.pku.edu.cn/) or 63 families according to PlnTFDB (Pérez-Rodríguez et al., 2010) (http://plntfdb. bio.uni-potsdam.de/v3.0/). In other database they are listed in 70 groups (http://itak.feilab.net/) (Zheng et al., 2016). The basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) family is the biggest and SPOROCYTELESS/NOZZLE (NZZ/SPL) is the smallest TF in terms of the number of family members.
Arabidopsis is the well-known and mostly ever used plant model, particularly A. thaliana. A. thaliana has highly contributed in providing the information and data for almost all of genomes even human and animals, as it is the first ever-published living organism and the most annotated among plants. Its genome has restructured biology in terms of Omics, mainly genomics and transcriptomics and revealed many mechanisms those had not previously been discovered. Arabidopsis has 11 accepted species names (http://www. theplantlist.org/browse/A/Brassicaceae/Arabidopsis/) with different chromosome number as five (A. thaliana), eight (A. lyrata and A. halleri), thirteen (A. suecica) and sixteen (A. arenosa). The chromosome number has changed during evolutionary events by duplication, deletion and insertion among the different species starting around 13 MYA from
a common ancestor (Beilstein et al., 2010). It seems that $A$. thaliana is separated from the other species of Arabidopsis and each species has acquired somewhat characters differing from the others like heavy metal tolerance adaptation seen in A. halleri (Bomblies and Weigel, 2010). Among Arabidopsis species, only three genomes including A. thaliana, A. lyrata and $A$. halleri have been sequenced.
As the most contributing organism, there is a need for more details on their structure and genomic information on one of the most important gene groups, namely TFs. To our knowledge, no comparative report is available on Arabidopsis genus detailing TFs among three genome-published species. In this article, we compare the TF datasets of three genomepublished Arabidopsis species to disclose similarities and differences among them. The common and differed TFs among three species are discussed.

## Materials and methods

The TF protein sequences of three Arabidopsis species including A. halleri, $A$. lyrata and A. thaliana were downloaded from PlantTFDB (Jin et al., 2017) website (http://planttfdb. cbi.pku.edu.cn/), as it includes both TF number and loci while the other available databases do not. The OrthoVenn was used to compare and cluster three TF datasets. The pvalue $\leq 1 \mathrm{e}-03$ was used to form the clusters related to GO terms. This program is a web platform that cluster orthologous gene datasets and generates Venn diagram and relevant annotation data outputting SwissProt hit and GO terms (Wang et al., 2015). MEME version 4.8.1 was employed for finding protein motif sequences (Bailey and Elkan, 1994) (http://meme-suite. org/). Blast+ were used to find homologs (Camacho et al., 2009).

## Results and discussion

The info about TFs of the three species have been disclosed in terms of quantity and loci (Table 1) and the number of each TF family (Table 2). A. thaliana possesses more TFs than the other two species although it has a smaller genome size. Interestingly, A. lyrata has most TF loci because of its bigger genome while $A$. thaliana with the smallest genome size stands in the second place. Considering the same ancestor from whom Arabidopsis species diverged, the speciation events such as deletion and duplication have differed for $A$. thaliana whose loci possess various TFs from A. lyrata that each locus present one TF. Further study for each TF family related to loci is required to reveal how TFs are distributed on loci in Arabidopsis genus.

Table 1. TF loci and gene numbers of three Arabidopsis species. The information compiled from PlantTFDB.

| Species | TFs | Loci |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| A. thaliana | 2,296 | 1,717 |
| A. lyrata | 1,759 | 1,759 |
| A. halleri | 1,586 | 1,446 |

Table 2. TF families and their member number of three Arabidopsis species. The info compiled from PlantTFDB.

| TF | Total | Aha | Aly | Ath | TF | Total | Aha | Aly | Ath |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AP2 | 66 | 16 | 20 | 30 | LBD | 130 | 33 | 47 | 50 |
| ARF | 75 | 18 | 20 | 37 | LFY | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| ARR-B | 46 | 13 | 12 | 21 | LSD | 20 | 5 | 3 | 12 |
| B3 | 230 | 72 | 81 | 77 | MIKC_MADS | 154 | 39 | 39 | 76 |
| BBR-BPC | 34 | 9 | 8 | 17 | M--type_MADS | 161 | 51 | 40 | 70 |
| BES1 | 31 | 8 | 9 | 14 | MYB | 441 | 124 | 149 | 168 |
| bHLH | 522 | 142 | 155 | 225 | MYB_related | 233 | 72 | 64 | 97 |
| bZIP | 278 | 71 | 80 | 127 | NAC | 359 | 99 | 122 | 138 |
| C2H2 | 317 | 97 | 104 | 116 | NF-X1 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| C3H | 181 | 61 | 54 | 66 | NF-YA | 47 | 14 | 12 | 21 |
| CAMTA | 22 | 6 | 6 | 10 | NF-YB | 58 | 15 | 16 | 27 |
| CO-like | 49 | 10 | 17 | 22 | NF-YC | 47 | 10 | 16 | 21 |
| CPP | 23 | 7 | 7 | 9 | Nin-like | 53 | 20 | 16 | 17 |
| DBB | 27 | 6 | 7 | 14 | NZZ/SPL | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Dof | 107 | 26 | 34 | 47 | RAV | 16 | 3 | 6 | 7 |
| E2F/DP | 34 | 11 | 7 | 16 | S1Fa-like | 8 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| EIL | 19 | 7 | 6 | 6 | SAP | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| ERF | 338 | 75 | 124 | 139 | SBP | 65 | 20 | 15 | 30 |
| FAR1 | 55 | 24 | 5 | 26 | SRS | 35 | 9 | 10 | 16 |
| G2-like | 173 | 55 | 54 | 64 | STAT | 9 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| GATA | 92 | 23 | 28 | 41 | TALE | 75 | 21 | 21 | 33 |
| GeBP | 64 | 19 | 22 | 23 | TCP | 75 | 17 | 25 | 33 |
| GRAS | 101 | 27 | 37 | 37 | Trihelix | 88 | 24 | 30 | 34 |
| GRF | 27 | 9 | 9 | 9 | VOZ | 9 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| HB-other | 27 | 8 | 8 | 11 | Whirly | 11 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
| HB-PHD | 7 | 2 | 2 | 3 | WOX | 48 | 14 | 16 | 18 |
| HD-ZIP | 148 | 55 | 35 | 58 | WRKY | 229 | 60 | 79 | 90 |
| HRT-like | 5 | 1 | 2 | 2 | YABBY | 21 | 7 | 6 | 8 |
| HSF | 91 | 28 | 38 | 25 | ZF-HD | 44 | 9 | 17 | 18 |

Three smallest families were LFY, NZZ/SPL and SAP. STERILE APETALA (SAP) has no TF in A. halleri while LFY and NZZ/SPL possess only one TF for each species. The same TF number for these three families were seen in other plant TF databases including iTAK and PlnTFDB (Pérez-Rodríguez et al., 2010; Zheng et al., 2016). SAP plays a role in female reproductive organs; inflorescence, flower and ovule development. It is required for flower recognition in a manner similar to APETALA1 and modulates processes and gene expression related to organ size by targeting the KIX-PPD repressor complex for degradation (Byzova et al., 1999). SAP functions in development of flower and its mutants have shown severe aberrations in such developmental process related to inflorescence, ovule and flower. SAP and APETALA2 (AP2) are involved in the initiation of female gametophyte development (Byzova et al., 1999). In addition, it can interact with AGAMOUS to maintain floral identity acting in a manner similar to APETALA1.

The plant-specific LEAFY (LFY) protein is also involved in switching vegetative stage to reproductive stage. It controls the process of flower production and development and is known as a meristem identity gene (William et al., 2004). It also interact with AGAMOUS and APETALA as floral homeotic genes in flower morphogenesis like what seen in SAP. Another rare TF family is the "floral organ-building" gene SPOROCYTELESS/NOZZLE (SPL/NZZ). It also plays a central role in male flower development regulating anther cell differentiation (Liu et al., 2009). Interestingly, it interact with AGAMOUS and AP2 involving in stamen identity. These TFs may work as a network sharing common interacting among various TFs in flower development. However, they exist in the least number in Arabidopsis and this makes them interesting to be studied further.
As seen generally in plants and reported in PlantTFDB, bHLH family with totally 522 TFs is the most abundant family in three Arabidopsis species (Table 2). Previously the MYB

TF family has been reported as the biggest TF family among plants and particularly Arabidopsis (Toledo-Ortiz et al., 2003). Reported by Pireyre and Burow, a large proportion of the TFs in Arabidopsis were MYB with 339 and bHLH with 162 members (Pireyre and Burow, 2015). However, considering two MYB and MYB-like families together with 674 TFs, they are bigger than bHLH family. These two TF families forms different MYB/bHLH complexes regulate distinct cellular processes such as cell wall synthesis, cell death, circadian clock, responses to abiotic and biotic stress, hormone signaling, and the biosynthesis of specialized metabolites
(Lindemose et al., 2013; Pireyre and Burow, 2015; Seo and Mas, 2014; Stracke et al., 2007, 2001; Vailleau et al., 2002). In addition, bHLHs and MYB can physically interact with each other to success their function.

In total 220 bHLH (Table 3) were common among three species while MYB and its related TFs were 308 (Supplementary File). bHLH35 and bHLH115 in A. thaliana and A. lyrata respectively were the species-specific bHLHs. bHLH115 is found among the three but they might be other isoforms found in A. lyrata as specific TFs. No specie-specific bHLH was found for A . halleri.

Table 3. The clustered bHLH genes among three Arabidopsis.

| ID | \# Proteins | Swiss-Prot Hit | ID | \# Proteins | Swiss-Prot Hit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cluster 15 | 7 | bHLH69 | cluster704 | 3 | bHLH55 |
| cluster47 | 6 | BHLH \{ECO:0000313\|EMBL:CAE09167.1\} | cluster756 | 3 | bHLH36 |
| cluster86 | 5 | bHLH76 | cluster766 | 3 | bHLH28 |
| cluster98 | 5 | bHLH13 | cluster776 | 3 | bHLH81 |
| cluster 116 | 4 | bHLH61 | cluster799 | 3 | bHLH30 |
| cluster 152 | 4 | bHLH23 | cluster827 | 3 | bHLH101 |
| cluster 169 | 4 | bHLH100 | cluster831 | 3 | bHLH18 |
| cluster 172 | 4 | bHLH112 | cluster842 | 3 | bHLH14 |
| cluster207 | 4 | bHLH7 | cluster857 | 3 | bHLH62 |
| cluster213 | 4 | bHLH155 | cluster871 | 3 | bHLH10 |
| cluster222 | 4 | bHLH115 \{ECO:0000313\|EMBL:AEE32619.1\} | cluster882 | 3 | bHLH131 |
| cluster224 | 4 | bHLH104 | cluster887 | 3 | bHLH84 |
| cluster235 | 4 | bHLH137 | cluster913 | 3 | bHLH19 |
| cluster243 | 4 | bHLH27 | cluster932 | 3 | bHLH27 |
| cluster263 | 4 | bHLH129 \{ECO:0000313\|EMBL:AEC10214.1\} | cluster943 | 3 | bHLH131 |
| cluster266 | 4 | bHLH148 | cluster952 | 3 | bHLH81 |
| cluster268 | 4 | bHLH109 | cluster963 | 3 | bHLH27 |
| cluster298 | 4 | bHLH18 | cluster 1005 | 3 | bHLH10 |
| cluster304 | 4 | bHLH89 | cluster 1016 | 3 | bHLH101 |
| cluster312 | 4 | bHLH144 | cluster 1042 | 3 | bHLH3 |
| cluster318 | 4 | bHLH119 | cluster 1054 | 3 | bHLH23 |
| cluster556 | 3 | bHLH114 | cluster 1062 | 3 | bHLH137 |
| cluster559 | 3 | bHLH85 | cluster 1065 | 3 | bHLH119 |
| cluster616 | 3 | bHLH30 | cluster 1092 | 3 | bHLH23 |
| cluster617 | 3 | bHLH92 | cluster1093 | 3 | bHLH60 |
| cluster618 | 3 | bHLH10 | cluster1097 | 3 | bHLH118 |
| cluster641 | 3 | bHLH131 | cluster 1098 | 3 | bHLH80 |
| cluster648 | 3 | bHLH139 | cluster 1100 | 3 | bHLH82 |
| cluster670 | 3 | bHLH28 | cluster1114 | 3 | Putative bHLH086 |
| cluster684 | 3 | bHLH30 | cluster 1199 | 3 | bHLH79 |
| cluster690 | 3 | bHLH30 | cluster 1204 | 3 | bHLH117 |
| cluster 1277 | 3 | Putative bHLH086 | cluster 1273 | 3 | bHLH137 |

All TFs were 5,641 for three species and classified in 4,503 clusters (Table 4, Supplementary File). Singletons those are species unique genes and not clustered were 445 in total. 892 single-copy gene clusters including 2,676 TFs were generated, i.e. the clusters containing one TF from one species summing 3 TFs . A. thaliana showed most numbers of TFs and subsequently clusters
and singletons followed by A. lyrata and A. halleri (Table 4). This might be due to well assembled genome and information availability on $A$. thaliana although it has less chromosome number and its variation is less than other species (Hu et al., 2011). However, the number of genes and GO distribution is well similarly distributed among the species

Table 4. The proteins, clusters and singletons for three Arabidopsis species.

| Species | TF Proteins | Clusters | Singletons |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| A. halleri | 1586 | 1350 | 102 |
| A. lyrata | 1759 | 1556 | 117 |
| A. thaliana | 2296 | 1597 | 226 |
| Total | 5641 | 4503 | 445 |

As shown in Venn diagram (Figure 1) and Table 5, there were 1,212 clusters containing 4,172 genes ( $74 \%$ ) those are common among three species. Four hundred eleven clusters containing 924 genes ( $16.38 \%$ ) were pairwise interspecific TFs, i.e. common between two species. Common interspecific TFs between A. thaliana and A. lyrata are more than those of $A$. halleri and A. lyrata. This more similarity between $A$. thaliana and $A$. lyrata suggest that these species
might be closer to each other than A. halleri in terms of TF families. Considering singletons and species-specific clustered TFs, A. thaliana showed more species-specific TFs as $2.53 \%$ of all its TFs while for $A$. lyrata and $A$. halleri it was 1.7 and $0.75 \%$ respectively. However, further comparative analyses are required to study each TF family to reveal the speciation and evolutionary relation between three Arabidopsis species.


Figure 1 .Venn diagram comparing three Arabidopsis TFs. The numbers are clusters.

Table 5. The number of TF clusters and genes among three Arabidopsis

| Comparison | No. of cluster | No. of gene |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Three species | 1,212 | 4,172 |
| A. halleri vs A.lyrata | 54 | 111 |
| A. halleri vs A. thaliana | 79 | 197 |
| A. lyrata vs A. thaliana | 278 | 616 |
| A. halleri | 5 | 12 |
| A. lyrata | 12 | 30 |
| A. thaliana | 28 | 58 |

Homeobox-leucine zipper protein HAT22/ATHB-15 is the first cluster with most proteins containing eighteen TFs distributed among three species with eight in A. halleri, seven in A. lyrata and nine in A. thaliana (Supplementary File). It is unique to plants and belongs to HD-Zip class II that has nine members in Arabidopsis (Ariel et al., 2007) or class III (Prigge et al., 2005). The TFs of the family are involved in light response, shade avoidance, auxin signaling, cellular redox status perception (Elhiti
and Stasolla, 2009), lateral organ formation, formation or maintenance of vascular development in inflorescence stems (Prigge et al., 2005; Turchi et al., 2015). Its more highly conserved homeodomain motif is shown in Figure 2. It contains 41 sites from which 33 are constant in all three species. 5 sites show two alternatives for the site. Only 3 sites show substitution of 3 amino acids. Its regular expression is CPILSNIEPKQIKVWFQNRRCREKQRKE A[SA]RLQ[AT]VNRKL[TS]A.

#  

| Name | Start | $p$-value |  |  | Sites ? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A_thaliana\|AT4G32880.1 | 49 | 3.29e-53 | SMRRQQLI | C ILSNI | FQNRRCREKQRKEASRLQAVNRKLT | NKLLMEEND |
| A_thaliana\|AT1G52150.2 | 51 | $3.29 \mathrm{e}-53$ | SIRRQQI | C | FQNRRCREKQRKEASRLQAVNRKLT | D |
| A_thaliana\|AT1G52150.1 | 51 | $3.29 \mathrm{e}-53$ | SIRRQQI | C | KQIKVWFQNRRCREKQRKEASRLQAVNRKLTA | D |
| A_thaliana\|AT1G52150.3 | 51 | 3.29e-53 | SIRRQQL | C ILSNI |  | NKLLMEEND |
| A_lyrata\|314555 | 51 | 3.29e-53 | SIRRQQLI | C ILSNIE | KQIKVWFQNRRCREKQRKEASRLQAVNRKLT | NKLLMEEND |
| A_lyrata\|491408 | 48 | 3.29e-53 | SMRRQQLI | C ILSNI | QIKVWFQNRRCREKQRKEASRLQAVNRK | ND |
| A_halleri\|Araha.2499s0002.1.p | 51 | $3.29 \mathrm{e}-53$ | SIRRQQLI | C | QIKVWFQNRRCREKQRKEASRLQ | NKLLMEEND |
| A_halleri\|Araha.17232s0001.1.p | 49 | $3.29 \mathrm{e}-53$ | SMRRQQ | C ILSNI | QIKVWHNRCREXREASRLQAVNRKL | NKLLMEEND |
| A_halleri\|Araha.17232s0001.2.p | 49 | $3.29 \mathrm{e}-53$ | SMRRQQLI | C | (2NRCREKQRKEASRL | D |
| A_thaliana\|AT2G34710.1 | 59 | 3.46e-52 | SLRRQQLI | C IL | QIKVWFQNRRCREK | D |
| A_lyrata\|902559 | 66 | 3.46e-52 | SLRRQQLI | C ILS | KQIKVWFQNRRCREKQRKEAARLQI | KLMEEND |
| A_halleri\|Araha.9962s0002.1.p | 54 | $3.46 \mathrm{e}-52$ | SLRRQQLI | C ILSNI | KQIKVWFQNRRCREKQRKEAARLQTVNRKLN | NKLLMEEND |
| A_thaliana\|AT1G30490.1 | 55 | 7.19e-50 | SLRRQQLI | C ILCNI | RQIKVWFQNRRCREKQRKESARLQTVNRKLS | NKLLMEEND |
| A_lyrata\|473277 | 54 | $7.19 \mathrm{e}-50$ | SLRRQQLI | C ILCNIE | RQIKVWFQNRRCREKQRKESARLQTVNRKLS | NKLLMEEND |
| A_halleri\|Araha.2050s0004.1.p | 54 | $7.19 \mathrm{e}-50$ | SLRRQQLI | C ILCNI | RQIKVWFQNRRCREKQRKESARLQTVNRKLSA | NKLLMEEND |
| A_thaliana\|AT5G60690.1 | 59 | 3.57e-49 | SLRRQQLI | CSILANI | KQIKVWFQNRRCRDKQRKEASRLQSVNRKLSA | NKLLMEEND |
| A_lyrata\|496218 | 59 | 3.57e-49 | SLRRQQLIR | CSILANIE | KQIKVWFQNRRCRDKQRKEASRLQSVNRKLSA | NKLLMEEND |
| A_halleri\|Araha.0447s0009.1.p | 59 | 3.57e-49 | SLRRQQLIRE | CSILANIE | KQIKVWFQNRRCRDKQRKEASRLQSVNRKLSA | NKLIMEEND |

Figure 2. HATT22/ATHB-15 highly conserved motif among 18 TFs of three Arabidopsis species. Above) Motif sequence, Below) Comparison of motif among 18 members of TF.

Four hundred forty five singletons were found those were not classified into clusters (Table 4, Supplementary File). These genes will be analyzed in a further study. The specific clustered TFs of each species were subject to analyze using their GO and the SwissProt hit provided for each cluster. GO terms related to transcription were not considered and only those with unique functionality were kept and mined. Thus, the specific TFs of each species were shortlisted having unique SwissProt and GO terms. As there were similar TFs those might belong to the subfamilies or isoforms of TFs, we limited the unique TFs those particularly found in each species.
A. halleri species-specific TFs were BEL1-like homeodomain protein 2, zinc finger protein AZF2 and two-component response regulator ARR11 (Supplementary File). BEL1like homeodomain protein 2 under GO:0009965 "leaf morphogenesis" contributes in leaf shape by repressing growth in specific subdomains of the leaf. It is a negative regulator of
knox homeobox gene KNAT1/BP expression that functions in shoot apical meristem (SAM) and carpel development (Scofield et al., 2008). Zinc finger protein AZF2 as a specific TF in A. halleri is classified under GO:0042538 hyperosmotic salinity response, GO:0009737 response to abscisic acid GO:0010200 response to chitin and GO:0009414 response to water deprivation. Intriguingly, it shows $80 \%$ similarity to ZAT6 that positively regulate cadmium tolerance (Chen et al., 2016). Taking into account this high similarity between ZAT6 and ZF2, it may be involved in heavy metal tolerance as well as in tolerance to other abiotic stresses such as drought, salinity and cold (Kodaira et al., 2011).
Two-component response regulator ARR11 has been identified as DNA motif $\operatorname{AGAT}(\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{C})$ containing TF that play role in cytokinin related processes (D'Agostino et al., 2000). The extended DNA motif (AAGAT[T/C]TT) has been reported as well (Raines et al., 2016). Interestingly, cytokinin can enhance
plant tolerance to heavy metals and in response to heavy metal (Gangwar et al., 2010). This TF is involved in His-to-Asp phosphorelay signal transduction with the ability to acquire a phosphoryl group from a histidine-containing phosphotransfer intermediate (AHP) improving cytokinin related process (Imamura et al., 2003). On the other hand, RRs in bacteria regulate heavy metal response and expression of the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I}) / \mathrm{Ag}$ (I) efflux system to extrude them (Fung et al., 2016). There might exist a similar process of responding to heavy metals and resisting them by interaction between cytokinin and twocomponent response regulator ARR family in A. halleri as it is a metal hyperaccumulator and pseudometallophyte (Sarret et al., 2009) and can tolerate excess of heavy metals. These two TFs might be involved in A. halleri resistance to heavy metals. The 4 genes of these two TF clusters are Araha.3286s0004.2.p and Araha.3286s0004.4.p belonging to two-component response regulator ARR11 and Araha.9992s0007.1.p and Araha.9992s0008.1.p belonging to Zinc finger protein AZF2. A. lyrata species-specific TFs (Supplementary File) were heat shock protein 90-2 functioning in ATPase activity, defense response to bacterium and protein folding; DnaJ protein homolog involved in protein folding; heat stress transcription factor A-4a, ethylene-responsive transcription factor ERF026 and ERF043 those participate in response to chitin and heat. The other A. lyrata specific TFs were scarecrow-like protein 23 engaging in leaf development and nuclear transcription factor Y subunit B-9 involved in positive regulation of fatty acid biosynthetic process. These TFs function mostly in different stress responses like heat for ERF026 andERF043 and are as known stress responsive TF and development processes.
For A. thaliana, according to their GO, mainly they are involved in plant development as flower development, negative regulation of vernalization response, double fertilization forming a zygote and endosperm and regulation of photoperiodism, for Agamous-like, MADS-box, bZIP, MADS affecting flowering5 respectively. In addition, MYB48 and dehydration-responsive element-binding protein 1 B function in response to salicylic acid and cold acclimation. Zinc finger CCCH domain-containing protein 24 has RNA methyltransferase activity (Supplementary File). These specific TFs of $A$. thaliana participate in development processes and stress responses

## Conclusions

The importance of TFs make their study necessary in order to reveal how gene expression and function change in response to internal and external factors. Arabidopsis as the well-known model has revealed the function of TFs but it lacks more details of TF among its three genome-published species. This study was performed to compare TFs of these three Arabidopsis species in silico. Three Arabidopsis species showed $90.34 \%$ common TFs in all three or at least two species. There were 545 species-specific TFs for A. halleri, A. lyrata, and $A$.
thaliana. A. halleri has a known metallophytic character and two specific TFs of this species are proposed to be involved in response to heavy metals, namely AZF2 and two-component response regulator ARR11. Our results revealed comparatively similarities and differences in genomic level among three Arabidopsis TF families and species-specific TFs those can be used in subsequent studies of this plant model to disclose more details on biological processes like that of heavy metal, stress response and plant development. However, as other TF databases are available, another study will be executed to disclose more details on the TFs of Arabidopsis genus.
The Supplementary File is accessible from https://doi. org/10.13140/RG.2.2.33662.08008.

## References

Ariel, F.D., Manavella, P.A., Dezar, C.A., Chan, R.L., 2007. The true story of the HD-Zip family. Trends Plant Sci. 12, 419-26. doi:10.1016/j.tplants.2007.08.003
Bailey, T.L., Elkan, C., 1994. Fitting a mixture model by expectation maximization to discover motifs in biopolymers. Proceedings. Int. Conf. Intell. Syst. Mol. Biol. 2, 28-36.
Beilstein, M.A., Nagalingum, N.S., Clements, M.D., Manchester, S.R., Mathews, S., 2010. Dated molecular phylogenies indicate a Miocene origin for Arabidopsis thaliana. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 107, 18724-8. doi:10.1073/pnas. 0909766107
Bomblies, K., Weigel, D., 2010. Arabidopsis and relatives as models for the study of genetic and genomic incompatibilities. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci. 365, 1815-23. doi:10.1098/rstb.2009.0304
Byzova, M. V, Franken, J., Aarts, M.G., de Almeida-Engler, J., Engler, G., Mariani, C., Van Lookeren Campagne, M.M., Angenent, G.C., 1999. Arabidopsis STERILE APETALA, a multifunctional gene regulating inflorescence, flower, and ovule development. Genes Dev. 13, 1002-14.
Camacho, C., Coulouris, G., Avagyan, V., Ma, N., Papadopoulos, J., Bealer, K., Madden, T.L., 2009. BLAST+: architecture and applications. BMC Bioinformatics 10, 421. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-10-421
Chen, J., Yang, L., Yan, X., Liu, Y., Wang, R., Fan, T., Ren, Y., Tang, X., Xiao, F., Liu, Y., Cao, S., 2016. Zinc-finger transcription factor ZAT6 positively regulates cadmium tolerance through the Glutathione-dependent pathway in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. 171, 707-19. doi:10.1104/ pp.15.01882
D’Agostino, I.B., Deruère, J., Kieber, J.J., 2000. Characterization of the response of the Arabidopsis response regulator gene family to cytokinin. Plant Physiol. 124, 1706-17.
Elhiti, M., Stasolla, C., 2009. Structure and function of homodomain-leucine zipper (HD-Zip) proteins. Plant Signal. Behav. 4, 86-88.

Franco-Zorrilla, J.M., López-Vidriero, I., Carrasco, J.L., Godoy, M., Vera, P., Solano, R., 2014. DNA-binding specificities of plant transcription factors and their potential to define target genes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 111, 2367-72. doi:10.1073/pnas. 1316278111

Fung, D.K.C., Ma, Y., Xia, T., Luk, J.C.H., Yan, A., 2016. Signaling by the heavy-metal sensor CusS involves rearranged helical interactions in specific transmembrane regions. Mol. Microbiol. 100, 774-787. doi:10.1111/ mmi. 13348

Gangwar, S., Singh, V.P., Prasad, S.M., Maurya, J.N., 2010. Modulation of manganese toxicity in Pisum sativum L. seedlings by kinetin. Sci. Hortic. (Amsterdam). 126, 467474. doi:10.1016/J.SCIENTA.2010.08.013

Hu, T.T., Pattyn, P., Bakker, E.G., Cao, J., Cheng, J.-F., Clark, R.M., Fahlgren, N., Fawcett, J.A., Grimwood, J., Gundlach, H., Haberer, G., Hollister, J.D., Ossowski, S., Ottilar, R.P., Salamov, A.A., Schneeberger, K., Spannagl, M., Wang, X., Yang, L., Nasrallah, M.E., Bergelson, J., Carrington, J.C., Gaut, B.S., Schmutz, J., Mayer, K.F.X., Van de Peer, Y., Grigoriev, I. V, Nordborg, M., Weigel, D., Guo, Y.-L., 2011. The Arabidopsis lyrata genome sequence and the basis of rapid genome size change. Nat. Genet. 43, 476-481. doi:10.1038/ng. 807
Imamura, A., Kiba, T., Tajima, Y., Yamashino, T., Mizuno, T., Mizuno, T., 2003. In vivo and in vitro characterization of the ARR11 response regulator implicated in the His-to-Asp phosphorelay signal transduction in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant Cell Physiol. 44, 122-31. doi:10.1093/ PCP/PCG014
Jin, J., Tian, F., Yang, D.-C., Meng, Y.-Q., Kong, L., Luo, J., Gao, G., 2017. PlantTFDB 4.0: toward a central hub for transcription factors and regulatory interactions in plants. Nucleic Acids Res. 45, D1040-D1045. doi:10.1093/nar/ gkw982
Kodaira, K.-S., Qin, F., Tran, L.-S.P., Maruyama, K., Kidokoro, S., Fujita, Y., Shinozaki, K., Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K., 2011. Arabidopsis Cys2/His2 zinc-finger proteins AZF1 and AZF2 negatively regulate abscisic acid-repressive and auxin-inducible genes under abiotic stress conditions. Plant Physiol. 157, 742-56. doi:10.1104/pp.111.182683
Lindemose, S., O’Shea, C., Jensen, M.K., Skriver, K., 2013. Structure, function and networks of transcription factors involved in abiotic stress responses. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 14, 5842-5878.
Liu, L., White, M.J., MacRae, T.H., 1999. Transcription factors and their genes in higher plants. Functional domains, evolution and regulation. Eur. J. Biochem. 262, 247-257. doi:10.1046/j.1432-1327.1999.00349.x
Liu, X., Huang, J., Parameswaran, S., Ito, T., Seubert, B., Auer, M., Rymaszewski, A., Jia, G., Owen, H.A., Zhao, D., 2009. The SPOROCYTELESS/NOZZLE Gene Is Involved in Controlling Stamen Identity in Arabidopsis. PLANT Physiol. 151, 1401-1411. doi:10.1104/
pp. 109.145896
Pérez-Rodríguez, P., Riaño-Pachón, D.M., Corrêa, L.G.G., Rensing, S.A., Kersten, B., Mueller-Roeber, B., 2010. PlnTFDB: updated content and new features of the plant transcription factor database. Nucleic Acids Res. 38, D822-7. doi:10.1093/nar/gkp805
Pireyre, M., Burow, M., 2015. Regulation of MYB and bHLH transcription factors: A glance at the protein level. Mol. Plant 8, 378-388. doi:10.1016/J.MOLP.2014.11.022
Prigge, M.J., Otsuga, D., Alonso, J.M., Ecker, J.R., Drews, G.N., Clark, S.E., 2005. Class III Homeodomain-leucine zipper gene family members have overlapping, antagonistic, and distinct roles in Arabidopsis development. PLANT CELL ONLINE 17, 61-76. doi:10.1105/tpc.104.026161
Raines, T., Blakley, I.C., Tsai, Y.-C., Worthen, J.M., FrancoZorrilla, J.M., Solano, R., Schaller, G.E., Loraine, A.E., Kieber, J.J., 2016. Characterization of the cytokininresponsive transcriptome in rice. BMC Plant Biol. 16, 260. doi:10.1186/s12870-016-0932-z

Sarret, G., Willems, G., Isaure, M.-P., Marcus, M.A., Fakra, S.C., Frérot, H., Pairis, S., Geoffroy, N., Manceau, A., Saumitou-Laprade, P., 2009. Zinc distribution and speciation in Arabidopsis halleri $\times$ Arabidopsis lyrata progenies presenting various zinc accumulation capacities. New Phytol. 184, 581-595. doi:10.1111/ j.1469-8137.2009.02996.x

Scofield, S., Dewitte, W., Murray, J.A., 2008. A model for Arabidopsis class-1 KNOX gene function. Plant Signal. Behav. 3, 257-9.
Seo, P.J., Mas, P., 2014. Multiple layers of posttranslational regulation refine circadian clock activity in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 26, 79-87. doi:10.1105/tpc.113.119842
Stracke, R., Ishihara, H., Huep, G., Barsch, A., Mehrtens, F., Niehaus, K., Weisshaar, B., 2007. Differential regulation of closely related R2R3-MYB transcription factors controls flavonol accumulation in different parts of the Arabidopsis thaliana seedling. Plant J. 50, 660-677. doi:10.1111/j.1365-313X.2007.03078.x
Stracke, R., Werber, M., Weisshaar, B., 2001. The R2R3-MYB gene family in Arabidopsis thaliana. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 4, 447-456. doi:10.1016/S1369-5266(00)00199-0
Toledo-Ortiz, G., Huq, E., Quail, P.H., 2003. The Arabidopsis basic/helix-loop-helix transcription factor family. Plant Cell 15, 1749-70. doi:10.1105/TPC. 013839
Turchi, L., Baima, S., Morelli, G., Ruberti, I., 2015. Interplay of HD-Zip II and III transcription factors in auxinregulated plant development. J. Exp. Bot. 66, 5043-5053. doi:10.1093/jxb/erv174
Vailleau, F., Daniel, X., Tronchet, M., Montillet, J.-L., Triantaphylidès, C., Roby, D., 2002. A R2R3-MYB gene, AtMYB30, acts as a positive regulator of the hypersensitive cell death program in plants in response to pathogen attack. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 99, 10179-84. doi:10.1073/pnas. 152047199

Wang, Y., Coleman-Derr, D., Chen, G., Gu, Y.Q., 2015. OrthoVenn: a web server for genome wide comparison and annotation of orthologous clusters across multiple species. Nucleic Acids Res. 43, W78-84. doi:10.1093/ nar/gkv487
William, D.A., Su, Y., Smith, M.R., Lu, M., Baldwin, D.A., Wagner, D., 2004. Genomic identification of direct target genes of LEAFY. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 101, 1775-1780.
doi:10.1073/pnas. 0307842100
Zheng, Y., Jiao, C., Sun, H., Rosli, H.G., Pombo, M.A., Zhang, P., Banf, M., Dai, X., Martin, G.B., Giovannoni, J.J., Zhao, P.X., Rhee, S.Y., Fei, Z., 2016. iTAK: A Program for Genome-wide Prediction and Classification of Plant Transcription Factors, Transcriptional Regulators, and Protein Kinases. Mol. Plant. doi:10.1016/j. molp.2016.09.014

